Mohsen Bahmani-Oskooee

List of Publications by Year
in descending order

393 papers	9,779 citations	61687 45 h-index	87275 74 g-index
394 all docs	394 docs citations	394 times ranked	2150 citing authors

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	Asymmetric Impact of Exchange Rate Volatility on Commodity Trade Between Pakistan and China. Global Business Review, 2023, 24, 510-534.	1.6	23
2	Is there Jâ€curve effect in the US Service Trade? Evidence from asymmetric analysis. International Journal of Finance and Economics, 2023, 28, 3865-3875.	1.9	3
3	On the link between Chinese currency and its inpayments from and outpayments to trading partners: an asymmetric analysis. Economic Change and Restructuring, 2022, 55, 335-359.	2.5	0
4	Exchange Rate Volatility and Commodity Trade between U.K. and China: An Asymmetric Analysis. Chinese Economy, 2022, 55, 41-65.	1.1	14
5	U.K.-German Commodity Trade and Exchange-Rate Volatility: An Asymmetric Analysis. International Trade Journal, 2022, 36, 288-305.	0.5	7
6	The U.S.â€Canadian trade and exchange rate uncertainty: Asymmetric evidence from commodity trade. World Economy, 2022, 45, 841-866.	1.4	6
7	Consumer sentiment and house prices: asymmetric evidence from state-level data in the United States. International Journal of Housing Markets and Analysis, 2022, 15, 1088-1121.	0.7	3
8	Whose policy uncertainty matters in the trade between China and the U.S.?. Economic Change and Restructuring, 2022, 55, 1497-1542.	2.5	7
9	On the asymmetric effects of exchange rate uncertainty on Chinaâ€™s bilateral trade with its major partners. Economic Analysis and Policy, 2022, 73, 653-669.	3.2	4
10	On the Impact of Policy Uncertainty on the Demand for Money in China: An Asymmetric Analysis. Chinese Economy, 2022, 55, 399-409.	1.1	3
11	The effect of exchange rate volatility on U.S. bilateral trade with Africa: A symmetric and asymmetric analysis. Economic Systems, 2022, 46, 100879.	1.0	7
12	Stock returns and income inequality: Asymmetric evidence from state level data in the U.S.. Global Finance Journal, 2022, 52, 100715.	2.8	1
13	Chinaâ€™s trade in services and role of the exchange rate: An asymmetric analysis. Economic Analysis and Policy, 2022, 74, 747-757.	3.2	2
14	U.S.â€South America trade and the <scp>Jâ€Curve</scp>: An asymmetric analysis. World Economy, 2022, 45, 3858-3872.	1.4	1
15	On the asymmetric effects of exchangeâ€rate volatility on trade flows: Evidence from USâ€“UK Commodity Trade. Scottish Journal of Political Economy, 2021, 68, 51-102.	1.1	15
16	On the asymmetric effects of exchange rate volatility on the trade flows of India with each of its fourteen partners. Macroeconomics and Finance in Emerging Market Economies, 2021, 14, 66-85.	0.5	7
17	U.S. â€“ Italy commodity trade and the J-curve: new evidence from asymmetry analysis. International Economics and Economic Policy, 2021, 18, 73-103.	1.0	4
18	Exchange rate volatility and Turkeyâ€“EU commodity trade: an asymmetry analysis. Empirica, 2021, 48, 429-482.	1.0	7

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Exchange rate volatility and commodity trade between United States and Australia: An asymmetric analysis. World Economy, 2021, 44, 1509-1700.	1.4	4
20	UK-China Trade and the J-Curve: Asymmetric Evidence from 68 Industries. Chinese Economy, 2021, 54, 195-216.	1.1	6
21	Are the effects of exchangeâ€rate volatility on commodity trade between the U.S. and Mexico symmetric or asymmetric?. International Journal of Finance and Economics, 2021, 26, 2998-3027.	1.9	4
22	Exchange rate volatility and domestic investment in G7: are the effects asymmetric?. Empirica, 2021, 48, 775-799.	1.0	1
23	Estimating a bilateral Jâ€curve between the UK and the Euro area: An asymmetric analysis. Manchester School, 2021, 89, 223-237.	0.4	4
24	Exchange rate volatility and Turkishâ€"German commodity trade: an asymmetry analysis. Studies in Economics and Finance, 2021, ahead-of-print, .	1.2	1
25	Asymmetric J-curve: evidence from UK-German commodity trade. Empirica, 2021, 48, 1029-1081.	1.0	5
26	NONLINEAR ARDL APPROACH AND PPP: EVIDENCE FROM 82 COUNTRIES. Global Economy Journal, 2021, 21, .	0.6	3
27	On the Link Between Policy Uncertainty and Domestic Production in G7 Countries: An Asymmetry Analysis. International Economic Journal, 2021, 35, 242-258.	0.5	2
28	The nonlinear ARDL approach and productivity bias hypothesis: Evidence from 68 countries. Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance, 2021, 80, 80-89.	1.5	3
29	U.S.-German commodity trade and the J-curve: New evidence from asymmetry analysis. Economic Systems, 2021, 45, 100779.	1.0	7
30	Does the real exchange rate play any role in the trade between Mexico and Canada? An asymmetric analysis. Economic Analysis and Policy, 2021, 70, 1-21.	3.2	5
31	Financial and insurance services trade and role of the exchange rate: An asymmetric analysis. Economic Analysis and Policy, 2021, 72, 358-367.	3.2	3
32	On the asymmetric effects of exchange rate changes and Thailand's inpayments from and outpayments to its partners. Journal of Economic Asymmetries, 2021, 24, e00222.	1.6	2
33	On the Link between Policy Uncertainty and House Prices: Asymmetric Evidence from State-Level Data in the United States. Journal of Real Estate Portfolio Management, 2021, 27, 166-185.	0.5	1
34	Whose Policy Uncertainty Matters in the Trade between Korea and the U.S.?. Journal of Risk and Financial Management, 2021, 14, 520.	1.1	4
35	On the asymmetric effects of <scp>exchangeâ€rate</scp> volatility on trade flows: Evidence from <scp>Koreaâ€U</scp>.S. commodity trade. Australian Economic Papers, 2021, 60, 594-629.	1.2	3
36	Exchange Rate Volatility and Domestic Consumption in the G7: An Asymmetric Analysis. Applied Economics Quarterly, 2021, 67, 2-25.	0.1	0

