
## Henry S Tilney

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/6395447/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	The current status of robotic colorectal surgery training programmes. Journal of Robotic Surgery, 2023, 17, 251-263.	1.8	11
2	Addressing the challenges restoring clinical services during the COVID-19 pandemic by harnessing the alignment of clinical and management leadership: an example from a large colorectal service. BMJ Leader, 2023, 7, 141-143.	1.5	0
3	Preclinical evaluation of the versius surgical system, a new robot-assisted surgical device for use in minimal access general and colorectal procedures. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2021, 35, 2169-2177.	2.4	45
4	Challenge of maintaining the initial benefits of a â€~cold' elective surgical unit established during the first COVID-19 peak. British Journal of Surgery, 2021, 108, e194-e195.	0.3	1
5	Experiences of a "COVID protected―robotic surgical centre for colorectal and urological cancer in the COVID-19 pandemic. Journal of Robotic Surgery, 2021, , 1.	1.8	13
6	The â€~hub and spoke model' for the management of surgical patients during the COVIDâ€19 pandemic. International Journal of Health Planning and Management, 2021, 36, 1397-1406.	1.7	7
7	Minimal access rectal cancer surgery: an observational study of patient outcomes from a district general hospital with over a decade of experience with robotic rectal cancer surgery. Colorectal Disease, 2021, 23, 1961-1970.	1.4	6
8	Comment on "Cancer Surgery During COVID-19: How We Move Forward― Annals of Surgery, 2021, 274, e827-e828.	4.2	0
9	Establishing a "cold―elective unit for robotic colorectal and urological cancer surgery and regional vascular surgery following the initial COVID-19 surge. British Journal of Surgery, 2020, 107, e466-e467.	0.3	8
10	Continuation of minimally invasive surgery in the COVID-19 pandemic. Techniques in Coloproctology, 2020, 24, 1105-1106.	1.8	2
11	Letter to the Editor RE: "COVID-19 Impact on Colorectal Daily Practice—How Long Will It Take to Catch Up?― Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2020, 24, 2696-2697.	1.7	0
12	Evolution of Colorectal Surgical Pathways in the Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pandemic. Diseases of the Colon and Rectum, 2020, 63, e594-e594.	1.3	0
13	Hand-Sewn versus Stapled Closure of Loop Ileostomy: A Meta-Analysis. Digestive Surgery, 2019, 36, 183-194.	1.2	25
14	Effect of Robotic-Assisted vs Conventional Laparoscopic Surgery on Risk of Conversion to Open Laparotomy Among Patients Undergoing Resection for Rectal Cancer. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2017, 318, 1569.	7.4	891
15	PWE-274ÂRobotic rectal cancer surgery offers significant benefits over the laparoscopic technique. Gut, 2015, 64, A332.2-A332.	12.1	0
16	PTU-274ÂComparison of outcomes of screen detected and symptomatic colorectal cancers. Gut, 2015, 64, A181.2-A182.	12.1	0
17	Measures of Outcome in Rectal Cancer Surgery. Diseases of the Colon and Rectum, 2012, 55, 369-370.	1.3	1
18	The national bowel cancer audit project: The impact of organisational structure on outcome in operative bowel cancer within the United Kingdom. Surgical Oncology, 2011, 20, e72-e77.	1.6	23

