

Mohsen Bahmani-Oskooee

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/6339856/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

393
papers

9,779
citations

53789

45
h-index

76898

74
g-index

394
all docs

394
docs citations

394
times ranked

1944
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Determinants of international trade flows. <i>Journal of Development Economics</i> , 1986, 20, 107-123.	4.5	301
2	Stock prices and the effective exchange rate of the dollar. <i>Applied Economics</i> , 1992, 24, 459-464.	2.2	300
3	Devaluation and the J-Curve: Some Evidence from LDCs. <i>Review of Economics and Statistics</i> , 1985, 67, 500.	4.3	292
4	The J-Curve: a literature review. <i>Applied Economics</i> , 2004, 36, 1377-1398.	2.2	271
5	Nonlinear ARDL Approach and the J-Curve Phenomenon. <i>Open Economies Review</i> , 2016, 27, 51-70.	1.6	182
6	Bilateral J-Curve between U.S. and her trading partners. <i>Weltwirtschaftliches Archiv</i> , 1999, 135, 156-165.	0.8	179
7	Long-run price elasticities and the Marshall-Lerner condition revisited. <i>Economics Letters</i> , 1998, 61, 101-109.	1.9	161
8	Is there a long-run relation between the trade balance and the real effective exchange rate of LDCs?. <i>Economics Letters</i> , 1991, 36, 403-407.	1.9	156
9	Nonlinear ARDL approach, asymmetric effects and the J-curve. <i>Journal of Economic Studies</i> , 2015, 42, 519-530.	1.9	137
10	Exports, growth and causality in LDCs. <i>Journal of Development Economics</i> , 1991, 36, 405-415.	4.5	129
11	On the asymmetric effects of exchange rate volatility on trade flows: New evidence from US-Malaysia trade at the industry level. <i>Economic Modelling</i> , 2017, 63, 86-103.	3.8	115
12	German monetary unification and the stability of the German M3 money demand function. <i>Economics Letters</i> , 2000, 66, 203-208.	1.9	112
13	The black market exchange rate vs. the official rate in testing PPP: Which rate fosters the adjustment process?. <i>Economics Letters</i> , 2008, 99, 40-43.	1.9	112
14	Stability of the money demand function in Asian developing countries. <i>Applied Economics</i> , 2005, 37, 773-792.	2.2	106
15	Do exchange rate changes have symmetric or asymmetric effects on stock prices?. <i>Global Finance Journal</i> , 2016, 31, 57-72.	5.1	105
16	Exchange Rate Sensitivity of U.S. Trade Flows: Evidence from Industry Data. <i>Southern Economic Journal</i> , 2006, 72, 542.	2.1	102
17	Exchange rate sensitivity of demand for money and effectiveness of fiscal and monetary policies. <i>Applied Economics</i> , 1990, 22, 917-925.	2.2	96
18	Exchange rate sensitivity of Japan's bilateral trade flows. <i>Japan and the World Economy</i> , 2004, 16, 1-15.	1.1	92

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	More evidence on the J curve from LDCs. <i>Journal of Policy Modeling</i> , 1992, 14, 641-653.	3.1	87
20	Exchange Rate Sensitivity of U.S. Trade Flows: Evidence from Industry Data. <i>Southern Economic Journal</i> , 2006, 72, 542-559.	2.1	86
21	Nominal and real effective exchange rates of middle eastern countries and their trade performance. <i>Applied Economics</i> , 2001, 33, 103-111.	2.2	84
22	ARDL Approach to Test the Productivity Bias Hypothesis. <i>Review of Development Economics</i> , 2004, 8, 483-488.	1.9	83
23	On the relation between stock prices and exchange rates: a review article. <i>Journal of Economic Studies</i> , 2015, 42, 707-732.	1.9	81
24	INCOME AND PRICE ELASTICITIES OF TRADE: Some New Estimates. <i>International Trade Journal</i> , 2005, 19, 165-178.	0.9	80
25	The black market exchange rate and demand for money in Iran. <i>Journal of Macroeconomics</i> , 1996, 18, 171-176.	1.3	79
26	Asymmetric Effects of Policy Uncertainty on the Demand for Money in the United States. <i>Journal of Risk and Financial Management</i> , 2019, 12, 1.	2.3	78
27	Do Real Exchange Rates Follow a Nonlinear Mean Reverting Process in Developing Countries?. <i>Southern Economic Journal</i> , 2008, 74, 1049-1062.	2.1	78
28	The Effects of Exchange Rate Volatility on Commodity Trade between the United States and Mexico. <i>Southern Economic Journal</i> , 2009, 75, 1019-1044.	2.1	78
29	Real and nominal effective exchange rates for 22 LDCs: 1971:1-1990:4. <i>Applied Economics</i> , 1995, 27, 591-604.	2.2	74
30	Bilateral J-curve between India and her trading partners. <i>Applied Economics</i> , 2003, 35, 1037-1041.	2.2	69
31	Revisiting purchasing power parity in African countries: panel stationary test with sharp and smooth breaks. <i>Applied Financial Economics</i> , 2014, 24, 1429-1438.	0.5	69
32	Relative Responsiveness of Trade Flows to a Change in Prices and Exchange Rate. <i>International Review of Applied Economics</i> , 2003, 17, 293-308.	2.2	67
33	Exchange-rate volatility and international trade performance: Evidence from 12 African countries. <i>Economic Analysis and Policy</i> , 2018, 58, 14-21.	6.6	66
34	Purchasing power parity based on effective exchange rate and cointegration: 25 LDCs' experience with its absolute formulation. <i>World Development</i> , 1993, 21, 1023-1031.	4.9	65
35	The J-curve in the emerging economies of Eastern Europe. <i>Applied Economics</i> , 2009, 41, 2523-2532.	2.2	62
36	The J-curve dynamics of U.S. bilateral trade. <i>Journal of Economics and Finance</i> , 2004, 28, 32-38.	1.8	61

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Do exchange rates follow a random walk process in Middle Eastern countries?. Economics Letters, 1998, 58, 339-344.	1.9	60
38	The J-curve: evidence from commodity trade between US and China. Applied Economics, 2008, 40, 2735-2747.	2.2	59
39	How stable is M2 money demand function in Japan?. Japan and the World Economy, 2001, 13, 455-461.	1.1	58
40	Stability of M2 money demand function in industrial countries. Applied Economics, 2002, 34, 2075-2083.	2.2	57
41	The effects of exchange-rate volatility on commodity trade between the U.S. and Brazil. North American Journal of Economics and Finance, 2013, 25, 70-93.	3.5	52
42	THE J CURVE: CHINA VERSUS HER TRADING PARTNERS. Bulletin of Economic Research, 2006, 58, 323-343.	1.1	51
43	THE J CURVE: CHINA VERSUS HER TRADING PARTNERS. Bulletin of Economic Research, 2006, 58, 323-343.	1.1	49
44	THE BILATERAL J-CURVE: AUSTRALIA VERSUS HER 23 TRADING PARTNERS. Australian Economic Papers, 2005, 44, 110-120.	2.2	48
45	UNITED STATESâ€™CHINA TRADE AT THE COMMODITY LEVEL AND THE YUANâ€™DOLLAR EXCHANGE RATE. Contemporary Economic Policy, 2007, 25, 341-361.	1.7	48
46	Asymmetric J-curve in the commodity trade between Pakistan and United States: evidence from 41 industries. Eurasian Economic Review, 2020, 10, 163-188.	3.0	48
47	The demand for money in Japan: Evidence from cointegration analysis. Japan and the World Economy, 1996, 8, 1-10.	1.1	47
48	Productivity Bias Hypothesis and The Purchasing Power Parity: a review article. Journal of Economic Surveys, 2005, 19, 671-696.	6.6	47
49	Transaction Costs and the Interest Parity Theorem. Journal of Political Economy, 1985, 93, 793-799.	4.5	46
50	Currency substitution in Thailand. Journal of Policy Modeling, 2001, 23, 141-145.	3.1	45
51	Demand for international reserves: a review article. Applied Economics, 2002, 34, 1209-1226.	2.2	45
52	PURCHASING POWER PARITY IN LESSâ€DEVELOPED AND TRANSITION ECONOMIES: A REVIEW PAPER. Journal of Economic Surveys, 2009, 23, 617-658.	6.6	44
53	The impact of economic and monetary uncertainty on the demand for money in emerging economies. Applied Economics, 2013, 45, 3278-3287.	2.2	44
54	Mexican bilateral trade and the J-curve: An application of the nonlinear ARDL model. Economic Analysis and Policy, 2016, 50, 23-40.	6.6	44