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	Asymmetric response of domestic production to exchange rate changes: evidence from Africa. Economic Change and Restructuring, 2020, 53, 1-24.	2.5	7
38	On the Asymmetric Effects of Exchange Rate Volatility on Trade Flows: Evidence from Africa. Emerging Markets Finance and Trade, 2020, 56, 913-939.	1.7	24
39	Asymmetric J-curve in the commodity trade between Pakistan and United States: evidence from 41 industries. Eurasian Economic Review, 2020, 10, 163-188.	1.7	48
40	Asymmetric cointegration and the J-curve: new evidence from commodity trade between the U.S. and Canada. International Economics and Economic Policy, 2020, 17, 427-482.	1.0	10
41	Asymmetric cointegration and the J-curve: evidence from commodity trade between Turkey and EU. Empirica, 2020, 47, 757-792.	1.0	11
42	On the impact of exchange rate volatility on Tunisiaâ€™s trade with 16 partners: an asymmetry analysis. Economic Change and Restructuring, 2020, 53, 357-378.	2.5	24
43	Exchange rate changes and money demand in Albania: a nonlinear ARDL analysis. Economic Change and Restructuring, 2020, 53, 619-633.	2.5	10
44	Exchange-rate volatility and commodity trade between the U.S. and Germany: asymmetry analysis. International Economics and Economic Policy, 2020, 17, 67-124.	1.0	5
45	The South Africaâ€U.S. Trade and the Real Exchange Rate: Asymmetric Evidence from 25 Industries. South African Journal of Economics, 2020, 88, 186-203.	1.0	5
46	Exchange rate risk and commodity trade between U.S. and India: an asymmetry analysis. Journal of the Asia Pacific Economy, 2020, 25, 675-695.	1.0	5
47	Asymmetric J-curve: evidence from industry trade between U.S. and U.K.. Applied Economics, 2020, 52, 2679-2693.	1.2	26
48	The Turkey-US commodity trade and the asymmetric J-curve. Economic Change and Restructuring, 2020, 54, 943.	2.5	5
49	ECONOMIC UNCERTAINTY, MONETARY UNCERTAINTY, AND THE DEMAND FOR MONEY IN AFRICA: AN ASYMMETRY ANALYSIS. Global Economy Journal, 2020, 20, .	0.6	1
50	Asymmetry cointegration and the J-curve: new evidence from Africa. Journal of Economic Studies, 2020, 47, 969-984.	1.0	10
51	Exchange Rate Risk and Uncertainty and Trade Flows: Asymmetric Evidence from Asia. Journal of Risk and Financial Management, 2020, 13, 128.	1.1	18
52	Policy uncertainty and consumption in G7 countries: An asymmetry analysis. International Economics, 2020, 163, 101-113.	1.6	21
53	Asymmetric causality between stock returns and usual hedges: An industry-level analysis. Journal of Economic Asymmetries, 2020, 21, e00160.	1.6	6
54	Does GINI respond to income volatility in an asymmetric manner? Evidence from 41 countries. Economic Systems, 2020, 44, 100756.	1.0	6

#	Article	IF	Citations
55	Asymmetric Link between U.S. Tariff Policy and Income Distribution: Evidence from State Level Data. Open Economies Review, 2020, 31, 821-857.	0.9	4
56	Fourier nonlinear quantile unit root test and PPP in Africa. Bulletin of Economic Research, 2020, 72, 451-481.	0.5	14
57	On the asymmetric effects of the real exchange rate on domestic investment in <scp>G7</scp> countries. Australian Economic Papers, 2020, 59, 303-318.	1.2	6
58	Policy uncertainty and the demand for money in the United Kingdom: Are the effects asymmetric?. Economic Analysis and Policy, 2020, 66, 76-84.	3.2	9
59	The J-Curve and the Effects of Exchange Rate Changes on International Trade. , 2020, , 297-319.		9
60	Turkish-German Commodity Trade and Asymmetric J-Curve. Applied Economics Quarterly, 2020, 66, 93-129.	0.1	1
61	More evidence on the asymmetric effects of exchange rate changes on the demand for money: evidence from Asian. Applied Economics Letters, 2019, 26, 485-495.	1.0	9
62	On the link between real effective value of Tunisiaâ€™s Dinar and its sectoral trade with the rest of the world: New evidence from asymmetry analysis. Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance, 2019, 73, 111-118.	1.5	2
63	Asymmetry cointegration and the J-curve: new evidence from Korean bilateral trade balance models with her 14 partners. Journal of the Asia Pacific Economy, 2019, 24, 66-81.	1.0	13
64	Exchange rate volatility and Japanâ€“U.S. commodity trade: An asymmetry analysis. World Economy, 2019, 42, 3287-3318.	1.4	3
65	The Sensitivity of U.S. Inpayments and Outpayments to Real Exchange Rate Changes: Asymmetric Evidence From Africa. International Economic Journal, 2019, 33, 455-472.	0.5	6
66	Thailand-China commodity trade and exchange rate uncertainty:ÂAsymmetric evidence from 45 industries. Journal of Economic Asymmetries, 2019, 20, e00130.	1.6	10
67	A nonlinear approach to the U.S.â€“Australia commodity trade and the Jâ€curve: Evidence from 123 industries. Australian Economic Papers, 2019, 58, 318-363.	1.2	5
68	Asymmetric Effects of Policy Uncertainty on the Demand for Money in the United States. Journal of Risk and Financial Management, 2019, 12, 1.	1.1	78
69	An asymmetric analysis of the Jâ€curve effect in the commodity trade between China and the US. World Economy, 2019, 42, 2854-2899.	1.4	25
70	Asymmetric Effects of Policy Uncertainty on Domestic Investment in G7 Countries. Open Economies Review, 2019, 30, 675-693.	0.9	22
71	Asymmetric causality between oil price and stock returns:A sectoral analysis. Economic Analysis and Policy, 2019, 63, 165-174.	3.2	16
72	Asymmetric Effects of Exchange Rate Changes on Thailand-China Commodity Trade: Evidence From 45 Industries. Chinese Economy, 2019, 52, 203-231.	1.1	15

#	Article	IF	Citations
73	On the Asymmetric Effects of Exchange Rate Changes on the Demand for Money: Evidence from Emerging Economies. Journal of Emerging Market Finance, 2019, 18, 1-22.	0.6	13
74	Asymmetric effects of exchange rate changes on the demand for money in Africa. Applied Economics, 2019, 51, 3365-3375.	1.2	8
75	Who is hurt by dollar-euro volatility in the euro zone?. International Economics, 2019, 159, 36-47.	1.6	2
76	On the effects of policy uncertainty on stock prices. Journal of Economics and Finance, 2019, 43, 764-778.	0.8	20
77	U.S.-Africa trade balance and the J-curve: An asymmetry analysis. International Trade Journal, 2019, 33, 322-343.	0.5	20
78	Bangladeshâ€™s trade partners and the J-curve: an asymmetry analysis. Macroeconomics and Finance in Emerging Market Economies, 2019, 12, 174-189.	0.5	2
79	Who benefits from euro depreciation in the euro zone?. Empirica, 2019, 46, 577-595.	1.0	3
80	Political Risk and Real Exchange Rate: What Can We Learn from Recent Developments in Panel Data Econometrics for Emerging and Developing Countries?. Journal of Quantitative Economics, 2019, 17, 741-762.	0.2	4
81	Kazakhstan trade with its partners and the role of tenge: an asymmetric analysis. Eurasian Economic Review, 2019, 9, 493-513.	1.7	6
82	REAL INTEREST RATE PARITY AND FOURIER QUANTILE UNIT ROOT TEST. Bulletin of Economic Research, 2019, 71, 348-358.	0.5	8
83	Is there a J-curve effect in Tunisiaâ€™s bilateral trade with her partners? New evidence from asymmetry analysis. Economic Change and Restructuring, 2019, 52, 1-18.	2.5	9
84	The J-curve and bilateral trade balances of Indonesia with its major partners: are there asymmetric effects?. New Zealand Economic Papers, 2019, 53, 63-76.	0.6	3
85	How sensitive are the U.S. inpayments and outpayments to real exchange rate changes: an asymmetry analysis. International Economics and Economic Policy, 2019, 16, 619-647.	1.0	9
86	On the effects of policy uncertainty on stock prices: an asymmetric analysis. Quantitative Finance and Economics, 2019, 3, 412-424.	1.4	34
87	Do Imports and Exports Adjust Nonlinearly? Evidence from 100 Countries. Global Economy Journal, 2018, 18, .	0.6	3
88	On the effects of income volatility on income distribution: Asymmetric evidence from state level data in the U.S.. Research in Economics, 2018, 72, 224-239.	0.4	8
89	Domestic investment responses to changes in the real exchange rate: <scp>A</scp>symmetries of appreciation versus depreciation. International Journal of Finance and Economics, 2018, 23, 362-375.	1.9	8
90	Do inpayments and outpayments respond to exchange rate changes asymmetrically: Evidence from Malaysia. International Trade Journal, 2018, 32, 317-342.	0.5	10

