

# Maria João Cardoso

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/6289431/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

41  
papers

2,219  
citations

304368

22  
h-index

315357

38  
g-index

42  
all docs

42  
docs citations

42  
times ranked

2566  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	3D Breast Volume Estimation. <i>European Surgical Research</i> , 2022, 63, 3-8.	0.6	3
2	Artificial intelligence (AI) in breast cancer care - leveraging multidisciplinary skills to improve care. <i>Artificial Intelligence in Medicine</i> , 2022, 123, 102215.	3.8	4
3	Breast cancer surgery with augmented reality. <i>Breast</i> , 2021, 56, 14-17.	0.9	34
4	Artificial intelligence (AI) in breast cancer care - Leveraging multidisciplinary skills to improve care. <i>Breast</i> , 2021, 56, 110-113.	0.9	6
5	Updated recommendations regarding the management of older patients with breast cancer: a joint paper from the European Society of Breast Cancer Specialists (EUSOMA) and the International Society of Geriatric Oncology (SIOG). <i>Lancet Oncology</i> , The, 2021, 22, e327-e340.	5.1	121
6	Locoregional therapy in de novo metastatic breast cancer. The unanswered question. <i>Breast</i> , 2021, 58, 170-172.	0.9	4
7	De-escalation of axillary irradiation for early breast cancer – Has the time come?. <i>Cancer Treatment Reviews</i> , 2021, 101, 102297.	3.4	16
8	3D digital breast cancer models with multimodal fusion algorithms. <i>Breast</i> , 2020, 49, 281-290.	0.9	11
9	Weakly-Supervised Classification of HER2 Expression in Breast Cancer Haematoxylin and Eosin Stained Slides. <i>Applied Sciences (Switzerland)</i> , 2020, 10, 4728.	1.3	12
10	Residual Glandular Breast Tissue After Mastectomy: A Systematic Review. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2020, 27, 2288-2296.	0.7	32
11	Zebrafish xenografts as a fast screening platform for bevacizumab cancer therapy. <i>Communications Biology</i> , 2020, 3, 299.	2.0	37
12	Cancer and COVID-19: what do we really know?. <i>Lancet</i> , The, 2020, 395, 1884-1885.	6.3	36
13	Automatic detection of perforators for microsurgical reconstruction. <i>Breast</i> , 2020, 50, 19-24.	0.9	12
14	ASO Author Reflections: Residual Breast Tissue After Skin- and Nipple-Sparing Mastectomies: A Matter of Concern or a Point for Improvement/Action?. <i>Annals of Surgical Oncology</i> , 2020, 27, 2297-2298.	0.7	5
15	European Guidelines on the Organisation of Breast Centres and Voluntary Certification Processes. <i>Breast Care</i> , 2019, 14, 359-365.	0.8	4
16	ESTRO ACROP consensus guideline for target volume delineation in the setting of postmastectomy radiation therapy after implant-based immediate reconstruction for early stage breast cancer. <i>Radiotherapy and Oncology</i> , 2019, 137, 159-166.	0.3	80
17	Global analysis of advanced/metastatic breast cancer: Decade report (2005–2015). <i>Breast</i> , 2018, 39, 131-138.	0.9	167
18	Immediate Reconstruction: General and Oncological Considerations. , 2018, , 315-323.		0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Oncoplastic Breast Consortium consensus conference on nipple-sparing mastectomy. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2018, 172, 523-537.	1.1	84
20	The value of 3D images in the aesthetic evaluation of breast cancer conservative treatment. Results from a prospective multicentric clinical trial. Breast, 2018, 41, 19-24.	0.9	7
21	ESO-ESMO 3rd international consensus guidelines for breast cancer in young women (BCY3). Breast, 2017, 35, 203-217.	0.9	203
22	Less is more. Breast conservation might be even better than mastectomy in early breast cancer patients. Breast, 2017, 35, 32-33.	0.9	43
23	Editorial: Overdoing in breast cancer: The risks of over-screening, over-diagnosing and over-treating the disease. Breast, 2017, 31, 260.	0.9	3
24	Highlights from the Tenth European Breast Cancer Conference (EBCC10), Amsterdam, 9-11 March 2016. Ecancermedicalscience, 2016, 9, 644.	0.6	1
25	Complementary and integrative medicine for breast cancer patients - Evidence based practical recommendations. Breast, 2016, 28, 37-44.	0.9	22
26	The breast cancer conservative treatment. Cosmetic results - BCCT.core - Software for objective assessment of esthetic outcome in breast cancer conservative treatment: A narrative review. Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine, 2016, 126, 154-159.	2.6	34
27	Breast Conserving Surgery Outcome Prediction: A Patient-Specific, Integrated Multi-modal Imaging and Mechano-Biological Modelling Framework. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 2016, , 274-281.	1.0	1
28	IN29 SURGERY OF THE PRIMARY TUMOUR: SHOULD THE RECOMMENDATION BE CHANGED?. Breast, 2015, 24, S31-S32.	0.9	0
29	Assessing cosmetic results after breast conserving surgery. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2014, 110, 37-44.	0.8	41
30	Recommendations for the aesthetic evaluation of breast cancer conservative treatment. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2012, 135, 629-637.	1.1	76
31	INbreast. Academic Radiology, 2012, 19, 236-248.	1.3	714
32	Is three better than two? The use of 3D scanners in the assessment of aesthetic results in local breast cancer treatment. Breast, 2012, 21, 227-228.	0.9	5
33	Aesthetics in Breast Conserving Therapy: Do Objectively Measured Results Match Patients' Evaluations?. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2011, 18, 134-138.	0.7	45
34	Training in oncoplastic surgery: An international consensus. The 7th Portuguese Senology Congress, Vilamoura, 2009. Breast, 2010, 19, 538-540.	0.9	31
35	Comparing two objective methods for the aesthetic evaluation of breast cancer conservative treatment. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2009, 116, 149-152.	1.1	50
36	Is face-only photographic view enough for the aesthetic evaluation of breast cancer conservative treatment?. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2008, 112, 565-568.	1.1	23

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	The Breast Unit of the Hospital S. João, Porto, Portugal. <i>Breast Care</i> , 2007, 2, 338-339.	0.8	0
38	Turning subjective into objective: The BCCT.core software for evaluation of cosmetic results in breast cancer conservative treatment. <i>Breast</i> , 2007, 16, 456-461.	0.9	149
39	Interobserver agreement and consensus over the esthetic evaluation of conservative treatment for breast cancer. <i>Breast</i> , 2006, 15, 52-57.	0.9	61
40	Choosing observers for evaluation of aesthetic results in breast cancer conservative treatment. <i>International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics</i> , 2005, 61, 879-881.	0.4	39
41	Abandoned Advanced Breast Cancer in an Old Patient: A Difficult Challenge. <i>Breast Journal</i> , 2005, 11, 151-152.	0.4	0