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	The J-curve: Malaysia versus her major trading partners. <i>Applied Economics</i> , 2010, 42, 1067-1076.	2.2	42
56	Exchange-rate volatility and industry trade between the U.S. and Malaysia. <i>Research in International Business and Finance</i> , 2011, 25, 127-155.	5.9	42
57	A Time-Series Approach to Test the Productivity Bias Hypothesis in Purchasing Power Parity. <i>Kyklos</i> , 1992, 45, 227-236.	1.4	41
58	Dynamics of the U.S. Trade With Developing Countries. <i>Journal of Developing Areas</i> , 2004, 37, 1-11.	0.4	41
59	Bilateral J-curve between the UK vis-à-vis her major trading partners. <i>Applied Economics</i> , 2006, 38, 879-888.	2.2	41
60	Black market exchange rates versus official exchange rates in testing purchasing power parity: an examination of the Iranian rial. <i>Applied Economics</i> , 1993, 25, 465-472.	2.2	40
61	Testing PPP in the non-linear STAR framework. <i>Economics Letters</i> , 2007, 94, 104-110.	1.9	40
62	Asymmetric causality between the U.S. housing market and its stock market: Evidence from state level data. <i>Journal of Economic Asymmetries</i> , 2018, 18, e00095.	3.5	40
63	COINTEGRATION APPROACH TO ESTIMATE THE LONG-RUN TRADE ELASTICITIES IN LDCs. <i>International Economic Journal</i> , 1998, 12, 89-96.	1.1	39
64	Devaluation and the J-Curve: Some Evidence from LDCs: Errata. <i>Review of Economics and Statistics</i> , 1989, 71, 553.	4.3	38
65	Effects of exchange rate risk on exports: crosscountry analysis. <i>World Development</i> , 1992, 20, 1173-1181.	4.9	38
66	Openness, size, and the saving-investment relationship. <i>Economic Systems</i> , 2005, 29, 283-293.	2.2	38
67	Exchange rate sensitivity of the demand for money in developing countries. <i>Applied Economics</i> , 1991, 23, 1377-1384.	2.2	36
68	Black market exchange rate and the productivity bias hypothesis. <i>Economics Letters</i> , 2006, 91, 243-249.	1.9	36
69	Export growth and output growth: An application of bounds testing approach. <i>Journal of Economics and Finance</i> , 2007, 31, 1-11.	1.8	36
70	Exchange rate sensitivity of US bilateral trade flows. <i>Economic Systems</i> , 2008, 32, 129-141.	2.2	36
71	Time-Series Support for Balassa's Productivity-Bias Hypothesis: Evidence from Korea. <i>Review of International Economics</i> , 1996, 4, 364-370.	1.3	35
72	Does black market exchange rate volatility deter the trade flows? Iranian experience. <i>Applied Economics</i> , 2002, 34, 2249-2255.	2.2	34

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
73	On the impact of financial development on income distribution: time-series evidence. Applied Economics, 2015, 47, 1248-1271.	2.2	34
74	On the relation between exchange rates and stock prices: a non-linear ARDL approach and asymmetry analysis. Journal of Economics and Finance, 2018, 42, 112-137.	1.8	34
75	How Stable is the Demand for Money in China?. Journal of Economic Development, 2007, 32, 21-34.	0.3	34
76	On the effects of policy uncertainty on stock prices: an asymmetric analysis. Quantitative Finance and Economics, 2019, 3, 412-424.	3.1	34
77	Real and nominal effective exchange rates for developing countries: 1973:1-1997:3. Applied Economics, 2000, 32, 411-428.	2.2	33
78	Exchange Rate Risk and Commodity Trade Between the U.S. and India. Open Economies Review, 2008, 19, 71-80.	1.6	33
79	On the relation between currency depreciation and domestic investment. Journal of Post Keynesian Economics, 2010, 32, 645-660.	0.6	33
80	The J-curve: evidence from commodity trade between UK and China. Applied Economics, 2013, 45, 4369-4378.	2.2	33
81	The S-curve Dynamics of US Bilateral Trade. Review of International Economics, 2007, 15, 430-439.	1.3	32
82	Are devaluations contractionary in MENA countries?. Applied Economics, 2009, 41, 139-150.	2.2	32
83	The J-curve and NAFTA: evidence from commodity trade between the US and Mexico. Applied Economics, 2011, 43, 1579-1593.	2.2	32
84	Do changes in the fundamentals have symmetric or asymmetric effects on house prices? Evidence from 52 states of the United States of America. Applied Economics, 2016, 48, 2912-2936.	2.2	32
85	Short-run and long-run determinants of income inequality: evidence from 16 countries. Journal of Post Keynesian Economics, 2008, 30, 463-484.	0.6	31
86	Kuznets inverted-U hypothesis revisited: a time-series approach using US data. Applied Economics Letters, 2008, 15, 677-681.	1.8	31
87	Asymmetry Effects of Exchange Rate Changes on Domestic Production: Evidence from Nonlinear ARDL Approach. Australian Economic Papers, 2016, 55, 181-191.	2.2	31
88	Do exchange rate changes have symmetric or asymmetric effects on the trade balance? Evidence from U.S.-Korea commodity trade. Journal of Asian Economics, 2016, 45, 15-30.	2.7	30
89	Bilateral S-curve between Japan and her trading partners. Japan and the World Economy, 2007, 19, 483-489.	1.1	29
90	Policy Uncertainty and House Prices in the United States. Journal of Real Estate Portfolio Management, 2017, 23, 73-85.	0.9	29

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
91	On the asymmetric effects of exchange rate changes on domestic production in Turkey. <i>Economic Change and Restructuring</i> , 2018, 51, 97-112.	5.0	29
92	Long-Run Elasticities of the Demand for Money in Korea:Evidence from Cointegration Analysis. <i>International Economic Journal</i> , 1994, 8, 83-93.	1.1	28
93	Are Devaluations Contractionary in Africa?. <i>Global Economic Review</i> , 2013, 42, 1-14.	1.1	27
94	Asymmetry cointegration and the J-curve: New evidence from Malaysia-Singapore commodity trade. <i>Journal of Economic Asymmetries</i> , 2016, 14, 211-226.	3.5	27
95	Housing prices and real effective exchange rates in 18 OECD countries: A bootstrap multivariate panel Granger causality. <i>Economic Analysis and Policy</i> , 2018, 60, 119-126.	6.6	27
96	Policy Uncertainty and the Demand for Money in Australia: an Asymmetry Analysis. <i>Australian Economic Papers</i> , 2018, 57, 456-469.	2.2	27
97	Stability of the Demand for Money in an Unstable Country: Russia. <i>Journal of Post Keynesian Economics</i> , 2000, 22, 619-629.	0.6	26
98	A new criteria for selecting the optimum lags in Johansen's cointegration technique. <i>Applied Economics</i> , 2003, 35, 875-880.	2.2	26
99	Is there J-Curve effect in Africa?. <i>International Review of Applied Economics</i> , 2012, 26, 73-81.	2.2	26
100	Revisiting Purchasing Power Parity in OECD. <i>Applied Economics</i> , 2015, 47, 4323-4334.	2.2	26
101	Asymmetry cointegration between the value of the dollar and sectoral stock indices in the U.S. <i>International Review of Economics and Finance</i> , 2016, 46, 78-86.	4.5	26
102	Asymmetric J-curve: evidence from industry trade between U.S. and U.K.. <i>Applied Economics</i> , 2020, 52, 2679-2693.	2.2	26
103	How sensitive are Malaysia's bilateral trade flows to depreciation?. <i>Applied Economics</i> , 2006, 38, 1279-1286.	2.2	25
104	S-Curve dynamics of trade between U.S. and China. <i>China Economic Review</i> , 2010, 21, 212-223.	4.4	25
105	Exchange rate volatility and domestic consumption: Evidence from Japan. <i>Economic Systems</i> , 2012, 36, 326-335.	2.2	25
106	Exchange rate volatility and its impact on domestic investment. <i>Research in Economics</i> , 2013, 67, 1-12.	0.8	25
107	Policy uncertainty and the demand for money in the United Kingdom. <i>Applied Economics</i> , 2015, 47, 1151-1157.	2.2	25
108	Re-testing Prebischâ€™Singer hypothesis: new evidence using Fourier quantile unit root test. <i>Applied Economics</i> , 2018, 50, 441-454.	2.2	25