#	Article	IF	Citations
91	A new perspective on the third-country effect: The case of Malaysiaâ€“US industry-level trade. Journal of International Trade and Economic Development, 2018, 27, 607-637.	1.2	4
92	Exchange-rate volatility and international trade performance: Evidence from 12 African countries. Economic Analysis and Policy, 2018, 58, 14-21.	3.2	66
93	Exchange rate changes and income distribution in 41 countries: Asymmetry analysis. Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance, 2018, 68, 266-282.	1.5	5
94	IS THERE J-CURVE EFFECT IN THE COMMODITY TRADE OF SINGAPORE WITH MALAYSIA? AN EMPIRICAL STUDY. Singapore Economic Review, 2018, 63, 567-591.	0.9	0
95	Revisiting purchasing power parity in G6 countries: an application of smooth time-varying cointegration approach. Empirica, 2018, 45, 187-196.	1.0	13
96	Testing hysteresis effect in U.S. state unemployment: new evidence using a nonlinear quantile unit root test. Applied Economics Letters, 2018, 25, 249-253.	1.0	18
97	On the asymmetric effects of exchange rate changes on domestic production in Turkey. Economic Change and Restructuring, 2018, 51, 97-112.	2.5	29
98	Malaysia-EU trade at the industry level: Is there an asymmetric response to exchange rate volatility?. Empirica, 2018, 45, 425-455.	1.0	8
99	On the relation between exchange rates and stock prices: a non-linear ARDL approach and asymmetry analysis. Journal of Economics and Finance, 2018, 42, 112-137.	0.8	34
100	Re-testing Prebischâ€“Singer hypothesis: new evidence using Fourier quantile unit root test. Applied Economics, 2018, 50, 441-454.	1.2	25
101	Non-linear quantile unit root test and PPP: more evidence from Africa. Applied Economics Letters, 2018, 25, 465-471.	1.0	13
102	Inequality and growth in the United States: is there asymmetric response at the state level?. Applied Economics, 2018, 50, 1074-1092.	1.2	5
103	Asymmetry Effects of Exchange Rate Changes on Domestic Production in Emerging Countries. Emerging Markets Finance and Trade, 2018, 54, 1442-1459.	1.7	17
104	Asymmetric Cointegration, Nonlinear ARDL, and the J-Curve: A Bilateral Analysis of China and Its 21 Trading Partners. Emerging Markets Finance and Trade, 2018, 54, 3131-3151.	1.7	18
105	PPP in the 34 OECD countries: evidence from quantile-based unit root tests with both smooth and sharp breaks. Applied Economics, 2018, 50, 2622-2634.	1.2	10
106	Re-examination of the convergence hypothesis among OECD countries: Evidence from Fourier quantile unit root test. International Economics, 2018, 156, 77-85.	1.6	9
107	On The Relation Between Housing and Stock Markets in 18 OECD Countries: A Bootstrap Panel Causality Test. Journal of Real Estate Portfolio Management, 2018, 24, 121-133.	0.5	6
108	Housing prices and real effective exchange rates in 18 OECD countries: A bootstrap multivariate panel Granger causality. Economic Analysis and Policy, 2018, 60, 119-126.	3.2	27

#	Article	IF	Citations
109	Policy Uncertainty and the Demand for Money in Korea: An Asymmetry Analysis. International Economic Journal, 2018, 32, 219-234.	0.5	11
110	Asymmetric effects of exchange rate changes on the Malaysia-China commodity trade. Economic Systems, 2018, 42, 470-486.	1.0	19
111	Japan-U.S. trade balance at commodity level and asymmetric effects of Yen-Dollar rate. Japan and the World Economy, 2018, 48, 1-10.	0.4	11
112	On the Impact of Policy Uncertainty on Oil Prices: An Asymmetry Analysis. International Journal of Financial Studies, 2018, 6, 12.	1.1	15
113	Thailandâ€™s trade balance with each of her 15 largest partners: an asymmetry analysis. Journal of Economic Studies, 2018, 45, 660-672.	1.0	5
114	The real pesoâ€“dollar rate and USâ€“Mexico industry trade: an asymmetric analysis. Scottish Journal of Political Economy, 2018, 65, 350-389.	1.1	14
115	Policy Uncertainty and the Demand for Money in Australia: an Asymmetry Analysis. Australian Economic Papers, 2018, 57, 456-469.	1.2	27
116	Asymmetric causality between the U.S. housing market and its stock market: Evidence from state level data. Journal of Economic Asymmetries, 2018, 18, e00095.	1.6	40
117	Policy Uncertainty and the Demand for Money in Canada: A Nonlinear Approach. Applied Economics Quarterly, 2018, 64, 279-295.	0.1	4
118	Exchange rate volatility and commodity trade between the U.S. and the Philippines. International Economics and Economic Policy, 2017, 14, 263-291.	1.0	0
119	Commodity trade between the US and Korea and the J-curve effect. New Zealand Economic Papers, 2017, 51, 1-14.	0.6	9
120	Impact of exchange rate volatility on the commodity trade between Pakistan and the US. Economic Change and Restructuring, 2017, 50, 161-187.	2.5	23
121	Revisiting purchasing power parity in Eastern European countries: quantile unit root tests. Empirical Economics, 2017, 52, 463-483.	1.5	15
122	Asymmetric effects of exchange rate changes on the Malaysia-EU trade: evidence from industry data. Empirica, 2017, 44, 339-365.	1.0	8
123	Exchange rate sensitivity of commodity flows between the Philippines and the US. Macroeconomics and Finance in Emerging Market Economies, 2017, 10, 39-67.	0.5	0
124	Evidence on Orcutt's hypothesis using Turkishâ€“US commodity trade. Journal of International Trade and Economic Development, 2017, 26, 25-44.	1.2	0
125	Third-Country Exchange Rate Volatility and Pakistan-U.S. Trade at Commodity Level. International Trade Journal, 2017, 31, 105-129.	0.5	12
126	Do exchange rate changes have symmetric or asymmetric effects on the demand for money in Turkey?. Applied Economics, 2017, 49, 4261-4270.	1.2	20

#	Article	IF	Citations
127	On the asymmetric effects of exchange rate volatility on trade flows: New evidence from US-Malaysia trade at the industry level. Economic Modelling, 2017, 63, 86-103.	1.8	115
128	The Japanese trade balance and asymmetric effects of yen fluctuations: Evidence using nonlinear methods. Journal of Economic Asymmetries, 2017, 15, 56-63.	1.6	15
129	Malaysiaâ€“Korea Commodity Trade: Are there Asymmetric Responses to Exchange Rate Changes?. Economic Papers, 2017, 36, 198-222.	0.4	4
130	Do exchange rate changes have symmetric or asymmetric effects on the trade balances of Asian countries?. Applied Economics, 2017, 49, 4668-4678.	1.2	23
131	Bilateral Trade Balances of Malaysia with Her 11 Largest Trading Partners: New Evidence from Asymmetry Cointegration. Global Economic Review, 2017, 46, 143-161.	0.5	7
132	Pakistan-EU Commodity Trade: Is there Evidence of J-Curve Effect?. Global Economy Journal, 2017, 17, .	0.6	5
133	Asymmetry effects of exchange rate changes on domestic production in Japan. International Review of Applied Economics, 2017, 31, 774-790.	1.3	15
134	The USâ€“Bangladesh commodity trade: An asymmetry analysis. Economic Analysis and Policy, 2017, 56, 28-36.	3.2	9
135	Asymmetric effects of exchange rate changes on Turkish bilateral trade balances. Economic Systems, 2017, 41, 279-296.	1.0	22
136	Asymmetric Effects of Exchange Rate Changes andÂthe Jâ€curve: New Evidence from 61 Malaysiaâ€“Thailand Industries. Review of Development Economics, 2017, 21, e30.	1.0	15
137	The Bilateral Jâ€Curve in Australia: A Nonlinear Reappraisal. Australian Economic Papers, 2017, 56, 249-269.	1.2	6
138	UK trade balance with its trading partners: An asymmetry analysis. Economic Analysis and Policy, 2017, 56, 188-199.	3.2	16
139	On the value of the dollar and income inequality: Asymmetric evidence from state level data in the U.S.. Journal of Economic Asymmetries, 2017, 16, 64-78.	1.6	2
140	Asymmetric response of the <scp>US</scp>â€“India trade balance to exchange rate changes: Evidence from 68 industries. World Economy, 2017, 40, 2226-2254.	1.4	6
141	The Asymmetric Effects of Exchange Rate Changes on the Trade Balance of Singapore. Global Economy Journal, 2017, 17, .	0.6	4
142	Economic uncertainty, monetary uncertainty and the Korean demand for money. Journal of Economic Policy Reform, 2017, 20, 86-97.	1.9	9
143	NONLINEAR AUTOREGRESSIVE DISTRIBUTED LAG APPROACH AND BILATERAL Jâ€CURVE: INDIA VERSUS HER TRADING PARTNERS. Contemporary Economic Policy, 2017, 35, 472-483.	0.8	11
144	Policy Uncertainty and House Prices in the United States. Journal of Real Estate Portfolio Management, 2017, 23, 73-85.	0.5	29

