Ben Kravitz

List of Publications by Year in Descending Order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/630719/ben-kravitz-publications-by-year.pdf

Version: 2024-04-28

This document has been generated based on the publications and citations recorded by exaly.com. For the latest version of this publication list, visit the link given above.

The third column is the impact factor (IF) of the journal, and the fourth column is the number of citations of the article.

3,965 36 130 59 h-index g-index citations papers 5.61 4,803 6.5 184 avg, IF L-index ext. citations ext. papers

#	Paper	IF	Citations
130	How large is the design space for stratospheric aerosol geoengineering?. <i>Earth System Dynamics</i> , 2022 , 13, 201-217	4.8	O
129	Limitations of assuming internal mixing between different aerosol species: a case study with sulfate geoengineering simulations. <i>Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics</i> , 2022 , 22, 1739-1756	6.8	0
128	The impact of stratospheric aerosol intervention on the North Atlantic and Quasi-Biennial Oscillations in the Geoengineering Model Intercomparison Project (GeoMIP) G6sulfur experiment. <i>Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics</i> , 2022 , 22, 2999-3016	6.8	3
127	Technical note: Using long short-term memory models to fill data gaps in hydrological monitoring networks. <i>Hydrology and Earth System Sciences</i> , 2022 , 26, 1727-1743	5.5	2
126	Changes in Hadley circulation and intertropical convergence zone under strategic stratospheric aerosol geoengineering. <i>Npj Climate and Atmospheric Science</i> , 2022 , 5,	8	1
125	An approach to sulfate geoengineering with surface emissions of carbonyl sulfide. <i>Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics</i> , 2022 , 22, 5757-5773	6.8	О
124	Characteristics of a Solar Geoengineering Deployment: Considerations for Governance. <i>AESS Interdisciplinary Environmental Studies and Sciences Series</i> , 2021 , 15-32	0.3	O
123	Comparing different generations of idealized solar geoengineering simulations in the Geoengineering Model Intercomparison Project (GeoMIP). <i>Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics</i> , 2021 , 21, 4231-4247	6.8	7
122	Is Turning Down the Sun a Good Proxy for Stratospheric Sulfate Geoengineering?. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research D: Atmospheres</i> , 2021 , 126, e2020JD033952	4.4	13
121	Response of the Indian summer monsoon to global warming, solar geoengineering and its termination. <i>Scientific Reports</i> , 2021 , 11, 9791	4.9	1
120	High-Latitude Stratospheric Aerosol Geoengineering Can Be More Effective if Injection Is Limited to Spring. <i>Geophysical Research Letters</i> , 2021 , 48, e2021GL092696	4.9	7
119	Harnessing stratospheric diffusion barriers for enhanced climate geoengineering. <i>Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics</i> , 2021 , 21, 8845-8861	6.8	1
118	A permafrost implementation in the simple carbonllimate model Hector v.2.3pf. <i>Geoscientific Model Development</i> , 2021 , 14, 4751-4767	6.3	
117	North Atlantic Oscillation response in GeoMIP experiments G6solar and G6sulfur: why detailed modelling is needed for understanding regional implications of solar radiation management. <i>Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics</i> , 2021 , 21, 1287-1304	6.8	7
116	Identifying the sources of uncertainty in climate model simulations of solar radiation modification with the G6sulfur and G6solar Geoengineering Model Intercomparison Project (GeoMIP) simulations. <i>Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics</i> , 2021 , 21, 10039-10063	6.8	5
115	Detecting Climate Teleconnections With Granger Causality. <i>Geophysical Research Letters</i> , 2021 , 48, e20	0214.G/L0	94707
114	Sensitivity of Total Column Ozone to Stratospheric Sulfur Injection Strategies. <i>Geophysical Research Letters</i> , 2021 , 48, e2021GL094058	4.9	2

(2019-2020)