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
109	An asymmetric analysis of the Jâ€curve effect in the commodity trade between China and the US. World Economy, 2019, 42, 2854-2899.	2.5	25
110	SHORT-RUN AND LONG-RUN EFFECTS OF CURRENCY DEPRECIATION ON THE BILATERAL TRADE BALANCE BETWEEN PAKISTAN AND HER MAJOR TRADING PARTNERS. Journal of Economic Development, 2009, 34, 19-41.	0.3	25
111	The demand for money in an open economy: the United Kingdom. Applied Economics, 1991, 23, 1037-1042.	2.2	24
112	Do nominal devaluations lead to real devaluations in LDCs?. Economics Letters, 2002, 74, 385-391.	1.9	24
113	Impact of Exchange Rate Uncertainty on Trade Flows: Evidence from Commodity Trade between the United States and the United Kingdom. World Economy, 2008, 31, 1097-1128.	2.5	24
114	Economic and Monetary Uncertainty and the Demand for Money in China. Chinese Economy, 2012, 45, 26-37.	2.0	24
115	Short run and long run effects of exchange rate volatility on commodity trade between Pakistan and Japan. Economic Analysis and Policy, 2016, 52, 131-142.	6.6	24
116	On the Asymmetric Effects of Exchange Rate Volatility on Trade Flows: Evidence from Africa. Emerging Markets Finance and Trade, 2020, 56, 913-939.	3.1	24
117	On the impact of exchange rate volatility on Tunisiaâ€™s trade with 16 partners: an asymmetry analysis. Economic Change and Restructuring, 2020, 53, 357-378.	5.0	24
118	Are devaluations contractionary in emerging economies of Eastern Europe?. Economic Change and Restructuring, 2008, 41, 61-74.	5.0	23
119	Exchangeâ€™rate volatility and USâ€™Hong Kong industry trade: is there evidence of a â€™third countryâ€™ effect?. Applied Economics, 2013, 45, 2629-2651.	2.2	23
120	Impact of exchange rate volatility on the commodity trade between Pakistan and the US. Economic Change and Restructuring, 2017, 50, 161-187.	5.0	23
121	Do exchange rate changes have symmetric or asymmetric effects on the trade balances of Asian countries?. Applied Economics, 2017, 49, 4668-4678.	2.2	23
122	Asymmetric Impact of Exchange Rate Volatility on Commodity Trade Between Pakistan and China. Global Business Review, 2023, 24, 510-534.	3.1	23
123	The Fourier Quantile Unit Root Test with an Application to the PPP Hypothesis in the OECD. Applied Economics Quarterly, 2017, 63, 295-317.	0.1	23
124	Inflationary effects of changes in effective exchange rates: LDCs experience. Applied Economics, 1992, 24, 465-471.	2.2	22
125	Exchange rate sensitivity of the demand for money in Spain. Applied Economics, 1998, 30, 607-612.	2.2	22
126	Exchange-rate risk and U.S.â€™Japan trade: Evidence from industry level data. Journal of the Japanese and International Economies, 2008, 22, 518-534.	2.7	22

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
127	Impact of exchange rate volatility on commodity trade between U.S. and China: is there a third country effect. <i>Journal of Economics and Finance</i> , 2012, 36, 555-586.	1.8	22
128	Exchange-rate risk and UK-China trade: evidence from 47 industries. <i>Journal of Chinese Economic and Foreign Trade Studies</i> , 2014, 7, 2-17.	1.4	22
129	Does exchange rate volatility hurt domestic consumption? Evidence from emerging economies. <i>International Economics</i> , 2015, 144, 53-65.	3.1	22
130	Commodity trade between Pakistan and the US: is there evidence of the J-curve?. <i>Applied Economics</i> , 2016, 48, 957-965.	2.2	22
131	Asymmetric effects of exchange rate changes on Turkish bilateral trade balances. <i>Economic Systems</i> , 2017, 41, 279-296.	2.2	22
132	Asymmetric Effects of Policy Uncertainty on Domestic Investment in G7 Countries. <i>Open Economies Review</i> , 2019, 30, 675-693.	1.6	22
133	Effects of exchange rate flexibility on the demand for international reserves. <i>Economics Letters</i> , 1987, 23, 89-93.	1.9	21
134	The J-Curve: Evidence from commodity trade between Canada and the U.S.. <i>Journal of Economics and Finance</i> , 2008, 32, 207-225.	1.8	21
135	The impact of exchange rate volatility on commodity trade between the US and Thailand. <i>International Review of Applied Economics</i> , 2012, 26, 515-532.	2.2	21
136	Policy uncertainty and consumption in G7 countries: An asymmetry analysis. <i>International Economics</i> , 2020, 163, 101-113.	3.1	21
137	LONG-RUN ELASTICITIES OF THE DEMAND FOR MONEY IN KOREA: EVIDENCE FROM COINTEGRATION ANALYSIS. <i>International Economic Journal</i> , 1994, 8, 83-93.	1.1	21
138	Policy Uncertainty and the Demand for Money in the United States. <i>Applied Economics Quarterly</i> , 2016, 62, 37-49.	0.1	21
139	Demand for international reserves: survey of recent empirical studies. <i>Applied Economics</i> , 1985, 17, 359-375.	2.2	20
140	Exchange-rate volatility and industry trade between Canada and Mexico. <i>Journal of International Trade and Economic Development</i> , 2012, 21, 389-408.	2.3	20
141	Quantile unit root test and PPP: evidence from 23 OECD countries. <i>Applied Economics</i> , 2016, 48, 2899-2911.	2.2	20
142	Do exchange rate changes have symmetric or asymmetric effects on the demand for money in Turkey?. <i>Applied Economics</i> , 2017, 49, 4261-4270.	2.2	20
143	On the effects of policy uncertainty on stock prices. <i>Journal of Economics and Finance</i> , 2019, 43, 764-778.	1.8	20
144	U.S.-Africa trade balance and the J-curve: An asymmetry analysis. <i>International Trade Journal</i> , 2019, 33, 322-343.	0.9	20

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
145	Are devaluations contractionary in Asia?. <i>Journal of Post Keynesian Economics</i> , 2002, 25, 69-82.	0.6	19
146	Towards solving the PPP puzzle: evidence from 113 countries. <i>Applied Economics</i> , 2009, 41, 3057-3066.	2.2	19
147	The Japaneseâ€™U.S. trade balance and the yen: Evidence from industry data. <i>Japan and the World Economy</i> , 2009, 21, 161-171.	1.1	19
148	How sensitive is commodity trade flows between US and India to currency depreciation?. <i>Applied Economics</i> , 2010, 42, 267-277.	2.2	19
149	Is there J-Curve effect in the commodity trade between Korea and rest of the world?. <i>Economic Change and Restructuring</i> , 2014, 47, 227-250.	5.0	19
150	The J-Curve: Evidence from Industry-Level Data Between the U.S. and Indonesia. <i>International Trade Journal</i> , 2015, 29, 103-114.	0.9	19
151	Purchasing power parity in emerging markets: A panel stationary test with both sharp and smooth breaks. <i>Economic Systems</i> , 2016, 40, 453-460.	2.2	19
152	Asymmetric effects of exchange rate changes on the Malaysia-China commodity trade. <i>Economic Systems</i> , 2018, 42, 470-486.	2.2	19
153	Kalman filter approach to estimate the demand for international reserves. <i>Applied Economics</i> , 2004, 36, 1655-1668.	2.2	18
154	USâ€™Indonesia trade at commodity level and the role of the exchange rate. <i>Applied Economics</i> , 2014, 46, 2154-2166.	2.2	18
155	Exchange Rate Uncertainty and Trade between U.S. and Canada: Is There Evidence of Third-Country Effect?. <i>International Trade Journal</i> , 2014, 28, 23-44.	0.9	18
156	Commodity trade between EU and Egypt and Orcuttâ€™s hypothesis. <i>Empirica</i> , 2015, 42, 1-24.	1.8	18
157	Testing hysteresis effect in U.S. state unemployment: new evidence using a nonlinear quantile unit root test. <i>Applied Economics Letters</i> , 2018, 25, 249-253.	1.8	18
158	Asymmetric Cointegration, Nonlinear ARDL, and the J-Curve: A Bilateral Analysis of China and Its 21 Trading Partners. <i>Emerging Markets Finance and Trade</i> , 2018, 54, 3131-3151.	3.1	18
159	Exchange Rate Risk and Uncertainty and Trade Flows: Asymmetric Evidence from Asia. <i>Journal of Risk and Financial Management</i> , 2020, 13, 128.	2.3	18
160	A Reexamination of Balassa's Productivity Bias Hypothesis. <i>Economic Development and Cultural Change</i> , 1996, 45, 195-204.	1.8	17
161	The long-run relation between black market and official exchange rates: evidence from panel cointegration. <i>Economics Letters</i> , 2002, 76, 397-404.	1.9	17
162	Asymmetry Effects of Exchange Rate Changes on Domestic Production in Emerging Countries. <i>Emerging Markets Finance and Trade</i> , 2018, 54, 1442-1459.	3.1	17

