

Kaya L Klop-Toker

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/6463925/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

17
papers

273
citations

1162367

8
h-index

940134

16
g-index

17
all docs

17
docs citations

17
times ranked

459
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	A call to scale up biodiversity monitoring from idiosyncratic, small-scale programmes to coordinated, comprehensive and continuous monitoring across large scales. <i>Australian Zoologist</i> , 2022, , .	0.6	0
2	The Relative Role of Knowledge and Empathy in Predicting Pro-Environmental Attitudes and Behavior. <i>Sustainability</i> , 2022, 14, 4622.	1.6	8
3	Improving breedâ€andâ€™release programmes in the face of a threatening pathogen, <i>Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis</i> . <i>Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems</i> , 2021, 31, 2788.	0.9	2
4	Beyond species counts for assessing, valuing, and conserving biodiversity: response to Wallach etÂal. 2019. <i>Conservation Biology</i> , 2021, 35, 369-372.	2.4	4
5	Envisioning the future with â€™compassionate conservationâ€™ TM : An ominous projection for native wildlife and biodiversity. <i>Biological Conservation</i> , 2020, 241, 108365.	1.9	35
6	Compassionate Conservation Clashes With Conservation Biology: Should Empathy, Compassion, and Deontological Moral Principles Drive Conservation Practice?. <i>Frontiers in Psychology</i> , 2020, 11, 1139.	1.1	29
7	Are novel ecosystems the only novelty of rewilding?. <i>Restoration Ecology</i> , 2020, 28, 1318-1320.	1.4	5
8	Response to comments on â€™Compassionate Conservation deserves a morally serious rather than dismissive response - reply to â€™. <i>Biological Conservation</i> , 2020, 244, 108517.	1.9	3
9	Deconstructing compassionate conservation. <i>Conservation Biology</i> , 2019, 33, 760-768.	2.4	53
10	Reintroducing rewilding to restoration â€™“ Rejecting the search for novelty. <i>Biological Conservation</i> , 2019, 233, 255-259.	1.9	49
11	Informing compensatory habitat creation with experimental trials: a 3-year study of a threatened amphibian. <i>Oryx</i> , 2019, 53, 310-320.	0.5	7
12	Community level impacts of invasive mosquitofish may exacerbate the impact to a threatened amphibian. <i>Austral Ecology</i> , 2018, 43, 213-224.	0.7	13
13	Differences in microhabitat selection patterns between a remnant and constructed landscape following management intervention. <i>Wildlife Research</i> , 2017, 44, 248.	0.7	7
14	Assessing host response to disease treatment: how chytrid-susceptible frogs react to increased water salinity. <i>Wildlife Research</i> , 2017, 44, 648.	0.7	8
15	We Made Your Bed, Why Wonâ€™t You Lie in It? Food Availability and Disease May Affect Reproductive Output of Reintroduced Frogs. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2016, 11, e0159143.	1.1	16
16	Microhabitat selection varies by sex and age class in the endangered green and golden bell frog <i>Litoria aurea</i> . <i>Australian Zoologist</i> , 2016, 38, 223-234.	0.6	8
17	Factors driving the distribution of an endangered amphibian toward an industrial landscape in Australia. <i>Biological Conservation</i> , 2015, 191, 520-528.	1.9	26