#	Article	IF	Citations
145	The Fourier Quantile Unit Root Test with an Application to the PPP Hypothesis in the OECD. Applied Economics Quarterly, 2017, 63, 295-317.	0.1	23
146	Impact of Economic Growth on Income Distribution: Are the Effects Asymmetric?. Applied Economics Quarterly, 2017, 63, 391-427.	0.1	0
147	Asymmetry Effects of Exchange Rate Changes on Domestic Production: Evidence from Nonlinear ARDL Approach. Australian Economic Papers, 2016, 55, 181-191.	1.2	31
148	Asymmetry cointegration between the value of the dollar and sectoral stock indices in the U.S. International Review of Economics and Finance, 2016, 46, 78-86.	2.2	26
149	Short run and long run effects of exchange rate volatility on commodity trade between Pakistan and Japan. Economic Analysis and Policy, 2016, 52, 131-142.	3.2	24
150	Quantile unit root test and the PPP in Africa. Applied Economics, 2016, , 1-9.	1.2	1
151	Asymmetry cointegration and the J-curve: New evidence from Malaysia-Singapore commodity trade. Journal of Economic Asymmetries, 2016, 14, 211-226.	1.6	27
152	Do exchange rate changes have symmetric or asymmetric effects on the trade balance? Evidence from U.S.â€“Korea commodity trade. Journal of Asian Economics, 2016, 45, 15-30.	1.2	30
153	Purchasing power parity in emerging markets: A panel stationary test with both sharp and smooth breaks. Economic Systems, 2016, 40, 453-460.	1.0	19
154	Exchange rate volatility and Turkish commodity trade with the rest of the world. Economic Change and Restructuring, 2016, 49, 1-21.	2.5	16
155	Do exchange rate changes have symmetric or asymmetric effects on stock prices?. Global Finance Journal, 2016, 31, 57-72.	2.8	105
156	Quantile unit root test and PPP: evidence from 23 OECD countries. Applied Economics, 2016, 48, 2899-2911.	1.2	20
157	Have Technological Advances Reduced Response Time of Trade Flows to Changes in the Exchange Rate and Relative Prices?. International Trade Journal, 2016, 30, 115-131.	0.5	4
158	Asymmetric effects of exchange rate changes on the demand for money in China. Applied Economics Letters, 2016, 23, 1104-1109.	1.0	13
159	Do changes in the fundamentals have symmetric or asymmetric effects on house prices? Evidence from 52 states of the United States of America. Applied Economics, 2016, 48, 2912-2936.	1.2	32
160	Mexican bilateral trade and the J-curve: An application of the nonlinear ARDL model. Economic Analysis and Policy, 2016, 50, 23-40.	3.2	44
161	Nonlinear ARDL Approach and the J-Curve Phenomenon. Open Economies Review, 2016, 27, 51-70.	0.9	182
162	Commodity trade between Pakistan and the US: is there evidence of the J-curve?. Applied Economics, 2016, 48, 957-965.	1.2	22

#	Article	IF	Citations
163	Third-country exchange rate volatility and Japaneseâ€“US trade: evidence from industry-level data. Applied Economics, 2016, 48, 1452-1462.	1.2	13
164	Panel asymmetric nonlinear unit root test and PPP in Africa. Applied Economics Letters, 2016, 23, 554-558.	1.0	15
165	Policy Uncertainty and the Demand for Money in the United States. Applied Economics Quarterly, 2016, 62, 37-49.	0.1	21
166	Exchangeâ€Rate Risk and <scp>J</scp>apaneseâ€“<scp>T</scp>hai Industry Trade. Australian Economic Papers, 2015, 54, 22-37.	1.2	3
167	Purchasing Power Parity in Transition Countries: Panel Stationary Test with Smooth and Sharp Breaks. International Journal of Financial Studies, 2015, 3, 153-161.	1.1	14
168	Impulse response analysis and Orcuttâ€™s hypothesis in trade. Empirica, 2015, 42, 673-683.	1.0	3
169	Purchasing Power Parity and the Law of One Price: Evidence from Commodity Prices in Asian Countries. Global Economy Journal, 2015, 15, 231-240.	0.6	2
170	On the impact of financial development on income distribution: time-series evidence. Applied Economics, 2015, 47, 1248-1271.	1.2	34
171	Policy uncertainty and the demand for money in the United Kingdom. Applied Economics, 2015, 47, 1151-1157.	1.2	25
172	Does exchange rate volatility hurt domestic consumption? Evidence from emerging economies. International Economics, 2015, 144, 53-65.	1.6	22
173	Nonlinear ARDL approach, asymmetric effects and the J-curve. Journal of Economic Studies, 2015, 42, 519-530.	1.0	137
174	Further evidence on Orcuttâ€™s hypothesis using Koreanâ€“US commodity data. Applied Economics Letters, 2015, 22, 717-724.	1.0	2
175	Impulse response analysis and Orcuttâ€™s hypothesis in trade: evidence from developing countries. Applied Economics, 2015, 47, 5739-5747.	1.2	7
176	Exchange-rate volatility and commodity trade between the USA and Indonesia. New Zealand Economic Papers, 2015, 49, 78-102.	0.6	9
177	Revisiting Purchasing Power Parity in OECD. Applied Economics, 2015, 47, 4323-4334.	1.2	26
178	On the relation between stock prices and exchange rates: a review article. Journal of Economic Studies, 2015, 42, 707-732.	1.0	81
179	The J-Curve: Evidence from Industry-Level Data Between the U.S. and Indonesia. International Trade Journal, 2015, 29, 103-114.	0.5	19
180	The Exchange Rate Disconnect Puzzle Revisited. International Journal of Finance and Economics, 2015, 20, 126-137.	1.9	14

