


List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/6338254/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



VANCL

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	ls behavioral pro-sociality game-specific? Pro-social preference and expectations of pro-sociality. Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 2013, 120, 260-271.	2.5	178
2	Rejection of unfair offers in the ultimatum game is no evidence of strong reciprocity. Proceedings of the United States of America, 2012, 109, 20364-20368.	7.1	169
3	In Search of <i>Homo economicus</i> . Psychological Science, 2014, 25, 1699-1711.	3.3	91
4	Cortical thickness of the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex predicts strategic choices in economic games. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2016, 113, 5582-5587.	7.1	85
5	Two-Component Model of General Trust: Predicting Behavioral Trust from Attitudinal Trust. Social Cognition, 2015, 33, 436-458.	0.9	84
6	Prosocial Behavior Increases with Age across Five Economic Games. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0158671.	2.5	68
7	Response time in economic games reflects different types of decision conflict for prosocial and proself individuals. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2017, 114, 6394-6399.	7.1	62
8	Modesty in selfâ€presentation: A comparison between the USA and Japan. Asian Journal of Social Psychology, 2012, 15, 60-68.	2.1	56
9	Perceptions of the appropriate response to norm violation in 57 societies. Nature Communications, 2021, 12, 1481.	12.8	51
10	Stadtluft Macht Frei (City Air Brings Freedom). Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 2012, 43, 38-45.	1.6	48
11	Beliefs and preferences in cultural agents and cultural game players. Asian Journal of Social Psychology, 2011, 14, 140-147.	2.1	32
12	Representation of economic preferences in the structure and function of the amygdala and prefrontal cortex. Scientific Reports, 2016, 6, 20982.	3.3	30
13	Behavioural Differences and Neural Substrates of Altruistic and Spiteful Punishment. Scientific Reports, 2017, 7, 14654.	3.3	25
14	Social mindfulness and prosociality vary across the globe. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2021, 118, .	7.1	20
15	Norm talk and human cooperation: Can we talk ourselves into cooperation?. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 2019, 117, 99-123.	2.8	20
16	Moral Bargain Hunters Purchase Moral Righteousness When it is Cheap: Within-Individual Effect of Stake Size in Economic Games. Scientific Reports, 2016, 6, 27824.	3.3	16
17	War or Peace? How the Subjective Perception of Great Power Interdependence Shapes Preemptive Defensive Aggression. Frontiers in Psychology, 2017, 8, 864.	2.1	7
18	The Evaluation of Second- and Third-Party Punishers. Letters on Evolutionary Behavioral Science, 2020, 11, 6-9.	0.3	5

Yang Li

#	Article	lF	CITATIONS
19	The climate commons dilemma: how can humanity solve the commons dilemma for the global climate commons?. Climatic Change, 2021, 164, 4.	3.6	4
20	TRUST IN THE FAITH GAME. Psychologia, 2018, 61, 70-88.	0.3	4
21	Reply to Komatsu etÂal.: From local social mindfulness to global sustainability efforts?. Proceedings of the United States of America, 2022, 119, e2119303118.	7.1	1
22	Reply to Nielsen etÂal.: Social mindfulness is associated with countries' environmental performance and individual environmental concern. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2022, 119, .	7.1	1