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
163	The J-Curve at industry level: Evidence from Sweden's US trade. <i>Economic Systems</i> , 2009, 33, 83-92.	2.2	16
164	ECONOMIC UNCERTAINTY, MONETARY UNCERTAINTY AND THE DEMAND FOR MONEY IN AUSTRALIA. <i>Australian Economic Papers</i> , 2011, 50, 115-128.	2.2	16
165	Revisiting purchasing power parity in Latin America: sequential panel selection method. <i>Applied Economics</i> , 2013, 45, 4584-4590.	2.2	16
166	Real and nominal effective exchange rates of African countries during 1971Q1-2012Q4. <i>Applied Economics</i> , 2014, 46, 1961-1984.	2.2	16
167	The effects of exchange-rate volatility on industry trade between the US and Egypt. <i>Economic Change and Restructuring</i> , 2015, 48, 93-117.	5.0	16
168	Exchange-rate volatility and commodity trade between the E.U. and Egypt: evidence from 59 industries. <i>Empirica</i> , 2015, 42, 109-129.	1.8	16
169	Exchange rate volatility and Turkish commodity trade with the rest of the world. <i>Economic Change and Restructuring</i> , 2016, 49, 1-21.	5.0	16
170	UK trade balance with its trading partners: An asymmetry analysis. <i>Economic Analysis and Policy</i> , 2017, 56, 188-199.	6.6	16
171	Asymmetric causality between oil price and stock returns:A sectoral analysis. <i>Economic Analysis and Policy</i> , 2019, 63, 165-174.	6.6	16
172	COINTEGRATION APPROACH TO ESTIMATING BILATERAL TRADE ELASTICITIES BETWEEN U.S. AND HER TRADING PARTNERS. <i>International Economic Journal</i> , 1999, 13, 119-128.	1.1	16
173	Effects of exchange rate variability on inflation variability. <i>World Development</i> , 1991, 19, 729-733.	4.9	15
174	The demand for money in Turkey and currency substitution. <i>Applied Economics Letters</i> , 2006, 13, 635-642.	1.8	15
175	On the Relation between Nominal Devaluation and Real Devaluation: Evidence from African Countries. <i>Journal of African Economies</i> , 2007, 16, 177-197.	1.8	15
176	IMPACT OF EXCHANGE RATE UNCERTAINTY ON COMMODITY TRADE BETWEEN THE US AND AUSTRALIA*. <i>Australian Economic Papers</i> , 2008, 47, 235-258.	2.2	15
177	The effects of currency fluctuations and trade integration on industry trade between Canada and Mexico. <i>Research in Economics</i> , 2010, 64, 212-223.	0.8	15
178	Impact of exchange rate uncertainty on commodity trade between US and Sweden. <i>Applied Economics</i> , 2011, 43, 3231-3251.	2.2	15
179	Price and income elasticities: evidence from commodity trade between the U.S. and Egypt. <i>International Economics and Economic Policy</i> , 2014, 11, 561-574.	2.3	15
180	Brazil's US commodity trade and the J-Curve. <i>Applied Economics</i> , 2014, 46, 1-13.	2.2	15

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
181	Panel asymmetric nonlinear unit root test and PPP in Africa. <i>Applied Economics Letters</i> , 2016, 23, 554-558.	1.8	15
182	Revisiting purchasing power parity in Eastern European countries: quantile unit root tests. <i>Empirical Economics</i> , 2017, 52, 463-483.	3.0	15
183	The Japanese trade balance and asymmetric effects of yen fluctuations: Evidence using nonlinear methods. <i>Journal of Economic Asymmetries</i> , 2017, 15, 56-63.	3.5	15
184	Asymmetry effects of exchange rate changes on domestic production in Japan. <i>International Review of Applied Economics</i> , 2017, 31, 774-790.	2.2	15
185	Asymmetric Effects of Exchange Rate Changes and the J-curve: New Evidence from 61 Malaysia-Thailand Industries. <i>Review of Development Economics</i> , 2017, 21, e30.	1.9	15
186	On the Impact of Policy Uncertainty on Oil Prices: An Asymmetry Analysis. <i>International Journal of Financial Studies</i> , 2018, 6, 12.	2.3	15
187	Asymmetric Effects of Exchange Rate Changes on Thailand-China Commodity Trade: Evidence From 45 Industries. <i>Chinese Economy</i> , 2019, 52, 203-231.	2.0	15
188	On the asymmetric effects of exchange rate volatility on trade flows: Evidence from US-UK Commodity Trade. <i>Scottish Journal of Political Economy</i> , 2021, 68, 51-102.	1.6	15
189	Source of Stagflation in an Oil-Producing Country: Evidence from Iran. <i>Journal of Post Keynesian Economics</i> , 1996, 18, 609-620.	0.6	14
190	Effects of devaluation on income distribution. <i>Applied Economics Letters</i> , 1997, 4, 321-323.	1.8	14
191	Exchange rate overshooting in Turkey. <i>Economics Letters</i> , 2000, 68, 89-93.	1.9	14
192	Black Market Exchange Rates and Purchasing Power Parity in Emerging Economies. <i>Emerging Markets Finance and Trade</i> , 2005, 41, 37-52.	3.1	14
193	Real and nominal effective exchange rates for African countries. <i>Applied Economics</i> , 2007, 39, 961-979.	2.2	14
194	Exchange rate volatility and domestic consumption: a multicountry analysis. <i>Journal of Post Keynesian Economics</i> , 2011, 34, 319-330.	0.6	14
195	Purchasing Power Parity in Transition Countries: Panel Stationary Test with Smooth and Sharp Breaks. <i>International Journal of Financial Studies</i> , 2015, 3, 153-161.	2.3	14
196	The Exchange Rate Disconnect Puzzle Revisited. <i>International Journal of Finance and Economics</i> , 2015, 20, 126-137.	3.5	14
197	The real peso-dollar rate and US-Mexico industry trade: an asymmetric analysis. <i>Scottish Journal of Political Economy</i> , 2018, 65, 350-389.	1.6	14
198	Fourier nonlinear quantile unit root test and PPP in Africa. <i>Bulletin of Economic Research</i> , 2020, 72, 451-481.	1.1	14