113	Calibrating Simple Climate Models to Individual Earth System Models: Lessons Learned From Calibrating Hector. <i>Earth and Space Science</i> , 2020 , 7, e2019EA000980	3.1	3	
112	Weakening of the Extratropical Storm Tracks in Solar Geoengineering Scenarios. <i>Geophysical Research Letters</i> , 2020 , 47, e2020GL087348	4.9	4	
111	Seasonally Modulated Stratospheric Aerosol Geoengineering Alters the Climate Outcomes. <i>Geophysical Research Letters</i> , 2020 , 47, e2020GL088337	4.9	11	
110	Technical note: Deep learning for creating surrogate models of precipitation in Earth system models. <i>Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics</i> , 2020 , 20, 2303-2317	6.8	7	
109	Evaluating the efficacy and equity of environmental stopgap measures. <i>Nature Sustainability</i> , 2020 , 3, 499-504	22.1	15	
108	Uncertainty and the basis for confidence in solar geoengineering research. <i>Nature Reviews Earth & Environment</i> , 2020 , 1, 64-75	30.2	12	
107	Expanding the design space of stratospheric aerosol geoengineering to include precipitation-based objectives and explore trade-offs. <i>Earth System Dynamics</i> , 2020 , 11, 1051-1072	4.8	6	
106	Reaching 1.5 and 2.0 °C global surface temperature targets using stratospheric aerosol geoengineering. <i>Earth System Dynamics</i> , 2020 , 11, 579-601	4.8	18	
105	Assessing terrestrial biogeochemical feedbacks in a strategically geoengineered climate. <i>Environmental Research Letters</i> , 2020 , 15, 104043	6.2	3	
104	New Frontiers in Geoengineering Research. <i>Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society</i> , 2020 , 101, E87-E89	6.1	1	
103	Reduced Poleward Transport Due to Stratospheric Heating Under Stratospheric Aerosols Geoengineering. <i>Geophysical Research Letters</i> , 2020 , 47, e2020GL089470	4.9	9	
102	E3SMv0-HiLAT: A Modified Climate System Model Targeted for the Study of High-Latitude Processes. <i>Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems</i> , 2019 , 11, 2814-2843	7.1	5	
101	Technical characteristics of a solar geoengineering deployment and implications for governance. <i>Climate Policy</i> , 2019 , 19, 1325-1339	5.3	9	
100	Comparing Surface and Stratospheric Impacts of Geoengineering With Different SO2 Injection Strategies. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research D: Atmospheres</i> , 2019 , 124, 7900-7918	4.4	30	
99	Fldgen v1.0: an emulator with internal variability and spacelime correlation for Earth system models. <i>Geoscientific Model Development</i> , 2019 , 12, 1477-1489	6.3	9	
98	Timescale for Detecting the Climate Response to Stratospheric Aerosol Geoengineering. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research D: Atmospheres</i> , 2019 , 124, 1233-1247	4.4	22	
97	Seasonal Injection Strategies for Stratospheric Aerosol Geoengineering. <i>Geophysical Research Letters</i> , 2019 , 46, 7790-7799	4.9	16	
96	Soil Moisture and Other Hydrological Changes in a Stratospheric Aerosol Geoengineering Large Ensemble. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research D: Atmospheres</i> , 2019 , 124, 12773-12793	4.4	25	

