Francesca Ratti

List of Publications by Year in descending order

139 papers	3,537 citations	117619 34 h-index	189881 50 g-index
142 all docs	142 docs citations	142 times ranked	2891 citing authors

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	Laparoscopic versus open major hepatectomy: a systematic review and meta-analysis of individual patient data. Surgery, 2018, 163, 985-995.	1.9	147
2	Laparoscopic Versus Open Liver Resection for Colorectal Metastases in Elderly and Octogenarian Patients. Annals of Surgery, 2017, 265, 1192-1200.	4.2	119
3	Prognosis After Resection of Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer (BCLC) Stage 0, A, and B Hepatocellular Carcinoma: A Comprehensive Assessment of the Current BCLC Classification. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 26, 3693-3700.	1.5	117
4	Strategies to Increase the Resectability of Patients with Colorectal Liver Metastases: A Multi-center Case-Match Analysis of ALPPS and Conventional Two-Stage Hepatectomy. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2015, 22, 1933-1942.	1.5	101
5	Randomized clinical trial of open versus laparoscopic left lateral hepatic sectionectomy within an enhanced recovery after surgery programme (ORANGE II study). British Journal of Surgery, 2017, 104, 525-535.	0.3	96
6	Hepatocellular carcinoma tumour burden score to stratify prognosis after resection. British Journal of Surgery, 2020, 107, 854-864.	0.3	83
7	Outcome after laparoscopic and open resections of posterosuperior segments of the liver. British Journal of Surgery, 2017, 104, 751-759.	0.3	80
8	Recurrence Patterns and Outcomes after Resection of Hepatocellular Carcinoma within and beyond the Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer Criteria. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 27, 2321-2331.	1.5	76
9	Perihilar Cholangiocarcinoma â€“ Novel Benchmark Values for Surgical and Oncological Outcomes From 24 Expert Centers. Annals of Surgery, 2021, 274, 780-788.	4.2	72
10	Safety and feasibility of laparoscopic liver resection with associated lymphadenectomy for intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma: a propensity score-based case-matched analysis from a single institution. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2016, 30, 1999-2010.	2.4	71
11	Defining indications to ALPPS procedure: technical aspects and open issues. Updates in Surgery, 2014, 66, 41-49.	2.0	62
12	First Long-term Oncologic Results of the ALPPS Procedure in a Large Cohort of Patients With Colorectal Liver Metastases. Annals of Surgery, 2020, 272, 793-800.	4.2	62
13	Laparoscopic vs Open Surgery for Colorectal Liver Metastases. JAMA Surgery, 2018, 153, 1028.	4.3	61
14	Laparoscopic liver resections for hepatocellular carcinoma. Can we extend the surgical indication in cirrhotic patients?. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2018, 32, 617-626.	2.4	59
15	Assessing Textbook Outcomes Following Liver Surgery for Primary Liver Cancer Over a 12-Year Time Period at Major Hepatobiliary Centers. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 27, 3318-3327.	1.5	59
16	Importance of primary indication and liver function between stages: results of a multicenter Italian audit of ALPPS 2012â€“2014. Hpb, 2016, 18, 419-427.	0.3	56
17	Laparoscopic repeat liver resection for hepatocellular carcinoma: a multicentre propensity score-based study. British Journal of Surgery, 2020, 107, 889-895.	0.3	56
18	ALPPS for Locally Advanced Intrahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma: Did Aggressive Surgery Lead to the Oncological Benefit? An International Multi-center Study. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 27, 1372-1384.	1.5	53

