Jonathan D Comins

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/6359707/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

932766 996533 20 251 10 15 citations g-index h-index papers 20 20 20 156 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	A catalogue of PROMs in sports science: Quality assessment of PROM development and validation. Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports, 2021, 31, 991-998.	1.3	25
2	How to translate and locally adapt a PROM. Assessment of crossâ€cultural differential item functioning. Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports, 2021, 31, 999-1008.	1.3	24
3	What is a PROM and why do we need it?. Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports, 2021, 31, 967-971.	1.3	24
4	Psychometric validation of PROM instruments. Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports, 2021, 31, 1225-1238.	1.3	24
5	How to develop a conditionâ€specific PROM. Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports, 2021, 31, 1216-1224.	1.3	21
6	Four of five frequently used orthopedic PROMs possess inadequate content validity: a COSMIN evaluation of the mHHS, HAGOS, IKDC-SKF, KOOS and KNEES-ACL. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy, 2022, 30, 3602-3615.	2.3	18
7	KNEES-ACL has superior responsiveness compared to the most commonly used patient-reported outcome measures for anterior cruciate ligament injury. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy, 2018, 26, 2438-2446.	2.3	16
8	Are adequate PROMs used as outcomes in randomized controlled trials? an analysis of 54 trials. Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports, 2021, 31, 972-981.	1.3	16
9	Ensuring face validity in patient-related outcome scores — A matter of content. Knee, 2013, 20, 72-78.	0.8	14
10	Assessment of content validity and psychometric properties of VISA-A for Achilles tendinopathy. PLoS ONE, 2021, 16, e0247152.	1.1	12
11	Potential problems in the use of patient reported outcome measures (PROMs) and reporting of PROM data in sports science. Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports, 2021, 31, 1249-1258.	1.3	10
12	Responsiveness, minimal important difference, minimal relevant difference, and optimal number of patients for a study. Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports, 2021, 31, 1239-1248.	1.3	8
13	Patient reported outcome measures for ankle instability. An analysis of 17 existing questionnaires. Foot and Ankle Surgery, 2022, 28, 288-293.	0.8	8
14	Are PROMs used adequately in sports research? An analysis of 54 randomized controlled trials with PROMs as endpoint. Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports, 2021, 31, 982-990.	1.3	7
15	Psychometric Validation of the Danish Version of the Oswestry Disability Index in Patients With Chronic Low Back Pain. Spine, 2020, 45, 1143-1150.	1.0	6
16	Adaptation of the Activity Measure Post-Acute Care (AM-PAC) from English to Mandarin using the dual-panel translation approach. Disability and Rehabilitation, 2018, 40, 2571-2576.	0.9	5
17	Choosing the most appropriate PROM for clinical studies in sports medicine. Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports, 2021, 31, 1209-1215.	1.3	5
18	Dual-panel translation to Danish and Rasch validation of the Foot and Ankle Ability Measure (FAAM-DK). Foot and Ankle Surgery, 2022, 28, 588-594.	0.8	5

#	Article	lF	CITATIONS
19	Validation of a health screening questionnaire for primary care using Rasch models. Journal of Patient-Reported Outcomes, 2019, 3, 12.	0.9	3
20	Crosswalking Patient-Reported Outcome Measures: It Matters What, Why, and How. Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery - Series A, 2022, 104, e33.	1.4	0