95	The Regional Hydroclimate Response to Stratospheric Sulfate Geoengineering and the Role of Stratospheric Heating. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research D: Atmospheres</i> , 2019 , 124, 12587-12616	4.4	38
94	Joint emulation of Earth System Model temperature-precipitation realizations with internal variability and space-time and cross-variable correlation: fldgen v2.0 software description. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2019 , 14, e0223542	3.7	3
93	Stratospheric Sulfate Aerosol Geoengineering Could Alter the High-Latitude Seasonal Cycle. <i>Geophysical Research Letters</i> , 2019 , 46, 14153-14163	4.9	19
92	Mission-driven research for stratospheric aerosol geoengineering. <i>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America</i> , 2019 , 116, 1089-1094	11.5	28
91	Holistic Assessment of SO2 Injections Using CESM1(WACCM): Introduction to the Special Issue. Journal of Geophysical Research D: Atmospheres, 2019 , 124, 444-450	4.4	2
90	The Engineering of Climate Engineering. <i>Annual Review of Control, Robotics, and Autonomous Systems</i> , 2019 , 2, 445-467	11.8	22
89	Remote Drying in the North Atlantic as a Common Response to Precessional Changes and CO2 Increase Over Land. <i>Geophysical Research Letters</i> , 2018 , 45, 3615-3624	4.9	9
88	Land radiative management as contributor to regional-scale climate adaptation and mitigation. <i>Nature Geoscience</i> , 2018 , 11, 88-96	18.3	67
87	Response to marine cloud brightening in a multi-model ensemble. <i>Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics</i> , 2018 , 18, 621-634	6.8	22
86	Land Surface Cooling Induced by Sulfate Geoengineering Constrained by Major Volcanic Eruptions. <i>Geophysical Research Letters</i> , 2018 , 45, 5663-5671	4.9	10
85	Quantifying uncertainty from aerosol and atmospheric parameters and their impact on climate sensitivity. <i>Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics</i> , 2018 , 18, 17529-17543	6.8	4
84	Extreme temperature and precipitation response to solar dimming and stratospheric aerosol geoengineering. <i>Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics</i> , 2018 , 18, 10133-10156	6.8	10
83	Response of Surface Ultraviolet and Visible Radiation to Stratospheric SO2 Injections. <i>Atmosphere</i> , 2018 , 9, 432	2.7	11
82	CESM1(WACCM) Stratospheric Aerosol Geoengineering Large Ensemble Project. <i>Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society</i> , 2018 , 99, 2361-2371	6.1	74
81	Effects of Different Stratospheric SO2 Injection Altitudes on Stratospheric Chemistry and Dynamics. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research D: Atmospheres</i> , 2018 , 123, 4654-4673	4.4	37
80	Stratospheric Response in the First Geoengineering Simulation Meeting Multiple Surface Climate Objectives. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research D: Atmospheres</i> , 2018 , 123, 5762-5782	4.4	14
79	Persistent polar ocean warming in a strategically geoengineered climate. <i>Nature Geoscience</i> , 2018 , 11, 910-914	18.3	17
78	The climate effects of increasing ocean albedo: an idealized representation of solar geoengineering. <i>Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics</i> , 2018 , 18, 13097-13113	6.8	11

