
Andrew England

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/638215/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	The Role of ¹⁸ F-Sodium Fluoride PET/CT Bone Scans in the Diagnosis of Metastatic Bone Disease from Breast and Prostate Cancer. Journal of Nuclear Medicine Technology, 2016, 44, 217-222.	0.8	47
2	Clinical radiography education across Europe. Radiography, 2017, 23, S7-S15.	2.1	44
3	Artificial Intelligence: Guidance for clinical imaging and therapeutic radiography professionals, a summary by the Society of Radiographers AI working group. Radiography, 2021, 27, 1192-1202.	2.1	24
4	Construction and validation of a low cost paediatric pelvis phantom. European Journal of Radiology, 2018, 108, 84-91.	2.6	22
5	Radiologist variability in assessing the position of the cavoatrial junction on chest radiographs. British Journal of Radiology, 2016, 89, 20150965.	2.2	20
6	AP versus PA positioning in lumbar spine computed radiography: Image quality and individual organ doses. Radiography, 2015, 21, 188-196.	2.1	18
7	Evidence-based radiography: A new methodology or the systematisation of an old practice?. Radiography, 2020, 26, 127-132.	2.1	17
8	Are Antimony-Bismuth Aprons as Efficient as Lead Rubber Aprons in Providing Shielding against Scattered Radiation?. Journal of Medical Imaging and Radiation Sciences, 2018, 49, 201-206.	0.3	16
9	An investigation into the validity of utilising the CDRAD 2.0 phantom for optimisation studies in digital radiography. British Journal of Radiology, 2018, 91, 20180317.	2.2	15
10	Relationship between body habitus and image quality and radiation dose in chest X-ray examinations: A phantom study. Physica Medica, 2019, 57, 65-71.	0.7	14
11	Patient safety in undergraduate radiography curricula: A European perspective. Radiography, 2016, 22, S12-S19.	2.1	13
12	Effective lifetime radiation risk for a number of national mammography screening programmes. Radiography, 2018, 24, 240-246.	2.1	13
13	Mathematical modelling of radiation-induced cancer risk from breast screening by mammography. European Journal of Radiology, 2017, 96, 98-103.	2.6	12
14	Radiographers' knowledge, attitudes and expectations of artificial intelligence in medical imaging. Radiography, 2022, 28, 943-948.	2.1	12
15	Development and validation of a visual grading scale for assessing image quality of AP pelvis radiographic images. British Journal of Radiology, 2016, 89, 20150430.	2.2	11
16	Inclusion of evidence and research in European radiography curricula. Radiography, 2020, 26, S45-S48.	2.1	11
17	Dose optimisation in paediatric radiography – Using regression models to investigate the relative impact of acquisition factors on image quality and radiation dose. Physica Medica, 2019, 68, 61-68.	0.7	10
18	Modifications to mobile chest radiography technique during the COVID-19 pandemic – implications of X-raying through side room windows. Radiography, 2021, 27, 193-199.	2.1	9

ANDREW ENGLAND

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Optimum Positioning for Anteroposterior Pelvis Radiography: A Literature Review. Journal of Medical Imaging and Radiation Sciences, 2018, 49, 316-324.e3.	0.3	8
20	An investigation into the accuracy of orbital X-rays, when using CR, in detecting ferromagnetic intraocular foreign bodies. Radiography, 2017, 23, 55-59.	2.1	7
21	An Investigation of Pressure Ulcer Risk, Comfort, and Pain in Medical Imaging. Journal of Medical Imaging and Radiation Sciences, 2019, 50, 43-52.	0.3	7
22	Calculating Individual Lifetime Effective Risk from Initial Mean Glandular Dose Arising from the First Screening Mammogram. Journal of Medical Imaging and Radiation Sciences, 2018, 49, 406-413.	0.3	6
23	Impact of Contralateral Breast Shielding on the Risk of Developing Radiation-induced Cancer from Full-field Digital Mammography Screening. Journal of Medical Imaging and Radiation Sciences, 2019, 50, 331-336.	0.3	6
24	An investigation into the perceived value of the College of Radiographers voluntary accreditation scheme for advanced and consultant practitioners in breast imaging. Radiography, 2019, 25, 207-213.	2.1	6
25	Evolving the Landscape of Research. Radiography, 2019, 25, S1-S3.	2.1	6
26	lmaging neonates within an incubator – A survey to determine existing working practice. Radiography, 2020, 26, e18-e23.	2.1	6
27	Diagnostic accuracy of ultrasound for localising peripherally inserted central catheter tips in infants in the neonatal intensive care unit: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Pediatric Radiology, 2022, 52, 2421-2430.	2.0	6
28	An evaluation of the impact of the Coronavirus (COVID 19) pandemic on interventional radiographers' wellbeing. Journal of Medical Imaging and Radiation Sciences, 2022, 53, 384-395.	0.3	6
29	Impact of acquisition parameters on dose and image quality optimisation in paediatric pelvis radiography—A phantom study. European Journal of Radiology, 2019, 118, 130-137.	2.6	5
30	Comparative analysis of radiation dose and low contrast detail detectability using routine paediatric chest radiography protocols. European Journal of Radiology, 2019, 113, 198-203.	2.6	5
31	A comparative study of pain experienced during successive mammography examinations in patients with a family history of breast cancer and those who have had breast cancer surgery. Radiography, 2020, 26, 76-81.	2.1	5
32	Neonatal digital chest radiography– should we be using additional copper filtration?. British Journal of Radiology, 2022, 95, .	2.2	5
33	Video rasterstereography of the spine and pelvis in eight erect positions: A reliability study. Radiography, 2020, 26, e7-e13.	2.1	4
34	Can the anode heel effect be used to optimise radiation dose and image quality for AP pelvis radiography?. Radiography, 2020, 26, e103-e108.	2.1	3
35	A narrative review on pressure ulcer (PU) studies relevant to medical imaging. Pan African Medical Journal, 2020, 36, 66.	0.8	3
36	Are radiographers suffering from symptoms of compassion fatigue due to occupational stress: A systematic review. Radiography, 2022, 28, 857-864.	2.1	3

ANDREW ENGLAND

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Initial single centre experiences of a radiographer advanced practitioner led nephrostomy exchange programme. Radiography, 2020, 26, 163-166.	2.1	2
38	Predicting the role of touchless technologies within diagnostic radiography: Results of an international survey. Radiography, 2021, , .	2.1	2
39	Estimating the error of CT-based measurements of aortic lumen volume used in endovascular planning. Radiography, 2017, 23, 287-291.	2.1	1
40	A comparison of effective dose and risk for different collimation options used in AP shoulder radiography. Radiography, 2021, , .	2.1	1
41	RE: Effective lifetime radiation risk for a number of national mammography screening programmes. Radiography, 2018, 24, 273.	2.1	0
42	An Experimental Intervention Study Assessing the Impact of a Thin Silicone Gel Surface Overlay on Interface Pressure. Radiology Research and Practice, 2020, 2020, 1-9.	1.3	0
43	The complete evaluation of tube potential on clinical image quality when using direct digital detectors for pelvis and lumbar spine radiography. Journal of Medical Radiation Sciences, 2020, 67, 360-361.	1.5	0
44	A technique for determining pump injector settings for an on-table CT or 3D DSA in interventional radiology. Radiography, 2020, 26, 332-334.	2.1	0
45	Exploring the reliability of the exposure index with a range of kV and mAs values: An experimental study. Journal of Medical Imaging and Radiation Sciences, 2021, , .	0.3	0