#	Article	IF	Citations
181	The effects of exchange-rate volatility on industry trade between the US and Egypt. Economic Change and Restructuring, 2015, 48, 93-117.	2.5	16
182	Commodity trade between EU and Egypt and Orcuttâ€™s hypothesis. Empirica, 2015, 42, 1-24.	1.0	18
183	Revisiting purchasing power parity in major oil-exporting countries. Macroeconomics and Finance in Emerging Market Economies, 2015, 8, 108-116.	0.5	3
184	Exchange-rate volatility and commodity trade between the E.U. and Egypt: evidence from 59 industries. Empirica, 2015, 42, 109-129.	1.0	16
185	A note on the S-curve dynamics of commodity trade between Brazil and the United States. Latin American Journal of Economics, 2015, 52, 307-341.	0.5	2
186	Economic <scp>U</scp>ncertainty, <scp>M</scp>onetary <scp>U</scp>ncertainty, and the Demand for Money: Evidence From Asian Countries. Australian Economic Papers, 2014, 53, 16-28.	1.2	8
187	Exchange-rate risk and UK-China trade: evidence from 47 industries. Journal of Chinese Economic and Foreign Trade Studies, 2014, 7, 2-17.	0.9	22
188	USâ€“Indonesia trade at commodity level and the role of the exchange rate. Applied Economics, 2014, 46, 2154-2166.	1.2	18
189	The Effects of Exchangeâ€Rate Volatility on Korean Trade Flows: Industryâ€Level Estimates. Economic Papers, 2014, 33, 76-94.	0.4	4
190	Purchasing Power Parity in African Countries: Evidence from the Sequential Panel Selection Method. Economic Papers, 2014, 33, 295-304.	0.4	6
191	Exchange rate volatility and Spanish-American commodity trade flows. Economic Systems, 2014, 38, 243-260.	1.0	9
192	Export diversification and the S-curve effect in a resource-rich state: evidence from Azerbaijan. Economic Change and Restructuring, 2014, 47, 135-154.	2.5	5
193	Price and income elasticities: evidence from commodity trade between the U.S. and Egypt. International Economics and Economic Policy, 2014, 11, 561-574.	1.0	15
194	Is there J-Curve effect in the commodity trade between Korea and rest of the world?. Economic Change and Restructuring, 2014, 47, 227-250.	2.5	19
195	The S-curve dynamics of trade between the US and Korea: Evidence from commodity trade. New Zealand Economic Papers, 2014, 48, 40-52.	0.6	3
196	Exchange Rate Uncertainty and Trade between U.S. and Canada: Is There Evidence of Third-Country Effect?. International Trade Journal, 2014, 28, 23-44.	0.5	18
197	Brazilâ€“US commodity trade and the J-Curve. Applied Economics, 2014, 46, 1-13.	1.2	15
198	Is there a J-curve for Azerbaijan? New evidence from industry-level analysis. Macroeconomics and Finance in Emerging Market Economies, 2014, 7, 83-98.	0.5	3

#	Article	IF	Citations
199	Real and nominal effective exchange rates of African countries during 1971Q1â€“2012Q4. Applied Economics, 2014, 46, 1961-1984.	1.2	16
200	Industry trade and exchange-rate fluctuations: Evidence from the U.S. and Chile. International Review of Economics and Finance, 2014, 29, 619-626.	2.2	12
201	Revisiting purchasing power parity in 34 OECD countries: sequential panel selection method. Applied Economics Letters, 2014, 21, 1283-1287.	1.0	7
202	Revisiting purchasing power parity in African countries: panel stationary test with sharp and smooth breaks. Applied Financial Economics, 2014, 24, 1429-1438.	0.5	69
203	Dynamics of the China-United Kingdom Commodity Trade. Chinese Economy, 2014, 47, 75-93.	1.1	2
204	Economic Uncertainty, Monetary Uncertainty, and the Demand for Money in Africa. Applied Economics Quarterly, 2014, 60, 293-313.	0.1	8
205	Do MNCs spur financial markets in corrupt host countries?. Journal of Economics and Finance, 2013, 37, 308-317.	0.8	2
206	The S-Curve Dynamics of U.S.-Mexico Commodity Trade. Journal of Applied Economics, 2013, 16, 33-48.	0.6	3
207	Currency depreciations and the U.S.â€“Italian trade balance: Industry-level estimates. Research in Economics, 2013, 67, 215-225.	0.4	7
208	The impact of economic and monetary uncertainty on the demand for money in emerging economies. Applied Economics, 2013, 45, 3278-3287.	1.2	44
209	Impact of exchange-rate variability on commodity trade between U.S. and Germany. Empirica, 2013, 40, 287-324.	1.0	4
210	The J-curve: evidence from commodity trade between UK and China. Applied Economics, 2013, 45, 4369-4378.	1.2	33
211	The effects of exchange-rate volatility on commodity trade between the U.S. and Brazil. North American Journal of Economics and Finance, 2013, 25, 70-93.	1.8	52
212	Impact of exchange rate volatility on commodity trade between US and Hong Kong. International Review of Applied Economics, 2013, 27, 81-109.	1.3	10
213	Are Devaluations Contractionary in Africa?. Global Economic Review, 2013, 42, 1-14.	0.5	27
214	The J-Curve and Japanâ€“China commodity trade. Journal of Chinese Economic and Business Studies, 2013, 11, 13-28.	1.6	9
215	Exchange-rate sensitivity of commodity trade flows: Does the choice of reporting country affect the empirical estimates?. Journal of International Trade and Economic Development, 2013, 22, 1183-1213.	1.2	7
216	Currency fluctuations and the Frenchâ€“U.S. trade balance: evidence from 118 industries. Empirica, 2013, 40, 237-257.	1.0	8

#	Article	IF	Citations
217	Exchange rate volatility and its impact on domestic investment. Research in Economics, 2013, 67, 1-12.	0.4	25
218	Regime changes and the impact of currency depreciations: the case of Spanishâ€“US industry trade. Empirica, 2013, 40, 21-37.	1.0	9
219	Revisiting purchasing power parity in Latin America: sequential panel selection method. Applied Economics, 2013, 45, 4584-4590.	1.2	16
220	Exchangeâ€rate volatility and USâ€“Hong Kong industry trade: is there evidence of a â€˜third countryâ€™ effect?. Applied Economics, 2013, 45, 2629-2651.	1.2	23
221	Exchange-rate variability and U.S.-French trade flows: evidence from industry data. Empirica, 2013, 40, 685-719.	1.0	8
222	Long-Run Price Elasticities and the Marshallâ€“Lerner Condition: Evidence from Egyptâ€“EU Commodity Trade. European Journal of Development Research, 2013, 25, 695-713.	1.2	10
223	Exchange Rate Uncertainty and Trade Flows Between the United States and China. Chinese Economy, 2013, 46, 29-53.	1.1	7
224	Exchange-Rate Volatility and Industry Trade Between Japan and China. Global Economy Journal, 2012, 12, 1850268.	0.6	2
225	Economic and Monetary Uncertainty and the Demand for Money in China. Chinese Economy, 2012, 45, 26-37.	1.1	24
226	Exchange rate volatility and domestic consumption: Evidence from Japan. Economic Systems, 2012, 36, 326-335.	1.0	25
227	Jâ€Curve: Singapore versus her Major Trading Partners. Economic Papers, 2012, 31, 515-522.	0.4	8
228	The impact of exchange rate volatility on commodity trade between the US and Thailand. International Review of Applied Economics, 2012, 26, 515-532.	1.3	21
229	USâ€“Malaysia Trade at Commodity Level and the Role of the Real Exchange Rate. Global Economic Review, 2012, 41, 55-75.	0.5	3
230	Exchange-rate volatility and industry trade between Canada and Mexico. Journal of International Trade and Economic Development, 2012, 21, 389-408.	1.2	20
231	Is there J-Curve effect in Africa?. International Review of Applied Economics, 2012, 26, 73-81.	1.3	26
232	The Saving-Investment Gap And Income Inequality: Evidence From 16 Countries. Journal of Developing Areas, 2012, 46, 145-158.	0.2	5
233	Impact of exchange rate volatility on commodity trade between U.S. and China: is there a third country effect. Journal of Economics and Finance, 2012, 36, 555-586.	0.8	22
234	Exchange Rate Uncertainty and Trade between the United States and Canada: Evidence from 152 Industries. Economic Papers, 2012, 31, 286-301.	0.4	4