77	Extreme temperature and precipitation response to solar dimming and stratospheric aerosol geoengineering 2018 ,		1	
76	The Carbon Dioxide Removal Model Intercomparison Project (CDRMIP): rationale and experimental protocol for CMIP6. <i>Geoscientific Model Development</i> , 2018 , 11, 1133-1160	6.3	48	
<i>75</i>	The Carbon Dioxide Removal Model Intercomparison Project (CDR-MIP): Rationale and experimental design 2017 ,		7	
74	The Climate Response to Stratospheric Aerosol Geoengineering Can Be Tailored Using Multiple Injection Locations. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research D: Atmospheres</i> , 2017 , 122, 12,574	4.4	62	
73	First Simulations of Designing Stratospheric Sulfate Aerosol Geoengineering to Meet Multiple Simultaneous Climate Objectives. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research D: Atmospheres</i> , 2017 , 122, 12,616	4.4	75	
72	Sensitivity of Aerosol Distribution and Climate Response to Stratospheric SO2 Injection Locations. Journal of Geophysical Research D: Atmospheres, 2017 , 122, 12,591	4.4	57	
71	Stratospheric Dynamical Response and Ozone Feedbacks in the Presence of SO2 Injections. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research D: Atmospheres</i> , 2017 , 122, 12,557	4.4	49	
70	Radiative and Chemical Response to Interactive Stratospheric Sulfate Aerosols in Fully Coupled CESM1(WACCM). <i>Journal of Geophysical Research D: Atmospheres</i> , 2017 , 122, 13,061	4.4	86	
69	Towards a comprehensive climate impacts assessment of solar geoengineering. <i>Earthr</i> s <i>Future</i> , 2017 , 5, 93-106	7.9	33	
68	Marine cloud brightening Las effective without clouds. <i>Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics</i> , 2017 , 17, 13071-13087	6.8	25	
67	Shortwave radiative forcing, rapid adjustment, and feedback to the surface by sulfate geoengineering: analysis of the Geoengineering Model Intercomparison Project[G4 scenario. <i>Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics</i> , 2017 , 17, 3339-3356	6.8	13	
66	The G4Foam Experiment: global climate impacts of regional ocean albedo modification. <i>Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics</i> , 2017 , 17, 595-613	6.8	11	
65	Technical note: Simultaneous fully dynamic characterization of multiple inputButput relationships in climate models. <i>Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics</i> , 2017 , 17, 2525-2541	6.8	3	
64	Exploring precipitation pattern scaling methodologies and robustness among CMIP5 models. <i>Geoscientific Model Development</i> , 2017 , 10, 1889-1902	6.3	8	
63	An open-access CMIP5 pattern library for temperature and precipitation: description and methodology. <i>Earth System Science Data</i> , 2017 , 9, 281-292	10.5	12	
62	Shortwave radiative forcing and feedback to the surface by sulphate geoengineering: Analysis of the Geoengineering Model Intercomparison Project G4 scenario 2016 ,		1	
61	Geoengineering with stratospheric aerosols: What do we not know after a decade of research?. <i>Earthr</i> s <i>Future</i> , 2016 , 4, 543-548	7.9	29	
60	Dynamic climate emulators for solar geoengineering. <i>Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics</i> , 2016 , 16, 157	8%.857	99 8	

59	Exploring precipitation pattern scaling methodologies and robustness among CMIP5 models 2016,		2
58	Geoengineering as a design problem. <i>Earth System Dynamics</i> , 2016 , 7, 469-497	4.8	70
57	The impact of equilibrating hemispheric albedos on tropical performance in the HadGEM2-ES coupled climate model. <i>Geophysical Research Letters</i> , 2016 , 43, 395-403	4.9	40
56	A new paradigm of quantifying ecosystem stress through chemical signatures. <i>Ecosphere</i> , 2016 , 7, e015	5 9 .1	13
55	An overview of the Earth system science of solar geoengineering. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change, 2016 , 7, 815-833	8.4	75
54	Impacts, effectiveness and regional inequalities of the GeoMIP G1 to G4 solar radiation management scenarios. <i>Global and Planetary Change</i> , 2015 , 129, 10-22	4.2	27
53	Atlantic hurricane surge response to geoengineering. <i>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America</i> , 2015 , 112, 13794-9	11.5	26
52	Increasing water cycle extremes in California and in relation to ENSO cycle under global warming. <i>Nature Communications</i> , 2015 , 6, 8657	17.4	116
51	On solar geoengineering and climate uncertainty. <i>Geophysical Research Letters</i> , 2015 , 42, 7156-7161	4.9	14
50	A New Method of Comparing Forcing Agents in Climate Models*. <i>Journal of Climate</i> , 2015 , 28, 8203-821	184.4	14
49	The Geoengineering Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (GeoMIP6): simulation design and preliminary results. <i>Geoscientific Model Development</i> , 2015 , 8, 3379-3392	6.3	85
48	A new Geoengineering Model Intercomparison Project (GeoMIP) experiment designed for climate and chemistry models. <i>Geoscientific Model Development</i> , 2015 , 8, 43-49	6.3	37
47	The hydrological sensitivity to global warming and solar geoengineering derived from thermodynamic constraints. <i>Geophysical Research Letters</i> , 2015 , 42, 138-144	4.9	28
46	Extreme Fire Season in California: A Glimpse Into the Future?. <i>Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society</i> , 2015 , 96, S5-S9	6.1	7
45	Arctic cryosphere response in the Geoengineering Model Intercomparison Project G3 and G4 scenarios. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research D: Atmospheres</i> , 2014 , 119, 1308-1321	4.4	31
44	Stratospheric ozone response to sulfate geoengineering: Results from the Geoengineering Model Intercomparison Project (GeoMIP). <i>Journal of Geophysical Research D: Atmospheres</i> , 2014 , 119, 2629-265	5 3 ·4	128
43	A multimodel examination of climate extremes in an idealized geoengineering experiment. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research D: Atmospheres</i> , 2014 , 119, 3900-3923	4.4	60
42	Future Directions in Simulating Solar Geoengineering. <i>Eos</i> , 2014 , 95, 280-280	1.5	4