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Tumor Progression During Preoperative Chemotherapy Predicts Failure to Complete 2-Stage Hepatectomy for Colorectal Liver Metastases: Results of an Italian Multicenter Analysis of 130 Patients. Journal of the American College of Surgeons, 2014, 219, 285-294.	0.5	52
20	Diffusion, outcomes and implementation of minimally invasive liver surgery: a snapshot from the I Go MILS (Italian Group of Minimally Invasive Liver Surgery) Registry. Updates in Surgery, 2017, 69, 271-283.	2.0	52
21	Overall Tumor Burden Dictates Outcomes for Patients Undergoing Resection of Multinodular Hepatocellular Carcinoma Beyond the Milan Criteria. Annals of Surgery, 2020, 272, 574-581.	4.2	52
22	Perioperative and Long-Term Outcomes of Laparoscopic Versus Open Lymphadenectomy for Biliary Tumors: A Propensity-Score-Based, Case-Matched Analysis. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 26, 564-575.	1.5	47
23	The role of liver-directed surgery in patients with hepatic metastasis from primary breast cancer: a multi-institutional analysis. Hpb, 2016, 18, 700-705.	0.3	46
24	Robot-Assisted Versus Open Liver Resection in the Right Posterior Section. Journal of the Society of Laparoendoscopic Surgeons, 2014, 18, e2014.00040.	1.1	45
25	Microwave ablation of liver malignancies: comparison of effects and early outcomes of percutaneous and intraoperative approaches with different liver conditions. Medical Oncology, 2017, 34, 49.	2.5	45
26	Defining the chance of cure after resection for hepatocellular carcinoma within and beyond the Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer guidelines: A multi-institutional analysis of 1,010 patients. Surgery, 2019, 166, 967-974.	1.9	45
27	Hospital variation in Textbook Outcomes following curative-intent resection of hepatocellular carcinoma: an international multi-institutional analysis. Hpb, 2020, 22, 1305-1313.	0.3	45
28	Hilar Cholangiocarcinoma: Preoperative Liver Optimization with Multidisciplinary Approach. Toward a Better Outcome. World Journal of Surgery, 2013, 37, 1388-1396.	1.6	44
29	Impact of totally laparoscopic combined management of colorectal cancer with synchronous hepatic metastases on severity of complications: a propensity-score-based analysis. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2016, 30, 4934-4945.	2.4	44
30	Learning curve of self-taught laparoscopic liver surgeons in left lateral sectionectomy: results from an international multi-institutional analysis on 245 cases. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2016, 30, 3618-3629.	2.4	43
31	ALPPS in neuroendocrine liver metastases not amenable for conventional resection â€“ lessons learned from an interim analysis of the International ALPPS Registry. Hpb, 2020, 22, 537-544.	0.3	43
32	Laparoscopic major hepatectomies: current trends and indications. A comparison with the open technique. Updates in Surgery, 2015, 67, 157-167.	2.0	39
33	Utilizing Machine Learning for Pre- and Postoperative Assessment of Patients Undergoing Resection for BCLC-0, A and B Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Implications for Resection Beyond the BCLC Guidelines. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 27, 866-874.	1.5	38
34	Early Versus Late Recurrence of Hepatocellular Carcinoma After Surgical Resection Based on Post-recurrence Survival: an International Multi-institutional Analysis. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2021, 25, 125-133.	1.7	38
35	Intraoperative monitoring of stroke volume variation versus central venous pressure in laparoscopic liver surgery: a randomized prospective comparative trial. Hpb, 2016, 18, 136-144.	0.3	37
36	Effect of Surgical Margin Width on Patterns of Recurrence among Patients Undergoing R0 Hepatectomy for T1 Hepatocellular Carcinoma: An International Multi-Institutional Analysis. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2020, 24, 1552-1560.	1.7	37

