Megan D Barnes

List of Publications by Year
in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/6367419/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

38 papers	4,348 citations	361045 20 h-index	329751 37 g-index
43 all docs	43 docs citations	43 times ranked	5747 citing authors

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	A decision framework for estimating the cost of marine plastic pollution interventions. Conservation Biology, 2022, 36, .	2.4	13
2	A threatened species index for Australian birds. Conservation Science and Practice, 2021, 3, e322.	0.9	18
3	Exploring the ability of urban householders to correctly identify nocturnal mammals. Urban Ecosystems, 2021, 24, 1359-1369.	1.1	3
4	The impact of strictly protected areas in a deforestation hotspot. Conservation Science and Practice, 2021, 3, e479.	0.9	5
5	Mismatch between bird species sensitivity and the protection of intact habitats across the Americas. Ecology Letters, 2021, 24, 2394-2405.	3.0	9
6	The mismeasure of conservation. Trends in Ecology and Evolution, 2021, 36, 808-821.	4.2	47
7	Statistical matching for conservation science. Conservation Biology, 2020, 34, 538-549.	2.4	88
8	Linking Land and Sea Through an Ecological-Economic Model of Coral Reef Recreation. Ecological Economics, 2020, 177, 106788.	2.9	11
9	Predicted growth in plastic waste exceeds efforts to mitigate plastic pollution. Science, 2020, 369, 1515-1518.	6.0	1,330
10	The impact of terrestrial protected areas on vegetation extent and condition: a systematic review protocol. Environmental Evidence, 2020, 9, .	1.1	3
11	Differences among protected area governance types matter for conserving vegetation communities at risk of loss and fragmentation. Biological Conservation, 2020, 247, 108533.	1.9	24
12	Estimating the spatial coverage of citizen science for monitoring threatened species. Global Ecology and Conservation, 2020, 23, e01048.	1.0	17
13	Aligning citizen science with best practice: Threatened species conservation in Australia. Conservation Science and Practice, 2019, 1, e100.	0.9	22
14	Decision analysis to support wastewater management in coral reef priority area. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 2019, 148, 16-29.	2.3	10
15	Shortfalls in Conservation Evidence: Moving from Ecological Effects of Interventions to Policy Evaluation. One Earth, 2019, 1, 62-75.	3.6	34
16	Quantifying habitat losses and gains made by U.S. Species Conservation Banks to improve compensation policies and avoid perverse outcomes. Conservation Letters, 2019, 12, e12629.	2.8	20
17	Scenarios and Models to Support Global Conservation Targets. Trends in Ecology and Evolution, 2019, 34, 57-68.	4.2	66
18	A global analysis of management capacity and ecological outcomes in terrestrial protected areas. Conservation Letters, 2018, 11, e12434.	2.8	120

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Prevent perverse outcomes from global protected area policy. Nature Ecology and Evolution, 2018, 2, 759-762.	3.4	142
20	Understanding avian assemblage change within anthropogenic environments using citizen science data. Landscape and Urban Planning, 2018, 179, 81-89.	3.4	9
21	Capacity shortfalls hinder the performance of marine protected areas globally. Nature, 2017, 543, 665-669.	13.7	630
22	Prescribed burning impacts avian diversity and disadvantages woodland-specialist birds unless long-unburnt habitat is retained. Biological Conservation, 2017, 215, 268-276.	1.9	23
23	A novel framework for analyzing conservation impacts: evaluation, theory, and marine protected areas. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 2017, 1399, 93-115.	1.8	69
24	Understanding localâ€scale drivers of biodiversity outcomes in terrestrial protected areas. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 2017, 1399, 42-60.	1.8	39
25	Bolder science needed now for protected areas. Conservation Biology, 2016, 30, 243-248.	2.4	149
26	Understanding the importance of small patches of habitat for conservation. Journal of Applied Ecology, 2016, 53, 418-429.	1.9	112
27	Expanding protected areas is not enough. Science, 2016, 353, 551-552.	6.0	9
28	Wildlife population trends in protected areas predicted by national socio-economic metrics and body size. Nature Communications, 2016, 7, 12747.	5.8	132
29	Why do we map threats? Linking threat mapping with actions to make better conservation decisions. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, 2015, 13, 91-99.	1.9	187
30	Effective conservation requires clear objectives and prioritizing actions, not places or species. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2015, 112, E4342.	3.3	62
31	Protect biodiversity, not just area. Nature, 2015, 526, 195-195.	13.7	42
32	International funding agencies: potential leaders of impact evaluation in protected areas?. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2015, 370, 20140283.	1.8	22
33	Changes in protected area management effectiveness over time: A global analysis. Biological Conservation, 2015, 191, 692-699.	1.9	158
34	Evaluating protected area effectiveness using bird lists in the Australian Wet Tropics. Diversity and Distributions, 2015, 21, 368-378.	1.9	25
35	Terrestrial protected areas of Australia. , 2014, , 560-581.		3
36	Remote regions â€“ The last places where conservation efforts should be intensified. A reply to McCauley et al. (2013). Biological Conservation, 2014, 172, 221-222.	1.9	12

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	Effectiveness of terrestrial protected areas in reducing habitat loss and population declines. Biological Conservation, 2013, 161, 230-238.	1.9	673
38	Belly up: Reduced crevice accessibility as a cost of reproduction caused by increased girth in a rockâ€using lizard. Austral Ecology, 2010, 35, 82-86.	0.7	6