(2013-2014)

41	Key factors governing uncertainty in the response to sunshade geoengineering from a comparison of the GeoMIP ensemble and a perturbed parameter ensemble. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research D: Atmospheres</i> , 2014 , 119, 7946-7962	4.4	9
40	Arctic sea ice and atmospheric circulation under the GeoMIP G1 scenario. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research D: Atmospheres</i> , 2014 , 119, 567-583	4.4	39
39	Solar radiation management impacts on agriculture in China: A case study in the Geoengineering Model Intercomparison Project (GeoMIP). <i>Journal of Geophysical Research D: Atmospheres</i> , 2014 , 119, 8695-8711	4.4	42
38	A multi-model assessment of regional climate disparities caused by solar geoengineering. <i>Environmental Research Letters</i> , 2014 , 9, 074013	6.2	77
37	Geoengineering: The world's largest control problem 2014 ,		4
36	Process-model simulations of cloud albedo enhancement by aerosols in the Arctic. <i>Philosophical Transactions Series A, Mathematical, Physical, and Engineering Sciences</i> , 2014 , 372,	3	15
35	Explicit feedback and the management of uncertainty in meeting climate objectives with solar geoengineering. <i>Environmental Research Letters</i> , 2014 , 9, 044006	6.2	30
34	Dynamics of the coupled humandlimate system resulting from closed-loop control of solar geoengineering. <i>Climate Dynamics</i> , 2014 , 43, 243-258	4.2	56
33	Forcings and feedbacks in the GeoMIP ensemble for a reduction in solar irradiance and increase in CO2. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research D: Atmospheres</i> , 2014 , 119, 5226-5239	4.4	18
32	Climate model response from the Geoengineering Model Intercomparison Project (GeoMIP). <i>Journal of Geophysical Research D: Atmospheres</i> , 2013 , 118, 8320-8332	4.4	195
31	An overview of the Geoengineering Model Intercomparison Project (GeoMIP). <i>Journal of Geophysical Research D: Atmospheres</i> , 2013 , 118, 13,103-13,107	4.4	40
30	Robust Results From Climate Model Simulations of Geoengineering. <i>Eos</i> , 2013 , 94, 292-292	1.5	7
29	Inorganic carbon turnover caused by digestion of carbonate sands and metabolic activity of holothurians. <i>Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science</i> , 2013 , 133, 217-223	2.9	24
28	Geophysical limits to global wind power. <i>Nature Climate Change</i> , 2013 , 3, 118-121	21.4	78
27	Sea spray geoengineering experiments in the geoengineering model intercomparison project (GeoMIP): Experimental design and preliminary results. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research D: Atmospheres</i> , 2013 , 118, 11,175-11,186	4.4	29
26	The impact of abrupt suspension of solar radiation management (termination effect) in experiment G2 of the Geoengineering Model Intercomparison Project (GeoMIP). <i>Journal of Geophysical Research D: Atmospheres</i> , 2013 , 118, 9743-9752	4.4	113
25	Management of trade-offs in geoengineering through optimal choice of non-uniform radiative forcing. <i>Nature Climate Change</i> , 2013 , 3, 365-368	21.4	83
24	The hydrological impact of geoengineering in the Geoengineering Model Intercomparison Project (GeoMIP). <i>Journal of Geophysical Research D: Atmospheres</i> , 2013 , 118, 11,036-11,058	4.4	161