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	Impact of ERAS approach and minimally-invasive techniques on outcome of patients undergoing liver surgery for hepatocellular carcinoma. Digestive and Liver Disease, 2016, 48, 1243-1248.	0.9	35
38	Pure laparoscopic versus open hemihepatectomy: a critical assessment and realistic expectations â€“ a propensity scoreâ€based analysis of right and left hemihepatectomies from nine European tertiary referral centers. Journal of Hepato-Biliary-Pancreatic Sciences, 2020, 27, 3-15.	2.6	34
39	Laparoendoscopic single site (LESS) surgery for left-lateral hepatic sectionectomy as an alternative to traditional laparoscopy: case-matched analysis from a single center. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2012, 26, 2016-2022.	2.4	33
40	Laparoscopic Versus Open Major Hepatectomy: Analysis of Clinical Outcomes and Cost Effectiveness in a High-Volume Center. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2019, 23, 2163-2173.	1.7	31
41	Real-Life Clinical Data of Lenvatinib versus Sorafenib for Unresectable Hepatocellular Carcinoma in Italy. Cancer Management and Research, 2021, Volume 13, 9379-9389.	1.9	31
42	Comparative Analysis of Left- Versus Right-sided Resection in Klatskin Tumor Surgery: can Lesion Side be Considered a Prognostic Factor?. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2015, 19, 1324-1333.	1.7	30
43	Laparoscopic Approach for Primary Colorectal Cancer Improves Outcome of Patients Undergoing Combined Open Hepatic Resection for Liver Metastases. World Journal of Surgery, 2015, 39, 2573-2582.	1.6	29
44	A Novel Nomogram to Predict the Prognosis of Patients Undergoing Liver Resection for Neuroendocrine Liver Metastasis: an Analysis of the Italian Neuroendocrine Liver Metastasis Database. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2017, 21, 41-48.	1.7	29
45	Risk-adjusted benchmarks in laparoscopic liver surgery in a national cohort. British Journal of Surgery, 2020, 107, 845-853.	0.3	29
46	Tumor Necrosis Impacts Prognosis of Patients Undergoing Curative-Intent Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 797-805.	1.5	28
47	Variation in complications and mortality following ALPPS at early-adopting centers. Hpb, 2021, 23, 46-55.	0.3	28
48	Perihilar cholangiocarcinoma: are we ready to step towards minimally invasiveness?. Updates in Surgery, 2020, 72, 423-433.	2.0	27
49	Systematic review of perioperative and oncologic outcomes of minimally-invasive surgery for hilar cholangiocarcinoma. Updates in Surgery, 2021, 73, 359-377.	2.0	27
50	Biliary cystadenoma: short- and long-term outcome after radical hepatic resection. Updates in Surgery, 2012, 64, 13-18.	2.0	26
51	Effect of Previous Abdominal Surgery on Laparoscopic Liver Resection: Analysis of Feasibility and Risk Factors for Conversion. Journal of Laparoendoscopic and Advanced Surgical Techniques - Part A, 2018, 28, 785-791.	1.0	26
52	Synergistic Impact of Alpha-Fetoprotein and Tumor Burden on Long-Term Outcomes Following Curative-Intent Resection of Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Cancers, 2021, 13, 747.	3.7	26
53	Evaluation of the ACS NSQIP Surgical Risk Calculator in Elderly Patients Undergoing Hepatectomy for Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2020, 24, 551-559.	1.7	24
54	Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma as the new field of implementation of laparoscopic liver resection programs. A comparative propensity score-based analysis of open and laparoscopic liver resections. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2021, 35, 1851-1862.	2.4	24

#	Article	IF	Citations
55	Role of portal vein embolization in liver surgery: single centre experience in sixty-two patients. Updates in Surgery, 2010, 62, 153-159.	2.0	23
56	A stepwise learning curve to define the standard for technical improvement in laparoscopic liver resections: complexity-based analysis in 1032 procedures. Updates in Surgery, 2019, 71, 273-283.	2.0	22
57	The clinical and biological impacts of the implementation of fast-track perioperative programs in complex liver resections: A propensity score-based analysis between the open and laparoscopic approaches. Surgery, 2018, 164, 395-403.	1.9	21
58	Liver Resection for Neuroendocrine Tumor Liver Metastases Within Milan Criteria for Liver Transplantation. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2019, 23, 93-100.	1.7	20
59	Recurrence beyond the Milan criteria after curativeâ€intent resection of hepatocellular carcinoma: A novel tumorâ€burden based prediction model. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 122, 955-963.	1.7	20
60	Prediction of tumor recurrence by Î±-fetoprotein model after curative resection for hepatocellular carcinoma. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 47, 660-666.	1.0	20
61	Impact of Tumor Burden Score on Conditional Survival after Curativeâ€Intent Resection for Hepatocellular Carcinoma: A Multiâ€Institutional Analysis. World Journal of Surgery, 2021, 45, 3438-3448.	1.6	20
62	Perspectives from Italy during the COVID-19 pandemic: nationwide survey-based focus on minimally invasive HPB surgery. Updates in Surgery, 2020, 72, 241-247.	2.0	19
63	Minimally Invasive Versus Open Liver Resection for Hepatocellular Carcinoma in the Setting of Portal Vein Hypertension: Results of an International Multi-institutional Analysis. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 27, 3360-3371.	1.5	19
64	Liver failure in patients treated with chemotherapy for colorectal liver metastases: Role of chronic disease scores in patients undergoing major liver surgery. A case-matched analysis. European Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2014, 40, 1550-1556.	1.0	18
65	Multicentre evaluation of case volume in minimally invasive hepatectomy. British Journal of Surgery, 2020, 107, 443-451.	0.3	18
66	Comparison between percutaneous and laparoscopic microwave ablation of hepatocellular carcinoma. International Journal of Hyperthermia, 2020, 37, 542-548.	2.5	18
67	Total abdominal approach for postero-superior segments (7, 8) in laparoscopic liver surgery: a multicentric experience. Updates in Surgery, 2015, 67, 169-175.	2.0	16
68	Management of hilum infiltrating tumors of the liver: The impact of experience and standardization on outcome. Digestive and Liver Disease, 2019, 51, 135-141.	0.9	16
69	Laparoscopic or open approaches for posterosuperior and anterolateral liver resections? A propensity score based analysis of the degree of advantage. Hpb, 2019, 21, 1676-1686.	0.3	16
70	Laparoscopic versus open right posterior sectionectomy: an international, multicenter, propensity score-matched evaluation. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2021, 35, 6139-6149.	2.4	16
71	Laparoscopic major hepatectomy for hepatocellular carcinoma in elderly patients: a multicentric propensity scoreâ€based analysis. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2021, 35, 3642-3652.	2.4	16
72	Postoperative Infectious Complications Worsen Long-Term Survival After Curative-Intent Resection for Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2022, 29, 315-324.	1.5	16