#	Article	IF	Citations
235	IS THERE EVIDENCE OF THE Jâ€CURVE IN COMMODITY TRADE BETWEEN THE USA AND HONG KONG?*. Manchester School, 2012, 80, 295-320.	0.4	6
236	EXCHANGE-RATE VOLATILITY AND INDUSTRY TRADE BETWEEN THE U.S. AND KOREA. Journal of Economic Development, 2012, 37, 1-27.	0.3	13
237	German-US Commodity Trade: Is there a J-Curve Effect?. Applied Economics Quarterly, 2012, 58, 327-353.	0.1	4
238	The J-curve and NAFTA: evidence from commodity trade between the US and Mexico. Applied Economics, 2011, 43, 1579-1593.	1.2	32
239	How stable is the demand for international reserves?. Applied Economics Letters, 2011, 18, 1387-1392.	1.0	3
240	Impact of exchange rate uncertainty on commodity trade between US and Sweden. Applied Economics, 2011, 43, 3231-3251.	1.2	15
241	S-curve dynamics of trade between Sweden and her trading partners. Economic Systems, 2011, 35, 355-362.	1.0	2
242	Industry trade between Canada and Mexico: Will a weakening peso help Mexican manufacturing in the long run?. North American Journal of Economics and Finance, 2011, 22, 89-101.	1.8	13
243	The S-Curve at the Industry Level: Evidence from US-Australia Trade*. Economic Papers, 2011, 30, 497-521.	0.4	4
244	ECONOMIC UNCERTAINTY, MONETARY UNCERTAINTY AND THE DEMAND FOR MONEY IN AUSTRALIA. Australian Economic Papers, 2011, 50, 115-128.	1.2	16
245	Exchange-rate volatility and industry trade between the U.S. and Malaysia. Research in International Business and Finance, 2011, 25, 127-155.	3.1	42
246	How Sensitive is U.S.-Canadian Trade to the Exchange Rate: Evidence from Industry Data. Open Economies Review, 2011, 22, 53-91.	0.9	11
247	Exchange rate volatility and domestic consumption: a multicountry analysis. Journal of Post Keynesian Economics, 2011, 34, 319-330.	0.3	14
248	How sensitive is commodity trade flows between US and India to currency depreciation?. Applied Economics, 2010, 42, 267-277.	1.2	19
249	The effects of currency fluctuations and trade integration on industry trade between Canada and Mexico. Research in Economics, 2010, 64, 212-223.	0.4	15
250	USâ€“Thailand trade at the commodity level and the role of the real exchange rate. Journal of Asian Economics, 2010, 21, 514-525.	1.2	5
251	THE BLACK-MARKET EXCHANGE RATE VERSUS THE OFFICIAL RATE: WHICH RATE FOSTERS THE ADJUSTMENT SPEED IN THE MONETARIST MODEL?. Manchester School, 2010, 78, 725-738.	0.4	4
252	On the relation between currency depreciation and domestic investment. Journal of Post Keynesian Economics, 2010, 32, 645-660.	0.3	33

#	Article	IF	Citations
253	Black and official market exchange rates and purchasing power parity: evidence from Latin America. Applied Economics Letters, 2010, 17, 1453-1459.	1.0	1
254	S-Curve at the Commodity Level: Evidence from US-India Trade. International Trade Journal, 2010, 24, 84-95.	0.5	9
255	The S-Curve Dynamics of US-Hong Kong Commodity Trade. Global Economic Review, 2010, 39, 117-128.	0.5	1
256	S-Curve dynamics of trade between U.S. and China. China Economic Review, 2010, 21, 212-223.	2.1	25
257	The J-curve: Malaysia versus her major trading partners. Applied Economics, 2010, 42, 1067-1076.	1.2	42
258	On the relation between currency depreciation and wages. Applied Economics Letters, 2010, 17, 525-530.	1.0	9
259	Bounds testing cointegration methods and PPP: evidence from 123 Countries. Applied Economics Letters, 2010, 17, 1335-1340.	1.0	5
260	Towards solving the PPP puzzle: evidence from 113 countries. Applied Economics, 2009, 41, 3057-3066.	1.2	19
261	Is PPP sensitive to time-varying trade weights in constructing real effective exchange rates?. Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance, 2009, 49, 1001-1008.	1.5	12
262	The Japaneseâ€"U.S. trade balance and the yen: Evidence from industry data. Japan and the World Economy, 2009, 21, 161-171.	0.4	19
263	PURCHASING POWER PARITY IN LESSâ€DEVELOPED AND TRANSITION ECONOMIES: A REVIEW PAPER. Journal of Economic Surveys, 2009, 23, 617-658.	3.7	44
264	EXCHANGE RATE SENSITIVITY OF AUSTRALIA'S TRADE FLOWS: EVIDENCE FROM INDUSTRY DATA*. Manchester School, 2009, 77, 1-16.	0.4	5
265	The J-Curve at industry level: Evidence from Swedenâ€"US trade. Economic Systems, 2009, 33, 83-92.	1.0	16
266	The J-curve in the emerging economies of Eastern Europe. Applied Economics, 2009, 41, 2523-2532.	1.2	62
267	Are devaluations contractionary in MENA countries?. Applied Economics, 2009, 41, 139-150.	1.2	32
268	Trade Liberalisation, the Peso, and Mexico's Commodity Trade Flows with the United States. Journal of Development Studies, 2009, 45, 693-725.	1.2	13
269	The J-curve: Indonesia vs. Her Major Trading Partners. Journal of Economic Integration, 2009, 24, 765-777.	0.5	14
270	SHORT-RUN AND LONG-RUN EFFECTS OF CURRENCY DEPRECIATION ON THE BILATERAL TRADE BALANCE BETWEEN PAKISTAN AND HER MAJOR TRADING PARTNERS. Journal of Economic Development, 2009, 34, 19-41.	0.3	25

#	Article	IF	Citations
271	The Effects of Exchangeâ€Rate Volatility on Commodity Trade between the United States and Mexico. Southern Economic Journal, 2009, 75, 1019-1044.	1.3	78
272	Exchange Rate Risk and Commodity Trade Between the U.S. and India. Open Economies Review, 2008, 19, 71-80.	0.9	33
273	Are devaluations contractionary in emerging economies of Eastern Europe?. Economic Change and Restructuring, 2008, 41, 61-74.	2.5	23
274	S-Curve at the industry level: evidence from USâ€“UK commodity trade. Empirical Economics, 2008, 35, 141-152.	1.5	10
275	The J-Curve: Evidence from commodity trade between Canada and the U.S.. Journal of Economics and Finance, 2008, 32, 207-225.	0.8	21
276	Sâ€curve Dynamics of Trade in Africa*. African Development Review, 2008, 20, 335-342.	1.5	11
277	IMPACT OF EXCHANGE RATE UNCERTAINTY ON COMMODITY TRADE BETWEEN THE US AND AUSTRALIA*. Australian Economic Papers, 2008, 47, 235-258.	1.2	15
278	Impact of Exchange Rate Uncertainty on Trade Flows: Evidence from Commodity Trade between the United States and the United Kingdom. World Economy, 2008, 31, 1097-1128.	1.4	24
279	Do nominal devaluations lead to real devaluations? Evidence from 89 countries. International Review of Economics and Finance, 2008, 17, 644-670.	2.2	13
280	Exchange-rate risk and U.S.â€Japan trade: Evidence from industry level data. Journal of the Japanese and International Economies, 2008, 22, 518-534.	1.4	22
281	The black market exchange rate vs. the official rate in testing PPP: Which rate fosters the adjustment process?. Economics Letters, 2008, 99, 40-43.	0.9	112
282	Exchange rate sensitivity of US bilateral trade flows. Economic Systems, 2008, 32, 129-141.	1.0	36
283	Short-run and long-run determinants of income inequality: evidence from 16 countries. Journal of Post Keynesian Economics, 2008, 30, 463-484.	0.3	31
284	The J-curve: evidence from commodity trade between US and China. Applied Economics, 2008, 40, 2735-2747.	1.2	59
285	Kuznets inverted-U hypothesis revisited: a time-series approach using US data. Applied Economics Letters, 2008, 15, 677-681.	1.0	31
286	The S-Curve in Emerging Markets. Comparative Economic Studies, 2008, 50, 341-351.	0.5	9
287	Do Real Exchange Rates Follow a Nonlinear Mean Reverting Process in Developing Countries?. Southern Economic Journal, 2008, 74, 1049-1062.	1.3	78
288	RELATIVE RESPONSIVENESS OF TRADE FLOWS TO A CHANGE IN PRICES AND EXCHANGE RATE IN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES. Journal of Economic Development, 2008, 33, 147-164.	0.3	11