HENRY S TILNEY

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	The National Bowel Cancer Audit Project: what do trusts think of the National Bowel Cancer Audit and how can it be improved?. Techniques in Coloproctology, 2011, 15, 53-59.	1.8	5
20	To Divert or Not to Divert. Archives of Surgery, 2011, 146, 82.	2.2	39
21	Proctalgia fugax, an evidence-based management pathway. International Journal of Colorectal Disease, 2010, 25, 1037-1046.	2.2	46
22	Development of a pouch functional score following restorative proctocolectomy. British Journal of Surgery, 2010, 97, 945-951.	0.3	31
23	A systematic review of postoperative analgesia following laparoscopic colorectal surgery. Colorectal Disease, 2010, 12, 5-15.	1.4	102
24	Longâ€ŧerm failure and function after restorative proctocolectomy – a multi entre study of patients from the UK national ileal pouch registry. Colorectal Disease, 2010, 12, 433-441.	1.4	111
25	The morbidity surrounding reversal of defunctioning ileostomies: a systematic review of 48 studies including 6,107 cases. International Journal of Colorectal Disease, 2009, 24, 711-723.	2.2	335
26	A comparison of pancreaticoduodenectomy with extended pancreaticoduodenectomy: A meta-analysis of 1909 patients. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2009, 35, 79-86.	1.0	81
27	Measuring Sexual and Urinary Outcomes in Women after Rectal Cancer Excision. Diseases of the Colon and Rectum, 2009, 52, 46-54.	1.3	111
28	The Influence of Circumferential Resection Margins on Long-Term Outcomes Following Rectal Cancer Surgery. Diseases of the Colon and Rectum, 2009, 52, 1723-1729.	1.3	66
29	The National Bowel Cancer Project. Diseases of the Colon and Rectum, 2009, 52, 1046-1053.	1.3	18
30	Extended Radical Resection: The Choice for Locally Recurrent Rectal Cancer. Diseases of the Colon and Rectum, 2008, 51, 284-291.	1.3	222
31	Comparison of administrative data with the Association of Coloproctology of Great Britain and Ireland (ACPGBI) colorectal cancer database. International Journal of Colorectal Disease, 2008, 23, 155-163.	2.2	51
32	Does the laparoscopic colorectal surgery learning curve adversely affect the results of colorectal cancer resection? A 3-year prospective study in a district general hospital. Colorectal Disease, 2008, 10, 363-369.	1.4	10
33	The role of intersphincteric resection and the â€~Anterior Perineal Plane for ultraâ€Iow Anterior Resection' for rectal cancer. Colorectal Disease, 2008, 10, 736-737.	1.4	22
34	A comparison of pancreaticoduodenectomy with pylorus preserving pancreaticoduodenectomy: A meta-analysis of 2822 patients. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2008, 34, 1237-1245.	1.0	57
35	Meta-analysis of Randomized Studies Evaluating Chewing Gum to Enhance Postoperative Recovery Following Colectomy. Archives of Surgery, 2008, 143, 788.	2.2	77
36	Comparison of Outcomes After Restorative Proctocolectomy With or Without Defunctioning Ileostomy. Archives of Surgery, 2008, 143, 406.	2.2	157

HENRY S TILNEY

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	A National Perspective on the Decline of Abdominoperineal Resection for Rectal Cancer. Annals of Surgery, 2008, 247, 77-84.	4.2	127
38	APER Rate Has Multiple Limitations as an Indicator of Quality in Rectal Cancer Surgery. Annals of Surgery, 2008, 248, 1105-1106.	4.2	0
39	Safe liver resection following chemotherapy for colorectal metastases is a matter of timing. British Journal of Cancer, 2007, 96, 1037-1042.	6.4	447
40	The United Kingdom National Bowel Cancer Project – Epidemiology and surgical risk in the elderly. European Journal of Cancer, 2007, 43, 2285-2294.	2.8	62
41	Meta-analysis of short-term and long-term outcomes of J, W and S ileal reservoirs for restorative proctocolectomy. Colorectal Disease, 2007, 9, 310-320.	1.4	118
42	Diagnostic precision of magnetic resonance imaging for preoperative prediction of the circumferential margin involvement in patients with rectal cancer. Colorectal Disease, 2007, 9, 402-411.	1.4	56
43	Strictureplasty vs resection in small bowel Crohn's disease: an evaluation of short-term outcomes and recurrence. Colorectal Disease, 2007, 9, 686-694.	1.4	68
44	Enhanced postoperative recovery and laparoscopic colorectal surgery. Colorectal Disease, 2007, 9, 282-283.	1.4	1
45	Extending the horizons of restorative rectal surgery: intersphincteric resection for low rectal cancer. Colorectal Disease, 2007, 10, 070621084454023-???.	1.4	72
46	Transanal endoscopic microsurgery: local recurrence rate following resection of rectal cancer. Colorectal Disease, 2007, 10, 070630062439004-???.	1.4	24
47	Meta-analysis of clinical outcome after first and second liver resection for colorectal metastases. Surgery, 2007, 141, 9-18.	1.9	97
48	A Meta-Analysis of Quality of Life for Abdominoperineal Excision of Rectum versus Anterior Resection for Rectal Cancer. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2007, 14, 2056-2068.	1.5	184
49	Comparison of Outcomes Following Ileostomy versus Colostomy for Defunctioning Colorectal Anastomoses. World Journal of Surgery, 2007, 31, 1143-1152.	1.6	133
50	Factors Affecting Circumferential Resection Margin Involvement After Rectal Cancer Excision. Diseases of the Colon and Rectum, 2007, 50, 29-36.	1.3	43
51	The Effect of Crohn's Disease on Outcomes After Restorative Proctocolectomy. Diseases of the Colon and Rectum, 2007, 50, 239-250.	1.3	76
52	Comparison of colonic stenting and open surgery for malignant large bowel obstruction. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2007, 21, 225-233.	2.4	241
53	Laparoscopic cholecystectomy versus mini-laparotomy cholecystectomy: a meta-analysis of randomised control trials. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2007, 21, 1294-1300.	2.4	89
54	Laparoscopic colorectal surgery and postoperative opioid requirements. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2007, 21, 1251-1251.	2.4	1