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
199	Exchange Rate Volatility and Commodity Trade between U.K. and China: An Asymmetric Analysis. <i>Chinese Economy</i> , 2022, 55, 41-65.	2.0	14
200	The J-curve: Indonesia vs. Her Major Trading Partners. <i>Journal of Economic Integration</i> , 2009, 24, 765-777.	1.2	14
201	Demand for International Reserves: Corrections for Serial Correlation and Heteroscedasticity. <i>Applied Economics</i> , 1987, 19, 609-618.	2.2	13
202	ARE THE TWIN DEFICITS REALLY RELATED? A COMMENT. <i>Contemporary Economic Policy</i> , 1992, 10, 108-111.	1.7	13
203	THE J-CURVE AT THE INDUSTRY LEVEL: EVIDENCE FROM TRADE BETWEEN THE US AND AUSTRALIA*. <i>Australian Economic Papers</i> , 2007, 46, 315-328.	2.2	13
204	Do nominal devaluations lead to real devaluations? Evidence from 89 countries. <i>International Review of Economics and Finance</i> , 2008, 17, 644-670.	4.5	13
205	Trade Liberalisation, the Peso, and Mexico's Commodity Trade Flows with the United States. <i>Journal of Development Studies</i> , 2009, 45, 693-725.	2.1	13
206	Industry trade between Canada and Mexico: Will a weakening peso help Mexican manufacturing in the long run?. <i>North American Journal of Economics and Finance</i> , 2011, 22, 89-101.	3.5	13
207	Asymmetric effects of exchange rate changes on the demand for money in China. <i>Applied Economics Letters</i> , 2016, 23, 1104-1109.	1.8	13
208	Third-country exchange rate volatility and Japanese-US trade: evidence from industry-level data. <i>Applied Economics</i> , 2016, 48, 1452-1462.	2.2	13
209	Revisiting purchasing power parity in G6 countries: an application of smooth time-varying cointegration approach. <i>Empirica</i> , 2018, 45, 187-196.	1.8	13
210	Non-linear quantile unit root test and PPP: more evidence from Africa. <i>Applied Economics Letters</i> , 2018, 25, 465-471.	1.8	13
211	Asymmetry cointegration and the J-curve: new evidence from Korean bilateral trade balance models with her 14 partners. <i>Journal of the Asia Pacific Economy</i> , 2019, 24, 66-81.	1.7	13
212	On the Asymmetric Effects of Exchange Rate Changes on the Demand for Money: Evidence from Emerging Economies. <i>Journal of Emerging Market Finance</i> , 2019, 18, 1-22.	1.0	13
213	THE AUSTRALIAN J-CURVE: A REEXAMINATION. <i>International Economic Journal</i> , 1991, 5, 49-58.	1.1	13
214	EXCHANGE-RATE VOLATILITY AND INDUSTRY TRADE BETWEEN THE U.S. AND KOREA. <i>Journal of Economic Development</i> , 2012, 37, 1-27.	0.3	13
215	How stable is the demand for money in Greece?. <i>International Economic Journal</i> , 2005, 19, 461-472.	1.1	12
216	Is PPP sensitive to time-varying trade weights in constructing real effective exchange rates?. <i>Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance</i> , 2009, 49, 1001-1008.	2.7	12

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
217	Industry trade and exchange-rate fluctuations: Evidence from the U.S. and Chile. <i>International Review of Economics and Finance</i> , 2014, 29, 619-626.	4.5	12
218	Third-Country Exchange Rate Volatility and Pakistan-U.S. Trade at Commodity Level. <i>International Trade Journal</i> , 2017, 31, 105-129.	0.9	12
219	S-curve Dynamics of Trade in Africa*. <i>African Development Review</i> , 2008, 20, 335-342.	2.9	11
220	How Sensitive is U.S.-Canadian Trade to the Exchange Rate: Evidence from Industry Data. <i>Open Economies Review</i> , 2011, 22, 53-91.	1.6	11
221	NONLINEAR AUTOREGRESSIVE DISTRIBUTED LAG APPROACH AND BILATERAL J-CURVE: INDIA VERSUS HER TRADING PARTNERS. <i>Contemporary Economic Policy</i> , 2017, 35, 472-483.	1.7	11
222	Policy Uncertainty and the Demand for Money in Korea: An Asymmetry Analysis. <i>International Economic Journal</i> , 2018, 32, 219-234.	1.1	11
223	Japan-U.S. trade balance at commodity level and asymmetric effects of Yen-Dollar rate. <i>Japan and the World Economy</i> , 2018, 48, 1-10.	1.1	11
224	Asymmetric cointegration and the J-curve: evidence from commodity trade between Turkey and EU. <i>Empirica</i> , 2020, 47, 757-792.	1.8	11
225	RELATIVE RESPONSIVENESS OF TRADE FLOWS TO A CHANGE IN PRICES AND EXCHANGE RATE IN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES. <i>Journal of Economic Development</i> , 2008, 33, 147-164.	0.3	11
226	Oil price shocks and stability of the demand for international reserves. <i>Journal of Macroeconomics</i> , 1988, 10, 633-641.	1.3	10
227	Response of Domestic Production to Depreciation in Korea: an Application of Johansen's Cointegration Methodology. <i>International Economic Journal</i> , 1997, 11, 103-112.	1.1	10
228	Exchange Rate Overshooting in East Asian Countries. <i>Emerging Markets Finance and Trade</i> , 2006, 42, 5-18.	3.1	10
229	S-Curve at the industry level: evidence from US-UK commodity trade. <i>Empirical Economics</i> , 2008, 35, 141-152.	3.0	10
230	Impact of exchange rate volatility on commodity trade between US and Hong Kong. <i>International Review of Applied Economics</i> , 2013, 27, 81-109.	2.2	10
231	Long-Run Price Elasticities and the Marshall-Lerner Condition: Evidence from Egypt-EU Commodity Trade. <i>European Journal of Development Research</i> , 2013, 25, 695-713.	2.3	10
232	Do inpayments and outpayments respond to exchange rate changes asymmetrically: Evidence from Malaysia. <i>International Trade Journal</i> , 2018, 32, 317-342.	0.9	10
233	PPP in the 34 OECD countries: evidence from quantile-based unit root tests with both smooth and sharp breaks. <i>Applied Economics</i> , 2018, 50, 2622-2634.	2.2	10
234	Thailand-China commodity trade and exchange rate uncertainty: Asymmetric evidence from 45 industries. <i>Journal of Economic Asymmetries</i> , 2019, 20, e00130.	3.5	10

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
235	Asymmetric cointegration and the J-curve: new evidence from commodity trade between the U.S. and Canada. <i>International Economics and Economic Policy</i> , 2020, 17, 427-482.	2.3	10
236	Exchange rate changes and money demand in Albania: a nonlinear ARDL analysis. <i>Economic Change and Restructuring</i> , 2020, 53, 619-633.	5.0	10
237	Asymmetry cointegration and the J-curve: new evidence from Africa. <i>Journal of Economic Studies</i> , 2020, 47, 969-984.	1.9	10
238	The S-Curve in Emerging Markets. <i>Comparative Economic Studies</i> , 2008, 50, 341-351.	1.1	9
239	S-Curve at the Commodity Level: Evidence from US-India Trade. <i>International Trade Journal</i> , 2010, 24, 84-95.	0.9	9
240	On the relation between currency depreciation and wages. <i>Applied Economics Letters</i> , 2010, 17, 525-530.	1.8	9
241	The J-Curve and Japan's China commodity trade. <i>Journal of Chinese Economic and Business Studies</i> , 2013, 11, 13-28.	2.8	9
242	Regime changes and the impact of currency depreciations: the case of Spanish-US industry trade. <i>Empirica</i> , 2013, 40, 21-37.	1.8	9
243	Exchange rate volatility and Spanish-American commodity trade flows. <i>Economic Systems</i> , 2014, 38, 243-260.	2.2	9
244	Exchange-rate volatility and commodity trade between the USA and Indonesia. <i>New Zealand Economic Papers</i> , 2015, 49, 78-102.	0.8	9
245	Commodity trade between the US and Korea and the J-curve effect. <i>New Zealand Economic Papers</i> , 2017, 51, 1-14.	0.8	9
246	The US-Bangladesh commodity trade: An asymmetry analysis. <i>Economic Analysis and Policy</i> , 2017, 56, 28-36.	6.6	9
247	Economic uncertainty, monetary uncertainty and the Korean demand for money. <i>Journal of Economic Policy Reform</i> , 2017, 20, 86-97.	2.9	9
248	Re-examination of the convergence hypothesis among OECD countries: Evidence from Fourier quantile unit root test. <i>International Economics</i> , 2018, 156, 77-85.	3.1	9
249	More evidence on the asymmetric effects of exchange rate changes on the demand for money: evidence from Asian. <i>Applied Economics Letters</i> , 2019, 26, 485-495.	1.8	9
250	Is there a J-curve effect in Tunisia's bilateral trade with her partners? New evidence from asymmetry analysis. <i>Economic Change and Restructuring</i> , 2019, 52, 1-18.	5.0	9
251	How sensitive are the U.S. inpayments and outpayments to real exchange rate changes: an asymmetry analysis. <i>International Economics and Economic Policy</i> , 2019, 16, 619-647.	2.3	9
252	Policy uncertainty and the demand for money in the United Kingdom: Are the effects asymmetric?. <i>Economic Analysis and Policy</i> , 2020, 66, 76-84.	6.6	9