#	Article	IF	Citations
289	Black Market Exchange Rate versus the Official Rate in Testing the PPP: An Application of a Non-Linear Test. Comparative Economic Studies, 2007, 49, 632-641.	0.5	4
290	Real and nominal effective exchange rates for African countries. Applied Economics, 2007, 39, 961-979.	1.2	14
291	Sectoral Employment, Wages and The Exchange Rate: Evidence From The U.S.. Eastern Economic Journal, 2007, 33, 125-136.	0.5	7
292	Real and Nominal Effective Exchange Rates for LDCs: 1971â€“2004. International Trade Journal, 2007, 21, 385-416.	0.5	7
293	Testing PPP in the non-linear STAR framework. Economics Letters, 2007, 94, 104-110.	0.9	40
294	On the Relation between Nominal Devaluation and Real Devaluation: Evidence from African Countries. Journal of African Economies, 2007, 16, 177-197.	0.8	15
295	THE Jâ€CURVE AT THE INDUSTRY LEVEL: EVIDENCE FROM TRADE BETWEEN THE US AND AUSTRALIA*. Australian Economic Papers, 2007, 46, 315-328.	1.2	13
296	The S-curve Dynamics of US Bilateral Trade. Review of International Economics, 2007, 15, 430-439.	0.6	32
297	UNITED STATESâ€CHINA TRADE AT THE COMMODITY LEVEL AND THE YUANâ€DOLLAR EXCHANGE RATE. Contemporary Economic Policy, 2007, 25, 341-361.	0.8	48
298	Bilateral S-curve between Japan and her trading partners. Japan and the World Economy, 2007, 19, 483-489.	0.4	29
299	Export growth and output growth: An application of bounds testing approach. Journal of Economics and Finance, 2007, 31, 1-11.	0.8	36
300	How Stable is the Demand for Money in China?. Journal of Economic Development, 2007, 32, 21-34.	0.3	34
301	Exchange Rate Fluctuations and Output in Oil-Producing Countries: The Case of Iran. IMF Working Papers, 2007, 07, 1.	0.5	4
302	Bilateral J-curve between the UK vis-Ã-vis her major trading partners. Applied Economics, 2006, 38, 879-888.	1.2	41
303	Political rights, civil liberties, and the black market premium on foreign exchange: Evidence from developing countries. Review of Political Economy, 2006, 18, 91-104.	0.6	2
304	Military spending and the black market premium in developing countries. Review of Social Economy, 2006, 64, 77-91.	0.7	5
305	Black market exchange rate and the productivity bias hypothesis. Economics Letters, 2006, 91, 243-249.	0.9	36
306	Black Market Premium and Income Distribution. Journal of Developing Areas, 2006, 39, 17-28.	0.2	8

#	Article	IF	Citations
307	THE J CURVE: CHINA VERSUS HER TRADING PARTNERS. Bulletin of Economic Research, 2006, 58, 323-343.	0.5	51
308	Do the black market and the official exchange rates converge in the long run?. Journal of Economics and Finance, 2006, 30, 57-69.	0.8	1
309	Exchange Rate Overshooting in East Asian Countries. Emerging Markets Finance and Trade, 2006, 42, 5-18.	1.7	10
310	Exchange Rate Sensitivity of U.S. Trade Flows: Evidence from Industry Data. Southern Economic Journal, 2006, 72, 542.	1.3	102
311	How sensitive are Malaysia's bilateral trade flows to depreciation?. Applied Economics, 2006, 38, 1279-1286.	1.2	25
312	The demand for money in Turkey and currency substitution. Applied Economics Letters, 2006, 13, 635-642.	1.0	15
313	Exchange Rate Sensitivity of U.S. Trade Flows: Evidence from Industry Data. Southern Economic Journal, 2006, 72, 542-559.	1.3	86
314	THE J CURVE: CHINA VERSUS HER TRADING PARTNERS. Bulletin of Economic Research, 2006, 58, 323-343.	0.5	49
315	THE BILATERAL J-CURVE: AUSTRALIA VERSUS HER 23 TRADING PARTNERS. Australian Economic Papers, 2005, 44, 110-120.	1.2	48
316	Productivity Bias Hypothesis and The Purchasing Power Parity: a review article. Journal of Economic Surveys, 2005, 19, 671-696.	3.7	47
317	Black Market Exchange Rates and Purchasing Power Parity in Emerging Economies. Emerging Markets Finance and Trade, 2005, 41, 37-52.	1.7	14
318	Stability of the money demand function in Asian developing countries. Applied Economics, 2005, 37, 773-792.	1.2	106
319	How stable is the demand for money in Greece?. International Economic Journal, 2005, 19, 461-472.	0.5	12
320	Exchange rate sensitivity of the Canadian bilateral inpayments and outpayments. Economic Modelling, 2005, 22, 745-757.	1.8	8
321	Openness, size, and the savingâ€“investment relationship. Economic Systems, 2005, 29, 283-293.	1.0	38
322	INCOME AND PRICE ELASTICITIES OF TRADE: Some New Estimates. International Trade Journal, 2005, 19, 165-178.	0.5	80
323	The Impact of Corruption on the Black Market Premium. Southern Economic Journal, 2005, 71, 483-493.	1.3	0
324	Kalman filter approach to estimate the demand for international reserves. Applied Economics, 2004, 36, 1655-1668.	1.2	18

#	Article	IF	Citations
325	ARDL Approach to Test the Productivity Bias Hypothesis. Review of Development Economics, 2004, 8, 483-488.	1.0	83
326	Exchange rate sensitivity of Japanâ€™s bilateral trade flows. Japan and the World Economy, 2004, 16, 1-15.	0.4	92
327	The J-curve dynamics of U.S. bilateral trade. Journal of Economics and Finance, 2004, 28, 32-38.	0.8	61
328	The J-Curve: a literature review. Applied Economics, 2004, 36, 1377-1398.	1.2	271
329	Dynamics of the U.S. Trade With Developing Countries. Journal of Developing Areas, 2004, 37, 1-11.	0.2	41
330	Long-run nature of the relationship between the black market and the official exchange rates. Economic Systems, 2004, 28, 319-327.	1.0	6
331	Bilateral J-curve between India and her trading partners. Applied Economics, 2003, 35, 1037-1041.	1.2	69
332	A new criteria for selecting the optimum lags in Johansen's cointegration technique. Applied Economics, 2003, 35, 875-880.	1.2	26
333	Relative Responsiveness of Trade Flows to a Change in Prices and Exchange Rate. International Review of Applied Economics, 2003, 17, 293-308.	1.3	67
334	Demand for international reserves: a review article. Applied Economics, 2002, 34, 1209-1226.	1.2	45
335	Are devaluations contractionary in Asia?. Journal of Post Keynesian Economics, 2002, 25, 69-82.	0.3	19
336	Stability of M2 money demand function in industrial countries. Applied Economics, 2002, 34, 2075-2083.	1.2	57
337	Does black market exchange rate volatility deter the trade flows? Iranian experience. Applied Economics, 2002, 34, 2249-2255.	1.2	34
338	Do nominal devaluations lead to real devaluations in LDCs?. Economics Letters, 2002, 74, 385-391.	0.9	24
339	The long-run relation between black market and official exchange rates: evidence from panel cointegration. Economics Letters, 2002, 76, 397-404.	0.9	17
340	Nominal and real effective exchange rates of middle eastern countries and their trade performance. Applied Economics, 2001, 33, 103-111.	1.2	84
341	On the relationship between the value of the mark and German production. Applied Economics, 2001, 33, 1525-1530.	1.2	5
342	Currency substitution in Thailand. Journal of Policy Modeling, 2001, 23, 141-145.	1.7	45

