
Maria João Cardoso

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/6289431/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	INbreast. Academic Radiology, 2012, 19, 236-248.	1.3	714
2	ESO-ESMO 3rd international consensus guidelines for breast cancer in young women (BCY3). Breast, 2017, 35, 203-217.	0.9	203
3	Global analysis of advanced/metastatic breast cancer: Decade report (2005–2015). Breast, 2018, 39, 131-138.	0.9	167
4	Turning subjective into objective: The BCCT.core software for evaluation of cosmetic results in breast cancer conservative treatment. Breast, 2007, 16, 456-461.	0.9	149
5	Updated recommendations regarding the management of older patients with breast cancer: a joint paper from the European Society of Breast Cancer Specialists (EUSOMA) and the International Society of Greiatric Oncology (SIOG). Lancet Oncology, The, 2021, 22, e327-e340.	5.1	121
6	Oncoplastic Breast Consortium consensus conference on nipple-sparing mastectomy. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2018, 172, 523-537.	1.1	84
7	ESTRO ACROP consensus guideline for target volume delineation in the setting of postmastectomy radiation therapy after implant-based immediate reconstruction for early stage breast cancer. Radiotherapy and Oncology, 2019, 137, 159-166.	0.3	80
8	Recommendations for the aesthetic evaluation of breast cancer conservative treatment. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2012, 135, 629-637.	1.1	76
9	Interobserver agreement and consensus over the esthetic evaluation of conservative treatment for breast cancer. Breast, 2006, 15, 52-57.	0.9	61
10	Comparing two objective methods for the aesthetic evaluation of breast cancer conservative treatment. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2009, 116, 149-152.	1.1	50
11	Aesthetics in Breast Conserving Therapy: Do Objectively Measured Results Match Patients' Evaluations?. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2011, 18, 134-138.	0.7	45
12	Less is more. Breast conservation might be even better than mastectomy in early breast cancer patients. Breast, 2017, 35, 32-33.	0.9	43
13	Assessing cosmetic results after breast conserving surgery. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2014, 110, 37-44.	0.8	41
14	Choosing observers for evaluation of aesthetic results in breast cancer conservative treatment. International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics, 2005, 61, 879-881.	0.4	39
15	Zebrafish xenografts as a fast screening platform for bevacizumab cancer therapy. Communications Biology, 2020, 3, 299.	2.0	37
16	Cancer and COVID-19: what do we really know?. Lancet, The, 2020, 395, 1884-1885.	6.3	36
17	The breast cancer conservative treatment. Cosmetic results – BCCT.core – Software for objective assessment of esthetic outcome in breast cancer conservative treatment: A narrative review. Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine, 2016, 126, 154-159.	2.6	34
18	Breast cancer surgery with augmented reality. Breast, 2021, 56, 14-17.	0.9	34

Maria João Cardoso

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Residual Glandular Breast Tissue After Mastectomy: A Systematic Review. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 27, 2288-2296.	0.7	32
20	Training in oncoplastic surgery: An international consensus. The 7th Portuguese Senology Congress, Vilamoura, 2009. Breast, 2010, 19, 538-540.	0.9	31
21	ls face-only photographic view enough for the aesthetic evaluation of breast cancer conservative treatment?. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2008, 112, 565-568.	1.1	23
22	Complementary and integrative medicine for breast cancer patients – Evidence based practical recommendations. Breast, 2016, 28, 37-44.	0.9	22
23	De-escalation of axillary irradiation for early breast cancer – Has the time come?. Cancer Treatment Reviews, 2021, 101, 102297.	3.4	16
24	Weakly-Supervised Classification of HER2 Expression in Breast Cancer Haematoxylin and Eosin Stained Slides. Applied Sciences (Switzerland), 2020, 10, 4728.	1.3	12
25	Automatic detection of perforators for microsurgical reconstruction. Breast, 2020, 50, 19-24.	0.9	12
26	3D digital breast cancer models with multimodal fusion algorithms. Breast, 2020, 49, 281-290.	0.9	11
27	The value of 3D images in the aesthetic evaluation of breast cancer conservative treatment. Results from a prospective multicentric clinical trial. Breast, 2018, 41, 19-24.	0.9	7
28	Artificial intelligence (AI) in breast cancer care - Leveraging multidisciplinary skills to improve care. Breast, 2021, 56, 110-113.	0.9	6
29	Is three better than two? The use of 3D scanners in the assessment of aesthetic results in local breast cancer treatment. Breast, 2012, 21, 227-228.	0.9	5
30	ASO Author Reflections: Residual Breast Tissue After Skin- and Nipple-Sparing Mastectomies: A Matter of Concern or a Point for Improvement/Action?. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 27, 2297-2298.	0.7	5
31	European Guidelines on the Organisation of Breast Centres and Voluntary Certification Processes. Breast Care, 2019, 14, 359-365.	0.8	4
32	Locoregional therapy in de novo metastatic breast cancer. The unanswered question. Breast, 2021, 58, 170-172.	0.9	4
33	Artificial intelligence (AI) in breast cancer care - leveraging multidisciplinary skills to improve care. Artificial Intelligence in Medicine, 2022, 123, 102215.	3.8	4
34	Editorial: Overdoing in breast cancer: The risks of over-screening, over-diagnosing and over-treating the disease. Breast, 2017, 31, 260.	0.9	3
35	3D Breast Volume Estimation. European Surgical Research, 2022, 63, 3-8.	0.6	3
36	Highlights from the Tenth European Breast Cancer Conference (EBCC10), Amsterdam, 9–11 March 2016. Ecancermedicalscience, 2016, 9, 644.	0.6	1

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Breast Conserving Surgery Outcome Prediction: A Patient-Specific, Integrated Multi-modal Imaging and Mechano-Biological Modelling Framework. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 2016, , 274-281.	1.0	1
38	Abandoned Advanced Breast Cancer in an Old Patient: A Difficult Challenge. Breast Journal, 2005, 11, 151-152.	0.4	0
39	The Breast Unit of the Hospital S. João, Porto, Portugal. Breast Care, 2007, 2, 338-339.	0.8	Ο
40	IN29 SURGERY OF THE PRIMARY TUMOUR: SHOULD THE RECOMMENDATION BE CHANGED?. Breast, 2015, 24, S31-S32.	0.9	0
41	Immediate Reconstruction: General and Oncological Considerations. , 2018, , 315-323.		Ο