Alexander Dunhill

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/6549644/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

516561 610775 24 768 16 24 citations g-index h-index papers 26 26 26 667 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Assessing the quality of the fossil record: insights from vertebrates. Geological Society Special Publication, 2011, 358, 63-94.	0.8	76
2	Decoupled taxonomic and ecological recoveries from the Permo-Triassic extinction. Science Advances, 2018, 4, eaat5091.	4.7	72
3	The first half of tetrapod evolution, sampling proxies, and fossil record quality. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 2013, 372, 18-41.	1.0	69
4	Impact of the Late Triassic mass extinction on functional diversity and composition of marine ecosystems. Palaeontology, 2018, 61, 133-148.	1.0	50
5	An enormous sulfur isotope excursion indicates marine anoxia during the end-Triassic mass extinction. Science Advances, 2020, 6, .	4.7	50
6	Flat latitudinal diversity gradient caused by the Permian–Triassic mass extinction. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2020, 117, 17578-17583.	3.3	50
7	Disentangling rock record bias and common-cause from redundancy in the British fossil record. Nature Communications, 2014, 5, 4818.	5.8	49
8	The fossil record of ichthyosaurs, completeness metrics and sampling biases. Palaeontology, 2015, 58, 521-536.	1.0	41
9	Completeness of the fossil record and the validity of sampling proxies at outcrop level. Palaeontology, 2012, 55, 1155-1175.	1.0	37
10	Dinosaur biogeographical structure and Mesozoic continental fragmentation: a networkâ€based approach. Journal of Biogeography, 2016, 43, 1691-1704.	1.4	30
11	Using remote sensing and a geographic information system to quantify rock exposure area in England and Wales: Implications for paleodiversity studies. Geology, 2011, 39, 111-114.	2.0	28
12	Problems with using rock outcrop area as a paleontological sampling proxy: rock outcrop and exposure area compared with coastal proximity, topography, land use, and lithology. Paleobiology, 2012, 38, 126-143.	1.3	26
13	Modelling determinants of extinction across two Mesozoic hyperthermal events. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2018, 285, 20180404.	1.2	26
14	Geographic range did not confer resilience to extinction in terrestrial vertebrates at the end-Triassic crisis. Nature Communications, 2015, 6, 7980.	5.8	25
15	The latitudinal diversity gradient of tetrapods across the Permo-Triassic mass extinction and recovery interval. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2020, 287, 20201125.	1.2	22
16	Testing the fossil record: Sampling proxies and scaling in the British Triassic–Jurassic. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 2014, 404, 1-11.	1.0	19
17	On formationâ€based sampling proxies and why they should not be used to correct the fossil record. Palaeontology, 2018, 61, 119-132.	1.0	17
18	The mosasaur fossil record through the lens of fossil completeness. Palaeontology, 2019, 62, 51-75.	1.0	16

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Anthropogenic-scale CO2 degassing from the Central Atlantic Magmatic Province as a driver of the end-Triassic mass extinction. Global and Planetary Change, 2022, 209, 103731.	1.6	16
20	Completeness of the fossil record and the validity of sampling proxies: a case study from the Triassic of England and Wales. Journal of the Geological Society, 2013, 170, 291-300.	0.9	12
21	Variable preservation potential and richness in the fossil record of vertebrates. Palaeontology, 2020, 63, 313-329.	1.0	11
22	Problems with using rock outcrop area as a paleontological sampling proxy: rock outcrop and exposure area compared with coastal proximity, topography, land use, and lithology. Paleobiology, 2012, 38, 126-143.	1.3	11
23	Shallow ocean oxygen decline during the end-Triassic mass extinction. Global and Planetary Change, 2022, 210, 103770.	1.6	10
24	Assessing sampling of the fossil record in a geographically and stratigraphically constrained dataset: the Chalk Group of Hampshire, southern UK. Journal of the Geological Society, 2017, 174, 509-521.	0.9	5