Ole Fredslund Christensen

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/6334179/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Genomic prediction when some animals are not genotyped. Genetics Selection Evolution, 2010, 42, 2.	3.0	639
2	Estimating Additive and Non-Additive Genetic Variances and Predicting Genetic Merits Using Genome-Wide Dense Single Nucleotide Polymorphism Markers. PLoS ONE, 2012, 7, e45293.	2.5	261
3	Single Step, a general approach for genomic selection. Livestock Science, 2014, 166, 54-65.	1.6	260
4	Ancestral Relationships Using Metafounders: Finite Ancestral Populations and Across Population Relationships. Genetics, 2015, 200, 455-468.	2.9	119
5	Compatibility of pedigree-based and marker-based relationship matrices for single-step genetic evaluation. Genetics Selection Evolution, 2012, 44, 37.	3.0	82
6	Genomic evaluation by including dominance effects and inbreeding depression for purebred and crossbred performance with an application in pigs. Genetics Selection Evolution, 2016, 48, 92.	3.0	72
7	Genomic evaluation of both purebred and crossbred performances. Genetics Selection Evolution, 2014, 46, 23.	3.0	67
8	Metafounders are related to F st fixation indices and reduce bias in single-step genomic evaluations. Genetics Selection Evolution, 2017, 49, 34.	3.0	55
9	Genome-wide association study for conformation traits in three Danish pig breeds. Genetics Selection Evolution, 2017, 49, 12.	3.0	45
10	Genetic evaluation for three-way crossbreeding. Genetics Selection Evolution, 2015, 47, 98.	3.0	32
11	Genome-wide association analyses using a Bayesian approach for litter size and piglet mortality in Danish Landrace and Yorkshire pigs. BMC Genomics, 2016, 17, 468.	2.8	32
12	Genetic evaluation including intermediate omics features. Genetics, 2021, 219, .	2.9	32
13	Genomic prediction of crossbred performance based on purebred Landrace and Yorkshire data using a dominance model. Genetics Selection Evolution, 2016, 48, 40.	3.0	29
14	Genomic Model with Correlation Between Additive and Dominance Effects. Genetics, 2018, 209, 711-723.	2.9	29
15	Genomic prediction using models with dominance and imprinting effects for backfat thickness and average daily gain in Danish Duroc pigs. Genetics Selection Evolution, 2016, 48, 67.	3.0	21
16	Statistical model and testing designs to increase response to selection with constrained inbreeding in genomic breeding programs for pigs affected by social genetic effects. Genetics Selection Evolution, 2021, 53, 1.	3.0	20
17	Sparse single-step method for genomic evaluation in pigs. Genetics Selection Evolution, 2016, 48, 48.	3.0	19
18	A bivariate genomic model with additive, dominance and inbreeding depression effects for sire line and three-way crossbred pigs. Genetics Selection Evolution, 2019, 51, 45.	3.0	18

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Imputation of genotypes in Danish purebred and two-way crossbred pigs using low-density panels. Genetics Selection Evolution, 2015, 47, 54.	3.0	13
20	Estimation of variance components and prediction of breeding values based on group records from varying group sizes. Genetics Selection Evolution, 2018, 50, 42.	3.0	11
21	Use of genomic models to study genetic control of environmental variance. Genetical Research, 2011, 93, 125-138.	0.9	10
22	Breed of origin of alleles and genomic predictions for crossbred dairy cows. Genetics Selection Evolution, 2021, 53, 84.	3.0	10
23	Genomic diversity revealed by whole-genome sequencing in three Danish commercial pig breeds. Journal of Animal Science, 2020, 98, .	0.5	9
24	Large-scale association study on daily weight gain in pigs reveals overlap of genetic factors for growth in humans. BMC Genomics, 2022, 23, 133.	2.8	8
25	Genetic associations between stayability and longevity in commercial crossbred sows, and stayability in multiplier sows. Journal of Animal Science, 2020, 98, .	0.5	6
26	Prediction of breeding values for group-recorded traits including genomic information and an individually recorded correlated trait. Heredity, 2021, 126, 206-217.	2.6	6
27	Predictive performances of animal models using different multibreed relationship matrices in systems with rotational crossbreeding. Genetics Selection Evolution, 2022, 54, 25.	3.0	6
28	Single-step genomic evaluation with metafounders for feed conversion ratio and average daily gain in Danish Landrace and Yorkshire pigs. Genetics Selection Evolution, 2021, 53, 79.	3.0	5
29	Models with indirect genetic effects depending on group sizes: a simulation study assessing the precision of the estimates of the dilution parameter. Genetics Selection Evolution, 2019, 51, 24.	3.0	4
30	Genomic predictions for crossbred dairy cows by combining solutions from purebred evaluation based on breed origin of alleles. Journal of Dairy Science, 2022, 105, 5178-5191.	3.4	4
31	Use of Repeated Group Measurements with Drop Out Animals for Variance Component Estimation and Genetic Evaluation: A Simulation Study. G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics, 2019, 9, 2935-2940.	1.8	3
32	Selection for social genetic effects in purebreds increases growth in crossbreds. Genetics Selection Evolution, 2021, 53, 15.	3.0	3
33	Genetic parameters and genomic prediction for feed intake recorded at the group and individual level in different production systems for growing pigs. Genetics Selection Evolution, 2021, 53, 33.	3.0	3