HENRY S TILNEY

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Comparison of short-term outcomes of laparoscopic vs open approaches to ileal pouch surgery. International Journal of Colorectal Disease, 2007, 22, 531-542.	2.2	76
56	Pouch-anal anastomosis vs straight ileoanal anastomosis in pediatric patients: a meta-analysis. Journal of Pediatric Surgery, 2006, 41, 1799-1808.	1.6	49
57	The use of intra-operative endo-anal ultrasound in perianal disease. Colorectal Disease, 2006, 8, 338-341.	1.4	10
58	Laparoscopic vs open subtotal colectomy for benign and malignant disease. Colorectal Disease, 2006, 8, 441-450.	1.4	29
59	Transanal endoscopic microsurgery: a necessary requirement?. Colorectal Disease, 2006, 8, 710-714.	1.4	7
60	Transanal endoscopic microsurgery: risk factors for local recurrence of benign rectal adenomas. Colorectal Disease, 2006, 8, 795-799.	1.4	49
61	Comparison of laparoscopic and open ileocecal resection for Crohn's disease: a metaanalysis. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2006, 20, 1036-1044.	2.4	187
62	Laparoscopic vs. Open Surgery for Diverticular Disease: A Meta-Analysis of Nonrandomized Studies. Diseases of the Colon and Rectum, 2006, 49, 446-463.	1.3	59
63	A Comparison of Adverse Events and Functional Outcomes After Restorative Proctocolectomy for Familial Adenomatous Polyposis and Ulcerative Colitis. Diseases of the Colon and Rectum, 2006, 49, 1293-1306.	1.3	83
64	Outcomes Following Laparoscopic Versus Open Repair of Incisional Hernia. World Journal of Surgery, 2006, 30, 2056-2064.	1.6	51
65	A national perspective on the decline of abdominoperineal resection for rectal cancer. Journal of the American College of Surgeons, 2006, 203, S70-S71.	0.5	2
66	Social deprivation and outcomes in colorectal cancer. British Journal of Surgery, 2006, 93, 1123-1131.	0.3	48
67	Management of the rectal stump after emergency sub-total colectomy: which surgical option is associated with the lowest morbidity?. Colorectal Disease, 2005, 7, 519-522.	1.4	62
68	Screening and management of asymptomatic popliteal aneurysms. Journal of Medical Screening, 2002, 9, 92-93.	2.3	87
69	The Application of Percutaneous Endoscopic Colostomy to the Management of Obstructed Defecation. Diseases of the Colon and Rectum, 2002, 45, 700-702.	1.3	20
70	Abdominal aortic aneurysm and gastrointestinal disease: should synchronous surgery be considered?. Annals of the Royal College of Surgeons of England, 2002, 84, 414-417.	0.6	11
71	Complication of botulinum toxin injections for anal fissure. Diseases of the Colon and Rectum, 2001, 44, 1721.	1.3	22