#	Article	IF	Citations
73	Totally Laparoscopic Radical Cholecystectomy for Gallbladder Cancer: A Single Center Experience. Journal of Laparoendoscopic and Advanced Surgical Techniques - Part A, 2019, 29, 741-746.	1.0	15
74	Performance of Comprehensive Complication Index and Clavien-Dindo Complication Scoring System in Liver Surgery for Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Cancers, 2020, 12, 3868.	3.7	15
75	The Influence of Aging on Hepatic Regeneration and Early Outcome after Portal Vein Occlusion: A Caseâ€"Control Study. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2015, 22, 4046-4051.	1.5	14
76	Timing of Perioperative Chemotherapy Does Not Influence Longâ€Term Outcome of Patients Undergoing Combined Laparoscopic Colorectal and Liver Resection in Selected Upfront Resectable Synchronous Liver Metastases. World Journal of Surgery, 2019, 43, 3110-3119.	1.6	14
77	Response to preoperative chemotherapy: impact of change in total burden score and mutational tumor status on prognosis of patients undergoing resection for colorectal liver metastases. Hpb, 2019, 21, 1230-1239.	0.3	14
78	Propensity Scoreâ€Matched Analysis of Pure Laparoscopic Versus Handâ€Assisted/Hybrid Major Hepatectomy at Two Western Centers. World Journal of Surgery, 2019, 43, 2025-2037.	1.6	14
79	Serum Î±-Fetoprotein Levels at Time of Recurrence Predict Post-Recurrence Outcomes Following Resection of Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 7673-7683.	1.5	14
80	Theory of Relativity for Posterosuperior Segments of the Liver. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 26, 1149-1157.	1.5	13
81	Minimally Invasive Stage 1 to Protect Against the Risk of Liver Failure: Results from the Hepatocellular Carcinoma Series of the Associating Liver Partition and Portal Vein Ligation for Staged Hepatectomy Italian Registry. Journal of Laparoendoscopic and Advanced Surgical Techniques - Part A, 2020, 30, 1082-1089.	1.0	13
82	Laparoscopic surgery versus radiofrequency ablation for the treatment of single hepatocellular carcinoma â‰¤3Âcm in the elderly: a propensity score matching analysis. Hpb, 2022, 24, 79-86.	0.3	13
83	Minimally invasive treatment of colorectal liver metastases: does robotic surgery provide any technical advantages over laparoscopy? A multicenter analysis from the IGoMILS (Italian Group of) Tj ETQq1 1 0.784314 rgBT /Overlock	4.3	
84	Bounds on the Constrained Capacity for the Diffusive Poisson Molecular Channel With Memory. IEEE Transactions on Molecular, Biological, and Multi-Scale Communications, 2021, 7, 100-105.	2.1	12
85	Approach to hepatocaval confluence during laparoscopic right hepatectomy: three variations on a theme. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2017, 31, 949-949.	2.4	11
86	Reappraisal of the advantages of laparoscopic liver resection for intermediate hepatocellular carcinoma within a stage migration perspective: Propensity score analysis of the differential benefit. Journal of Hepato-Biliary-Pancreatic Sciences, 2020, 27, 510-521.	2.6	11
87	Laparoscopic Surgery for Intrahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma: A Focus on Oncological Outcomes. Journal of Clinical Medicine, 2021, 10, 2828.	2.4	11
88	Liver resection for perihilar cholangiocarcinoma: Impact of biliary drainage failure on postoperative outcome. Results of an Italian multicenter study. Surgery, 2021, 170, 383-389.	1.9	10
89	Multicenter Propensity Score-Based Study of Laparoscopic Repeat Liver Resection for Hepatocellular Carcinoma: A Subgroup Analysis of Cases with Tumors Far from Major Vessels. Cancers, 2021, 13, 3187.	3.7	10
90	Is minimally invasive liver surgery a reasonable option in recurrent HCC? A snapshot from the I Go MILS registry. Updates in Surgery, 2022, 74, 87-96.	2.0	10

