

# Elizabeth Chloe Romanis

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/6594314/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

29  
papers

370  
citations

933447  
10  
h-index

888059  
17  
g-index

29  
all docs

29  
docs citations

29  
times ranked

174  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Twin pregnancy reduction is not an “all or nothing” problem: a response to RÃsÃnen. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2022, 48, 139-141.	1.8	3
2	The Excessive Regulation of Early Abortion Medication in the UK: The Case for Reform. Medical Law Review, 2022, 30, 4-32.	0.5	3
3	The Case for Telemedical Early Medical Abortion in England: Dispelling Adult Safeguarding Concerns. Health Care Analysis, 2022, 30, 73-96.	2.2	4
4	Appropriately framing maternal request caesarean section. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2022, 48, 554-556.	1.8	3
5	Artificial womb technology, pregnancy, and EU employment rights. Journal of Law and the Biosciences, 2022, 9, Isac009.	1.6	9
6	Assisted gestative technologies. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2022, 48, 439-446.	1.8	12
7	Directed and conditional uterus donation. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2022, 48, 810-815.	1.8	1
8	Reviewing the womb. Journal of Medical Ethics, 2021, 47, 820-829.	1.8	21
9	Sally Sheldon and Kaye Wellings (eds), Decriminalising Abortion in the UK: What Would It Mean?. Medical Law Review, 2021, 29, 185-192.	0.5	1
10	2020 developments in the provision of early medical abortion by telemedicine in the UK. Health Policy, 2021, 125, 17-21.	3.0	12
11	The Medicalisation of Childbirth and Access to homebirth in the UK: Covid-19 and Beyond. Medical Law Review, 2021, 29, 661-687.	0.5	4
12	Abortion & “artificial wombs”: would “artificial womb” technology legally empower non-gestating genetic progenitors to participate in decisions about <i>how</i> to terminate pregnancy in England and Wales?. Journal of Law and the Biosciences, 2021, 8, Isab011.	1.6	4
13	Surrogacy and uterus transplantation using live donors: Examining the options from the perspective of “womb-givers”. Bioethics, 2021, 35, 820-828.	1.4	4
14	Safeguarding and teleconsultation for abortion. Lancet, The, 2021, 398, 555-558.	13.7	7
15	Challenging the “Born Alive” Threshold: Fetal Surgery, Artificial Wombs, and the English Approach to Legal Personhood. Medical Law Review, 2020, 28, 93-123.	0.5	15
16	COVID-19 and reproductive justice in Great Britain and the United States: ensuring access to abortion care during a global pandemic. Journal of Law and the Biosciences, 2020, 7, Isaa027.	1.6	19
17	Is “viability” viable? Abortion, conceptual confusion and the law in England and Wales and the United States. Journal of Law and the Biosciences, 2020, 7, Isaa059.	1.6	13
18	Artificial Wombs and the Ectogenesis Conversation: A Misplaced Focus? Technology, Abortion, and Reproductive Freedom. International Journal of Feminist Approaches To Bioethics, 2020, 13, 174-194.	0.1	9

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Maternal request caesareans and COVID-19: the virus does not diminish the importance of choice in childbirth. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , 2020, 46, 726-731.	1.8	11
20	Partial ectogenesis: freedom, equality and political perspective. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , 2020, 46, 89-90.	1.8	9
21	Artificial Womb Technology and the Choice to Gestate Ex Utero: Is Partial Ectogenesis the Business of the Criminal Law?. <i>Medical Law Review</i> , 2020, 28, 342-374.	0.5	13
22	Artificial womb technology and clinical translation: Innovative treatment or medical research?. <i>Bioethics</i> , 2020, 34, 392-402.	1.4	18
23	Legal and policy responses to the delivery of abortion care during COVID-19. <i>International Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics</i> , 2020, 151, 479-486.	2.3	24
24	Establishing Boundaries for Speculation About Artificial Wombs, Ectogenesis, Gender, and the Gestating Body. , 2020, , 227-254.		9
25	Homebirthing in the United Kingdom during COVID-19. <i>Medical Law International</i> , 2020, 20, 183-200.	1.1	14
26	Addressing Rising Cesarean Rates: Maternal Request Cesareans, Defensive Practice, and the Power of Choice in Childbirth. <i>International Journal of Feminist Approaches To Bioethics</i> , 2020, 13, 1-26.	0.1	5
27	Why the Elective Caesarean Lottery is Ethically Impermissible. <i>Health Care Analysis</i> , 2019, 27, 249-268.	2.2	13
28	Artificial womb technology and the significance of birth: why gestatelings are not newborns (or) Tj ETQq0 0 0 rgBT /Overlock 10 Tf 50 3	1.8	25
29	Artificial womb technology and the frontiers of human reproduction: conceptual differences and potential implications. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , 2018, 44, 751-755.	1.8	85