23	An energetic perspective on hydrological cycle changes in the Geoengineering Model Intercomparison Project. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research D: Atmospheres</i> , 2013 , 118, 13,087-13,102	4.4	53
22	Stratospheric Aerosols for Solar Radiation Management 2013 , 21-38		2
21	Geoengineering: Whiter skies?. <i>Geophysical Research Letters</i> , 2012 , 39, n/a-n/a	4.9	18
20	Sensitivity of stratospheric geoengineering with black carbon to aerosol size and altitude of injection. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research</i> , 2012 , 117, n/a-n/a		25
19	Progress in climate model simulations of geoengineering. <i>Eos</i> , 2012 , 93, 340-340	1.5	5
18	Climate effects of high-latitude volcanic eruptions: Role of the time of year. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research</i> , 2011 , 116,		70
17	Simulation and observations of stratospheric aerosols from the 2009 Sarychev volcanic eruption. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research</i> , 2011 , 116,		40
16	Standardizing experiments in geoengineering. <i>Eos</i> , 2011 , 92, 197-197	1.5	6
15	The Geoengineering Model Intercomparison Project (GeoMIP). <i>Atmospheric Science Letters</i> , 2011 , 12, 162-167	2.4	259
14	Atmospheric science. A test for geoengineering?. <i>Science</i> , 2010 , 327, 530-1	33.3	92
13	Negligible climatic effects from the 2008 Okmok and Kasatochi volcanic eruptions. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research</i> , 2010 , 115,		33
12	Correction to Bulfuric acid deposition from stratospheric geoengineering with sulfate aerosols Journal of Geophysical Research, 2010 , 115,		3
11	Geoengineering by stratospheric SO₂ injection: results from the Met Office HadGEM2 climate model and comparison with the Goddard Institute for Space Studies ModelE. <i>Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics</i> , 2010 , 10, 5999-6006	6.8	74
10	HadGEM2 climate model and comparison with the Goddard Institute for Space Studies ModelE.	6.8	74 196
	HadGEM2 climate model and comparison with the Goddard Institute for Space Studies ModelE. <i>Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics</i> , 2010 , 10, 5999-6006		
10	HadGEM2 climate model and comparison with the Goddard Institute for Space Studies ModelE. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 2010, 10, 5999-6006 Benefits, risks, and costs of stratospheric geoengineering. Geophysical Research Letters, 2009, 36, Sulfuric acid deposition from stratospheric geoengineering with sulfate aerosols. Journal of		196
10	HadGEM2 climate model and comparison with the Goddard Institute for Space Studies ModelE. <i>Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics</i> , 2010 , 10, 5999-6006 Benefits, risks, and costs of stratospheric geoengineering. <i>Geophysical Research Letters</i> , 2009 , 36, Sulfuric acid deposition from stratospheric geoengineering with sulfate aerosols. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research</i> , 2009 , 114, Two counterexamples in normalization. <i>Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society</i> , 2007 ,	4.9	196 59

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

5	Geoengineering as a design problem	2
4	A new Geoengineering Model Intercomparison Project (GeoMIP) experiment designed for climate and chemistry models	2
3	The Geoengineering Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (GeoMIP6): simulation design and preliminary results	7
2	Using Deep Learning to Fill Spatio-Temporal Data Gaps in Hydrological Monitoring Networks	7
1	Supplementary material to "Using Deep Learning to Fill Spatio-Temporal Data Gaps in Hydrological Monitoring Networks"	2