#	Article	IF	Citations
343	How stable is M2 money demand function in Japan?. Japan and the World Economy, 2001, 13, 455-461.	0.4	58
344	Stability of the Demand for Money in an Unstable Country: Russia. Journal of Post Keynesian Economics, 2000, 22, 619-629.	0.3	26
345	Exchange rate overshooting in Turkey. Economics Letters, 2000, 68, 89-93.	0.9	14
346	German monetary unification and the stability of the German M3 money demand function. Economics Letters, 2000, 66, 203-208.	0.9	112
347	Real and nominal effective exchange rates for developing countries: 1973:1-1997:3. Applied Economics, 2000, 32, 411-428.	1.2	33
348	Bilateral J-Curve between U.S. and her trading partners. Weltwirtschaftliches Archiv, 1999, 135, 156-165.	0.8	179
349	COINTEGRATION APPROACH TO ESTIMATING BILATERAL TRADE ELASTICITIES BETWEEN U.S. AND HER TRADING PARTNERS. International Economic Journal, 1999, 13, 119-128.	0.5	16
350	Do exchange rates follow a random walk process in Middle Eastern countries?. Economics Letters, 1998, 58, 339-344.	0.9	60
351	Long-run price elasticities and the Marshallâ€“Lerner condition revisited. Economics Letters, 1998, 61, 101-109.	0.9	161
352	Exchange rate sensitivity of the demand for money in Spain. Applied Economics, 1998, 30, 607-612.	1.2	22
353	COINTEGRATION APPROACH TO ESTIMATE THE LONG-RUN TRADE ELASTICITIES IN LDCs. International Economic Journal, 1998, 12, 89-96.	0.5	39
354	The Purchasing Power Parity and the Russian Ruble. Comparative Economic Studies, 1997, 39, 82-94.	0.5	7
355	Response of Domestic Production to Depreciation in Korea: an Application of Johansen's Conintegration Methodology. International Economic Journal, 1997, 11, 103-112.	0.5	10
356	Effects of devaluation on income distribution. Applied Economics Letters, 1997, 4, 321-323.	1.0	14
357	A Reexamination of Balassa's Productivity Bias Hypothesis. Economic Development and Cultural Change, 1996, 45, 195-204.	0.8	17
358	The demand for money in Japan: Evidence from cointegration analysis. Japan and the World Economy, 1996, 8, 1-10.	0.4	47
359	The black market exchange rate and demand for money in Iran. Journal of Macroeconomics, 1996, 18, 171-176.	0.7	79
360	Time-Series Support for Balassa's Productivity-Bias Hypothesis: Evidence from Korea. Review of International Economics, 1996, 4, 364-370.	0.6	35

#	Article	IF	Citations
361	Source of Stagflation in an Oil-Producing Country: Evidence from Iran. Journal of Post Keynesian Economics, 1996, 18, 609-620.	0.3	14
362	The Decline of the Iranian Rial During the Post-Revolutionary Period: The Monetary Approach and Johansen's Cointegration Analysis. Canadian Journal of Development Studies, 1995, 16, 277-289.	1.7	6
363	Real and nominal effective exchange rates for 22 LDCs: 1971:1â€“1990:4. Applied Economics, 1995, 27, 591-604.	1.2	74
364	Long-Run Elasticities of the Demand for Money in Korea:Evidence from Cointegration Analysis. International Economic Journal, 1994, 8, 83-93.	0.5	28
365	LONG-RUN ELASTICITIES OF THE DEMAND FOR MONEY IN KOREA: EVIDENCE FROM COINTEGRATION ANALYSIS. International Economic Journal, 1994, 8, 83-93.	0.5	21
366	Purchasing power parity based on effective exchange rate and cointegration: 25 LDCs' experience with its absolute formulation. World Development, 1993, 21, 1023-1031.	2.6	65
367	Black market exchange rates versus official exchange rates in testing purchasing power parity: an examination of the Iranian rial. Applied Economics, 1993, 25, 465-472.	1.2	40
368	Inflationary effects of changes in effective exchange rates: LDCs experience. Applied Economics, 1992, 24, 465-471.	1.2	22
369	Stock prices and the effective exchange rate of the dollar. Applied Economics, 1992, 24, 459-464.	1.2	300
370	A Time-Series Approach to Test the Productivity Bias Hypothesis in Purchasing Power Parity. Kyklos, 1992, 45, 227-236.	0.7	41
371	Effects of exchange rate risk on exports: crosscountry analysis. World Development, 1992, 20, 1173-1181.	2.6	38
372	More evidence on the J curve from LDCs. Journal of Policy Modeling, 1992, 14, 641-653.	1.7	87
373	ARE THE TWIN DEFICITS REALLY RELATED? A COMMENT. Contemporary Economic Policy, 1992, 10, 108-111.	0.8	13
374	Exports, growth and causality in LDCs. Journal of Development Economics, 1991, 36, 405-415.	2.1	129
375	Is there a long-run relation between the trade balance and the real effective exchange rate of LDCs?. Economics Letters, 1991, 36, 403-407.	0.9	156
376	Exchange rate sensitivity of the demand for money in developing countries. Applied Economics, 1991, 23, 1377-1384.	1.2	36
377	Effects of exchange rate variability on inflation variability. World Development, 1991, 19, 729-733.	2.6	15
378	The demand for money in an open economy: the United Kingdom. Applied Economics, 1991, 23, 1037-1042.	1.2	24

#	Article	IF	Citations
379	THE AUSTRALIAN J-CURVE: A REEXAMINATION. International Economic Journal, 1991, 5, 49-58.	0.5	13
380	Exchange rate sensitivity of demand for money and effectiveness of fiscal and monetary policies. Applied Economics, 1990, 22, 917-925.	1.2	96
381	Devaluation and the J-Curve: Some Evidence from LDCs: Errata. Review of Economics and Statistics, 1989, 71, 553.	2.3	38
382	Exchange Rate Flexibility and the Speed of Adjustment. Kyklos, 1988, 41, 35-49.	0.7	6
383	Oil price shocks and stability of the demand for international reserves. Journal of Macroeconomics, 1988, 10, 633-641.	0.7	10
384	Demand for International Reserves: Corrections for Serial Correlation and Heteroscedasticity. Applied Economics, 1987, 19, 609-618.	1.2	13
385	Effects of exchange rate flexibility on the demand for international reserves. Economics Letters, 1987, 23, 89-93.	0.9	21
386	Determinants of international trade flows. Journal of Development Economics, 1986, 20, 107-123.	2.1	301
387	Transaction Costs and the Interest Parity Theorem. Journal of Political Economy, 1985, 93, 793-799.	3.3	46
388	Demand for international reserves: survey of recent empirical studies. Applied Economics, 1985, 17, 359-375.	1.2	20
389	Devaluation and the J-Curve: Some Evidence from LDCs. Review of Economics and Statistics, 1985, 67, 500.	2.3	292
390	Policy uncertainty and income distribution: Asymmetric evidence from stateâ€level data in the United States. Bulletin of Economic Research, 0, , .	0.5	3
391	On the link between U.S.â€China commodity trade and exchange rate uncertainty: An asymmetric analysis. Australian Economic Papers, 0, , .	1.2	2
392	POLICY UNCERTAINTY AND THE DEMAND FOR MONEY IN SINGAPORE: AN ASYMMETRIC ANALYSIS. Singapore Economic Review, 0, , 1-16.	0.9	0
393	On the link between the real exchange rate and domestic investment in Asia: Are there asymmetric effects?. Journal of the Asia Pacific Economy, 0, , 1-10.	1.0	0