

Elizabeth Chloe Romanis

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/6594314/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

29
papers

370
citations

933447
10
h-index

888059
17
g-index

29
all docs

29
docs citations

29
times ranked

174
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Artificial womb technology and the frontiers of human reproduction: conceptual differences and potential implications. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , 2018, 44, 751-755.	1.8	85
2	Artificial womb technology and the significance of birth: why gestatelings are not newborns (or) Tj ETQq0 0 0 rgBT /Overlock, 10 Tf 50 70	1.8	25
3	Legal and policy responses to the delivery of abortion care during COVID-19. <i>International Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics</i> , 2020, 151, 479-486.	2.3	24
4	Reviewing the womb. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , 2021, 47, 820-829.	1.8	21
5	COVID-19 and reproductive justice in Great Britain and the United States: ensuring access to abortion care during a global pandemic. <i>Journal of Law and the Biosciences</i> , 2020, 7, Isaa027.	1.6	19
6	Artificial womb technology and clinical translation: Innovative treatment or medical research?. <i>Bioethics</i> , 2020, 34, 392-402.	1.4	18
7	Challenging the "Born Alive"™ Threshold: Fetal Surgery, Artificial Wombs, and the English Approach to Legal Personhood. <i>Medical Law Review</i> , 2020, 28, 93-123.	0.5	15
8	Homebirthing in the United Kingdom during COVID-19. <i>Medical Law International</i> , 2020, 20, 183-200.	1.1	14
9	Why the Elective Caesarean Lottery is Ethically Impermissible. <i>Health Care Analysis</i> , 2019, 27, 249-268.	2.2	13
10	Is "viability"™ viable? Abortion, conceptual confusion and the law in England and Wales and the United States. <i>Journal of Law and the Biosciences</i> , 2020, 7, Isaa059.	1.6	13
11	Artificial Womb Technology and the Choice to Gestate Ex Utero: Is Partial Ectogenesis the Business of the Criminal Law?. <i>Medical Law Review</i> , 2020, 28, 342-374.	0.5	13
12	2020 developments in the provision of early medical abortion by telemedicine in the UK. <i>Health Policy</i> , 2021, 125, 17-21.	3.0	12
13	Assisted gestative technologies. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , 2022, 48, 439-446.	1.8	12
14	Maternal request caesareans and COVID-19: the virus does not diminish the importance of choice in childbirth. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , 2020, 46, 726-731.	1.8	11
15	Artificial Wombs and the Ectogenesis Conversation: A Misplaced Focus? Technology, Abortion, and Reproductive Freedom. <i>International Journal of Feminist Approaches To Bioethics</i> , 2020, 13, 174-194.	0.1	9
16	Partial ectogenesis: freedom, equality and political perspective. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , 2020, 46, 89-90.	1.8	9
17	Establishing Boundaries for Speculation About Artificial Wombs, Ectogenesis, Gender, and the Gestating Body. , 2020, , 227-254.		9
18	Artificial womb technology, pregnancy, and EU employment rights. <i>Journal of Law and the Biosciences</i> , 2022, 9, Isac009.	1.6	9

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Safeguarding and teleconsultation for abortion. <i>Lancet, The</i> , 2021, 398, 555-558.	13.7	7
20	Addressing Rising Cesarean Rates: Maternal Request Cesareans, Defensive Practice, and the Power of Choice in Childbirth. <i>International Journal of Feminist Approaches To Bioethics</i> , 2020, 13, 1-26.	0.1	5
21	The Medicalisation of Childbirth and Access to homebirth in the UK: Covid-19 and Beyond. <i>Medical Law Review</i> , 2021, 29, 661-687.	0.5	4
22	Abortion & â€œartificial wombsâ€™: would â€œartificial wombâ€™ technology legally empower non-gestating genetic progenitors to participate in decisions about <i>how</i> to terminate pregnancy in England and Wales?. <i>Journal of Law and the Biosciences</i> , 2021, 8, 1-11.	1.6	4
23	Surrogacy and uterus transplantation using live donors: Examining the options from the perspective of â€œwombâ€™giversâ€™. <i>Bioethics</i> , 2021, 35, 820-828.	1.4	4
24	The Case for Telemedical Early Medical Abortion in England: Dispelling Adult Safeguarding Concerns. <i>Health Care Analysis</i> , 2022, 30, 73-96.	2.2	4
25	Twin pregnancy reduction is not an â€œall or nothingâ€™ problem: a response to RÃnÃ©n. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , 2022, 48, 139-141.	1.8	3
26	The Excessive Regulation of Early Abortion Medication in the UK: The Case for Reform. <i>Medical Law Review</i> , 2022, 30, 4-32.	0.5	3
27	Appropriately framing maternal request caesarean section. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , 2022, 48, 554-556.	1.8	3
28	Sally Sheldon and Kaye Wellings (eds), <i>Decriminalising Abortion in the UK: What Would It Mean?</i> . <i>Medical Law Review</i> , 2021, 29, 185-192.	0.5	1
29	Directed and conditional uterus donation. <i>Journal of Medical Ethics</i> , 2022, 48, 810-815.	1.8	1