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
253	The J-Curve and the Effects of Exchange Rate Changes on International Trade. , 2020, , 297-319.		9
254	Exchange rate sensitivity of the Canadian bilateral inpayments and outpayments. Economic Modelling, 2005, 22, 745-757.	3.8	8
255	Black Market Premium and Income Distribution. Journal of Developing Areas, 2006, 39, 17-28.	0.4	8
256	Jâ€Curve: Singapore versus her Major Trading Partners. Economic Papers, 2012, 31, 515-522.	0.9	8
257	Currency fluctuations and the Frenchâ€U.S. trade balance: evidence from 118 industries. Empirica, 2013, 40, 237-257.	1.8	8
258	Exchange-rate variability and U.S.-French trade flows: evidence from industry data. Empirica, 2013, 40, 685-719.	1.8	8
259	Economic <scp>U</scp>ncertainty, <scp>M</scp>onetary <scp>U</scp>ncertainty, and the Demand for Money: Evidence From Asian Countries. Australian Economic Papers, 2014, 53, 16-28.	2.2	8
260	Asymmetric effects of exchange rate changes on the Malaysia-EU trade: evidence from industry data. Empirica, 2017, 44, 339-365.	1.8	8
261	On the effects of income volatility on income distribution: Asymmetric evidence from state level data in the U.S.. Research in Economics, 2018, 72, 224-239.	0.8	8
262	Domestic investment responses to changes in the real exchange rate: <scp>A</scp>symmetries of appreciation versus depreciation. International Journal of Finance and Economics, 2018, 23, 362-375.	3.5	8
263	Malaysia-EU trade at the industry level: Is there an asymmetric response to exchange rate volatility?. Empirica, 2018, 45, 425-455.	1.8	8
264	Asymmetric effects of exchange rate changes on the demand for money in Africa. Applied Economics, 2019, 51, 3365-3375.	2.2	8
265	REAL INTEREST RATE PARITY AND FOURIER QUANTILE UNIT ROOT TEST. Bulletin of Economic Research, 2019, 71, 348-358.	1.1	8
266	Economic Uncertainty, Monetary Uncertainty, and the Demand for Money in Africa. Applied Economics Quarterly, 2014, 60, 293-313.	0.1	8
267	The Purchasing Power Parity and the Russian Ruble. Comparative Economic Studies, 1997, 39, 82-94.	1.1	7
268	Sectoral Employment, Wages and The Exchange Rate: Evidence From The U.S.. Eastern Economic Journal, 2007, 33, 125-136.	1.0	7
269	Real and Nominal Effective Exchange Rates for LDCs: 1971â€2004. International Trade Journal, 2007, 21, 385-416.	0.9	7
270	Currency depreciations and the U.S.â€Italian trade balance: Industry-level estimates. Research in Economics, 2013, 67, 215-225.	0.8	7

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
271	Exchange-rate sensitivity of commodity trade flows: Does the choice of reporting country affect the empirical estimates?. <i>Journal of International Trade and Economic Development</i> , 2013, 22, 1183-1213.	2.3	7
272	Exchange Rate Uncertainty and Trade Flows Between the United States and China. <i>Chinese Economy</i> , 2013, 46, 29-53.	2.0	7
273	Revisiting purchasing power parity in 34 OECD countries: sequential panel selection method. <i>Applied Economics Letters</i> , 2014, 21, 1283-1287.	1.8	7
274	Impulse response analysis and Orcutt's hypothesis in trade: evidence from developing countries. <i>Applied Economics</i> , 2015, 47, 5739-5747.	2.2	7
275	Bilateral Trade Balances of Malaysia with Her 11 Largest Trading Partners: New Evidence from Asymmetry Cointegration. <i>Global Economic Review</i> , 2017, 46, 143-161.	1.1	7
276	Asymmetric response of domestic production to exchange rate changes: evidence from Africa. <i>Economic Change and Restructuring</i> , 2020, 53, 1-24.	5.0	7
277	On the asymmetric effects of exchange rate volatility on the trade flows of India with each of its fourteen partners. <i>Macroeconomics and Finance in Emerging Market Economies</i> , 2021, 14, 66-85.	1.0	7
278	Exchange rate volatility and Turkey's EU commodity trade: an asymmetry analysis. <i>Empirica</i> , 2021, 48, 429-482.	1.8	7
279	U.S.-German commodity trade and the J-curve: New evidence from asymmetry analysis. <i>Economic Systems</i> , 2021, 45, 100779.	2.2	7
280	U.K.-German Commodity Trade and Exchange-Rate Volatility: An Asymmetric Analysis. <i>International Trade Journal</i> , 2022, 36, 288-305.	0.9	7
281	Whose policy uncertainty matters in the trade between China and the U.S.?. <i>Economic Change and Restructuring</i> , 2022, 55, 1497-1542.	5.0	7
282	The effect of exchange rate volatility on U.S. bilateral trade with Africa: A symmetric and asymmetric analysis. <i>Economic Systems</i> , 2022, 46, 100879.	2.2	7
283	Exchange Rate Flexibility and the Speed of Adjustment. <i>Kyklos</i> , 1988, 41, 35-49.	1.4	6
284	The Decline of the Iranian Rial During the Post-Revolutionary Period: The Monetary Approach and Johansen's Cointegration Analysis. <i>Canadian Journal of Development Studies</i> , 1995, 16, 277-289.	2.8	6
285	Long-run nature of the relationship between the black market and the official exchange rates. <i>Economic Systems</i> , 2004, 28, 319-327.	2.2	6
286	IS THERE EVIDENCE OF THE J-CURVE IN COMMODITY TRADE BETWEEN THE USA AND HONG KONG?*. <i>Manchester School</i> , 2012, 80, 295-320.	0.9	6
287	Purchasing Power Parity in African Countries: Evidence from the Sequential Panel Selection Method. <i>Economic Papers</i> , 2014, 33, 295-304.	0.9	6
288	The Bilateral J-Curve in Australia: A Nonlinear Reappraisal. <i>Australian Economic Papers</i> , 2017, 56, 249-269.	2.2	6

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
289	Asymmetric response of the <sc>US</sc>â€œIndia trade balance to exchange rate changes: Evidence from 68 industries. <i>World Economy</i> , 2017, 40, 2226-2254.	2.5	6
290	On The Relation Between Housing and Stock Markets in 18 OECD Countries: A Bootstrap Panel Causality Test. <i>Journal of Real Estate Portfolio Management</i> , 2018, 24, 121-133.	0.9	6
291	The Sensitivity of U.S. Inpayments and Outpayments to Real Exchange Rate Changes: Asymmetric Evidence From Africa. <i>International Economic Journal</i> , 2019, 33, 455-472.	1.1	6
292	Kazakhstan trade with its partners and the role of tenge: an asymmetric analysis. <i>Eurasian Economic Review</i> , 2019, 9, 493-513.	3.0	6
293	Asymmetric causality between stock returns and usual hedges: An industry-level analysis. <i>Journal of Economic Asymmetries</i> , 2020, 21, e00160.	3.5	6
294	Does GINI respond to income volatility in an asymmetric manner? Evidence from 41 countries. <i>Economic Systems</i> , 2020, 44, 100756.	2.2	6
295	On the asymmetric effects of the real exchange rate on domestic investment in <sc>G7</sc> countries. <i>Australian Economic Papers</i> , 2020, 59, 303-318.	2.2	6
296	UK-China Trade and the J-Curve: Asymmetric Evidence from 68 Industries. <i>Chinese Economy</i> , 2021, 54, 195-216.	2.0	6
297	The U.S.â€œCanadian trade and exchange rate uncertainty: Asymmetric evidence from commodity trade. <i>World Economy</i> , 2022, 45, 841-866.	2.5	6
298	On the relationship between the value of the mark and German production. <i>Applied Economics</i> , 2001, 33, 1525-1530.	2.2	5
299	Military spending and the black market premium in developing countries. <i>Review of Social Economy</i> , 2006, 64, 77-91.	1.1	5
300	EXCHANGE RATE SENSITIVITY OF AUSTRALIA'S TRADE FLOWS: EVIDENCE FROM INDUSTRY DATA*. <i>Manchester School</i> , 2009, 77, 1-16.	0.9	5
301	USâ€œThailand trade at the commodity level and the role of the real exchange rate. <i>Journal of Asian Economics</i> , 2010, 21, 514-525.	2.7	5
302	Bounds testing cointegration methods and PPP: evidence from 123 Countries. <i>Applied Economics Letters</i> , 2010, 17, 1335-1340.	1.8	5
303	The Saving-Investment Gap And Income Inequality: Evidence From 16 Countries. <i>Journal of Developing Areas</i> , 2012, 46, 145-158.	0.4	5
304	Export diversification and the S-curve effect in a resource-rich state: evidence from Azerbaijan. <i>Economic Change and Restructuring</i> , 2014, 47, 135-154.	5.0	5
305	Pakistan-EU Commodity Trade: Is there Evidence of J-Curve Effect?. <i>Global Economy Journal</i> , 2017, 17, .	0.7	5
306	Exchange rate changes and income distribution in 41 countries: Asymmetry analysis. <i>Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance</i> , 2018, 68, 266-282.	2.7	5

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
307	Inequality and growth in the United States: is there asymmetric response at the state level?. Applied Economics, 2018, 50, 1074-1092.	2.2	5
308	Thailand's trade balance with each of her 15 largest partners: an asymmetry analysis. Journal of Economic Studies, 2018, 45, 660-672.	1.9	5
309	A nonlinear approach to the U.S.'s Australia commodity trade and the J-curve: Evidence from 123 industries. Australian Economic Papers, 2019, 58, 318-363.	2.2	5
310	Exchange-rate volatility and commodity trade between the U.S. and Germany: asymmetry analysis. International Economics and Economic Policy, 2020, 17, 67-124.	2.3	5
311	The South Africa-U.S. Trade and the Real Exchange Rate: Asymmetric Evidence from 25 Industries. South African Journal of Economics, 2020, 88, 186-203.	2.2	5
312	Exchange rate risk and commodity trade between U.S. and India: an asymmetry analysis. Journal of the Asia Pacific Economy, 2020, 25, 675-695.	1.7	5
313	The Turkey-US commodity trade and the asymmetric J-curve. Economic Change and Restructuring, 2020, 54, 943.	5.0	5
314	Asymmetric J-curve: evidence from UK-German commodity trade. Empirica, 2021, 48, 1029-1081.	1.8	5
315	Does the real exchange rate play any role in the trade between Mexico and Canada? An asymmetric analysis. Economic Analysis and Policy, 2021, 70, 1-21.	6.6	5
316	Black Market Exchange Rate versus the Official Rate in Testing the PPP: An Application of a Non-Linear Test. Comparative Economic Studies, 2007, 49, 632-641.	1.1	4
317	THE BLACK-MARKET EXCHANGE RATE VERSUS THE OFFICIAL RATE: WHICH RATE FOSTERS THE ADJUSTMENT SPEED IN THE MONETARIST MODEL?. Manchester School, 2010, 78, 725-738.	0.9	4
318	The S-Curve at the Industry Level: Evidence from US-Australia Trade*. Economic Papers, 2011, 30, 497-521.	0.9	4
319	Exchange Rate Uncertainty and Trade between the United States and Canada: Evidence from 152 Industries. Economic Papers, 2012, 31, 286-301.	0.9	4
320	Impact of exchange-rate variability on commodity trade between U.S. and Germany. Empirica, 2013, 40, 287-324.	1.8	4
321	The Effects of Exchange Rate Volatility on Korean Trade Flows: Industry-Level Estimates. Economic Papers, 2014, 33, 76-94.	0.9	4
322	Have Technological Advances Reduced Response Time of Trade Flows to Changes in the Exchange Rate and Relative Prices?. International Trade Journal, 2016, 30, 115-131.	0.9	4
323	Malaysia's Korea Commodity Trade: Are there Asymmetric Responses to Exchange Rate Changes?. Economic Papers, 2017, 36, 198-222.	0.9	4
324	The Asymmetric Effects of Exchange Rate Changes on the Trade Balance of Singapore. Global Economy Journal, 2017, 17, .	0.7	4

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
325	A new perspective on the third-country effect: The case of Malaysiaâ€“US industry-level trade. <i>Journal of International Trade and Economic Development</i> , 2018, 27, 607-637.	2.3	4
326	Political Risk and Real Exchange Rate: What Can We Learn from Recent Developments in Panel Data Econometrics for Emerging and Developing Countries?. <i>Journal of Quantitative Economics</i> , 2019, 17, 741-762.	0.7	4
327	Asymmetric Link between U.S. Tariff Policy and Income Distribution: Evidence from State Level Data. <i>Open Economies Review</i> , 2020, 31, 821-857.	1.6	4
328	U.S. â€“ Italy commodity trade and the J-curve: new evidence from asymmetry analysis. <i>International Economics and Economic Policy</i> , 2021, 18, 73-103.	2.3	4
329	Exchange rate volatility and commodity trade between United States and Australia: An asymmetric analysis. <i>World Economy</i> , 2021, 44, 1509-1700.	2.5	4
330	Are the effects of exchangeâ€“rate volatility on commodity trade between the U.S. and Mexico symmetric or asymmetric?. <i>International Journal of Finance and Economics</i> , 2021, 26, 2998-3027.	3.5	4
331	Estimating a bilateral Jâ€“curve between the UK and the Euro area: An asymmetric analysis. <i>Manchester School</i> , 2021, 89, 223-237.	0.9	4
332	German-US Commodity Trade: Is there a J-Curve Effect?. <i>Applied Economics Quarterly</i> , 2012, 58, 327-353.	0.1	4
333	Policy Uncertainty and the Demand for Money in Canada: A Nonlinear Approach. <i>Applied Economics Quarterly</i> , 2018, 64, 279-295.	0.1	4
334	Exchange Rate Fluctuations and Output in Oil-Producing Countries: The Case of Iran. <i>IMF Working Papers</i> , 2007, 07, 1.	1.1	4
335	Whose Policy Uncertainty Matters in the Trade between Korea and the U.S.?. <i>Journal of Risk and Financial Management</i> , 2021, 14, 520.	2.3	4
336	On the asymmetric effects of exchange rate uncertainty on Chinaâ€™s bilateral trade with its major partners. <i>Economic Analysis and Policy</i> , 2022, 73, 653-669.	6.6	4
337	How stable is the demand for international reserves?. <i>Applied Economics Letters</i> , 2011, 18, 1387-1392.	1.8	3
338	USâ€“Malaysia Trade at Commodity Level and the Role of the Real Exchange Rate. <i>Global Economic Review</i> , 2012, 41, 55-75.	1.1	3
339	The S-Curve Dynamics of U.S.-Mexico Commodity Trade. <i>Journal of Applied Economics</i> , 2013, 16, 33-48.	1.3	3
340	The S-curve dynamics of trade between the US and Korea: Evidence from commodity trade. <i>New Zealand Economic Papers</i> , 2014, 48, 40-52.	0.8	3
341	Is there a J-curve for Azerbaijan? New evidence from industry-level analysis. <i>Macroeconomics and Finance in Emerging Market Economies</i> , 2014, 7, 83-98.	1.0	3
342	Exchangeâ€“Rate Risk and Japaneseâ€“Thai Industry Trade. <i>Australian Economic Papers</i> , 2015, 54, 22-37.	2.2	3

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
343	Impulse response analysis and Orcutt's hypothesis in trade. <i>Empirica</i> , 2015, 42, 673-683.	1.8	3
344	Revisiting purchasing power parity in major oil-exporting countries. <i>Macroeconomics and Finance in Emerging Market Economies</i> , 2015, 8, 108-116.	1.0	3
345	Do Imports and Exports Adjust Nonlinearly? Evidence from 100 Countries. <i>Global Economy Journal</i> , 2018, 18, .	0.7	3
346	Exchange rate volatility and Japan's U.S. commodity trade: An asymmetry analysis. <i>World Economy</i> , 2019, 42, 3287-3318.	2.5	3
347	Who benefits from euro depreciation in the euro zone?. <i>Empirica</i> , 2019, 46, 577-595.	1.8	3
348	The J-curve and bilateral trade balances of Indonesia with its major partners: are there asymmetric effects?. <i>New Zealand Economic Papers</i> , 2019, 53, 63-76.	0.8	3
349	NONLINEAR ARDL APPROACH AND PPP: EVIDENCE FROM 82 COUNTRIES. <i>Global Economy Journal</i> , 2021, 21, .	0.7	3
350	The nonlinear ARDL approach and productivity bias hypothesis: Evidence from 68 countries. <i>Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance</i> , 2021, 80, 80-89.	2.7	3
351	Policy uncertainty and income distribution: Asymmetric evidence from state-level data in the United States. <i>Bulletin of Economic Research</i> , 0, , .	1.1	3
352	Financial and insurance services trade and role of the exchange rate: An asymmetric analysis. <i>Economic Analysis and Policy</i> , 2021, 72, 358-367.	6.6	3
353	Consumer sentiment and house prices: asymmetric evidence from state-level data in the United States. <i>International Journal of Housing Markets and Analysis</i> , 2022, 15, 1088-1121.	1.1	3
354	On the asymmetric effects of exchange rate volatility on trade flows: Evidence from Korea's U.S. commodity trade. <i>Australian Economic Papers</i> , 2021, 60, 594-629.	2.2	3
355	On the Impact of Policy Uncertainty on the Demand for Money in China: An Asymmetric Analysis. <i>Chinese Economy</i> , 2022, 55, 399-409.	2.0	3
356	Is there a J-curve effect in the US Service Trade? Evidence from asymmetric analysis. <i>International Journal of Finance and Economics</i> , 2023, 28, 3865-3875.	3.5	3
357	Political rights, civil liberties, and the black market premium on foreign exchange: Evidence from developing countries. <i>Review of Political Economy</i> , 2006, 18, 91-104.	1.1	2
358	S-curve dynamics of trade between Sweden and her trading partners. <i>Economic Systems</i> , 2011, 35, 355-362.	2.2	2
359	Exchange-Rate Volatility and Industry Trade Between Japan and China. <i>Global Economy Journal</i> , 2012, 12, 1850268.	0.7	2
360	Do MNCs spur financial markets in corrupt host countries?. <i>Journal of Economics and Finance</i> , 2013, 37, 308-317.	1.8	2

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
361	Dynamics of the China-United Kingdom Commodity Trade. <i>Chinese Economy</i> , 2014, 47, 75-93.	2.0	2
362	Purchasing Power Parity and the Law of One Price: Evidence from Commodity Prices in Asian Countries. <i>Global Economy Journal</i> , 2015, 15, 231-240.	0.7	2
363	Further evidence on Orcutt's hypothesis using Korean-US commodity data. <i>Applied Economics Letters</i> , 2015, 22, 717-724.	1.8	2
364	On the value of the dollar and income inequality: Asymmetric evidence from state level data in the U.S.. <i>Journal of Economic Asymmetries</i> , 2017, 16, 64-78.	3.5	2
365	On the link between real effective value of Tunisia's Dinar and its sectoral trade with the rest of the world: New evidence from asymmetry analysis. <i>Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance</i> , 2019, 73, 111-118.	2.7	2
366	Who is hurt by dollar-euro volatility in the euro zone?. <i>International Economics</i> , 2019, 159, 36-47.	3.1	2
367	Bangladesh's trade partners and the J-curve: an asymmetry analysis. <i>Macroeconomics and Finance in Emerging Market Economies</i> , 2019, 12, 174-189.	1.0	2
368	On the Link Between Policy Uncertainty and Domestic Production in G7 Countries: An Asymmetry Analysis. <i>International Economic Journal</i> , 2021, 35, 242-258.	1.1	2
369	On the asymmetric effects of exchange rate changes and Thailand's inpayments from and outpayments to its partners. <i>Journal of Economic Asymmetries</i> , 2021, 24, e00222.	3.5	2
370	A note on the S-curve dynamics of commodity trade between Brazil and the United States. <i>Latin American Journal of Economics</i> , 2015, 52, 307-341.	0.5	2
371	On the link between U.S.-China commodity trade and exchange rate uncertainty: An asymmetric analysis. <i>Australian Economic Papers</i> , 0, , .	2.2	2
372	China's trade in services and role of the exchange rate: An asymmetric analysis. <i>Economic Analysis and Policy</i> , 2022, 74, 747-757.	6.6	2
373	Do the black market and the official exchange rates converge in the long run?. <i>Journal of Economics and Finance</i> , 2006, 30, 57-69.	1.8	1
374	Black and official market exchange rates and purchasing power parity: evidence from Latin America. <i>Applied Economics Letters</i> , 2010, 17, 1453-1459.	1.8	1
375	The S-Curve Dynamics of US-Hong Kong Commodity Trade. <i>Global Economic Review</i> , 2010, 39, 117-128.	1.1	1
376	Quantile unit root test and the PPP in Africa. <i>Applied Economics</i> , 2016, , 1-9.	2.2	1
377	ECONOMIC UNCERTAINTY, MONETARY UNCERTAINTY, AND THE DEMAND FOR MONEY IN AFRICA: AN ASYMMETRY ANALYSIS. <i>Global Economy Journal</i> , 2020, 20, .	0.7	1
378	Exchange rate volatility and domestic investment in G7: are the effects asymmetric?. <i>Empirica</i> , 2021, 48, 775-799.	1.8	1

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
379	Exchange rate volatility and Turkish-German commodity trade: an asymmetry analysis. <i>Studies in Economics and Finance</i> , 2021, ahead-of-print, .	2.1	1
380	On the Link between Policy Uncertainty and House Prices: Asymmetric Evidence from State-Level Data in the United States. <i>Journal of Real Estate Portfolio Management</i> , 2021, 27, 166-185.	0.9	1
381	Turkish-German Commodity Trade and Asymmetric J-Curve. <i>Applied Economics Quarterly</i> , 2020, 66, 93-129.	0.1	1
382	Stock returns and income inequality: Asymmetric evidence from state level data in the U.S.. <i>Global Finance Journal</i> , 2022, 52, 100715.	5.1	1
383	U.S.-South America trade and the J-Curve: An asymmetric analysis. <i>World Economy</i> , 2022, 45, 3858-3872.	2.5	1
384	Exchange rate volatility and commodity trade between the U.S. and the Philippines. <i>International Economics and Economic Policy</i> , 2017, 14, 263-291.	2.3	0
385	Exchange rate sensitivity of commodity flows between the Philippines and the US. <i>Macroeconomics and Finance in Emerging Market Economies</i> , 2017, 10, 39-67.	1.0	0
386	Evidence on Orcutt's hypothesis using Turkish-US commodity trade. <i>Journal of International Trade and Economic Development</i> , 2017, 26, 25-44.	2.3	0
387	IS THERE J-CURVE EFFECT IN THE COMMODITY TRADE OF SINGAPORE WITH MALAYSIA? AN EMPIRICAL STUDY. <i>Singapore Economic Review</i> , 2018, 63, 567-591.	1.7	0
388	On the link between Chinese currency and its inpayments from and outpayments to trading partners: an asymmetric analysis. <i>Economic Change and Restructuring</i> , 2022, 55, 335-359.	5.0	0
389	The Impact of Corruption on the Black Market Premium. <i>Southern Economic Journal</i> , 2005, 71, 483-493.	2.1	0
390	Impact of Economic Growth on Income Distribution: Are the Effects Asymmetric?. <i>Applied Economics Quarterly</i> , 2017, 63, 391-427.	0.1	0
391	POLICY UNCERTAINTY AND THE DEMAND FOR MONEY IN SINGAPORE: AN ASYMMETRIC ANALYSIS. <i>Singapore Economic Review</i> , 0, , 1-16.	1.7	0
392	Exchange Rate Volatility and Domestic Consumption in the G7: An Asymmetric Analysis. <i>Applied Economics Quarterly</i> , 2021, 67, 2-25.	0.1	0
393	On the link between the real exchange rate and domestic investment in Asia: Are there asymmetric effects?. <i>Journal of the Asia Pacific Economy</i> , 0, , 1-10.	1.7	0