#	Article	IF	Citations
91	Influence of body habitus on feasibility and outcome of laparoscopic liver resections: a prospective study. Journal of Hepato-Biliary-Pancreatic Sciences, 2016, 23, 373-381.	2.6	9
92	Tips and Tricks for a Laparoscopic Approach to Paracaval Liver Segments. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2018, 25, 1695-1698.	1.5	9
93	Pure laparoscopic right hepatectomy: A risk score for conversion for the paradigm of difficult laparoscopic liver resections. A single centre case series. International Journal of Surgery, 2020, 82, 108-115.	2.7	9
94	Technical Insights on Laparoscopic Left and Right Hepatectomy for Perihilar Cholangiocarcinoma. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 27, 5191-5192.	1.5	9
95	Correlation Between Type of Retrieval Incision and Postoperative Outcomes in Laparoscopic Liver Surgery: A Critical Assessment. Journal of Laparoendoscopic and Advanced Surgical Techniques - Part A, 2021, 31, 423-432.	1.0	9
96	Comparing practice and outcome of laparoscopic liver resection between high-volume expert centres and nationwide low-to-medium volume centres. British Journal of Surgery, 2021, 108, 983-990.	0.3	9
97	Actual 10-Year Survival after Resection of Perihilar Cholangiocarcinoma: What Factors Preclude a Chance for Cure?. Cancers, 2021, 13, 6260.	3.7	9
98	Impact of timeâ€toâ€surgery on outcomes of patients undergoing curativeâ€intent liver resection for BCLCâ€0, A and B hepatocellular carcinoma. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 123, 381-388.	1.7	8
99	Incidence and predictors of textbook outcome after simultaneous liver and rectal surgeries for Stage IV rectal cancer. Colorectal Disease, 2022, 24, 50-58.	1.4	8
100	Laparoscopic versus open liver resection for hepatocellular carcinoma in elderly patients: A propensity score matching analysis. Hpb, 2021, , .	0.3	8
101	Non-transplantable Recurrence After Resection for Transplantable Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Implication for Upfront Treatment Choice. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2022, 26, 1021-1029.	1.7	8
102	Maximizing Performance in Complex Minimally Invasive Surgery of the Liver: the RoboLap Approach. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 2022, 26, 1811-1813.	1.7	8
103	The SMART-ALPPS Protocol: Strategy to Minimize ALPPS Risks by Targeting Invasiveness. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 6826-6827.	1.5	7
104	Low complexity receiver design for time-varying Poisson molecular communication channels with memory. , 2022, 124, 103187.		7
105	The Two-Step Treatment for Giant Hepatic Hemangiomas. Journal of Clinical Medicine, 2021, 10, 4381.	2.4	7
106	Gene mutational profile of BRCAness and clinical implication in predicting response to platinum-based chemotherapy in patients with intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. European Journal of Cancer, 2022, 171, 232-241.	2.8	7
107	Effects of Metformin and Vitamin D on Clinical Outcome in Cholangiocarcinoma Patients. Oncology, 2021, 99, 292-299.	1.9	6
108	Minimally invasive approach to intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma: technical notes for a safe hepatectomy and lymphadenectomy. Annals of Laparoscopic and Endoscopic Surgery, 0, 2, 68-68.	0.5	6

#	Article	IF	Citations
109	Variations in riskâ€adjusted outcomes following 4318 laparoscopic liver resections. Journal of Hepato-Biliary-Pancreatic Sciences, 2022, 29, 521-530.	2.6	6
110	Surgical approach to multifocal hepatocellular carcinoma with portal vein thrombosis and arterioportal shunt leading to portal hypertension and bleeding: a case report. World Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2012, 10, 34.	1.9	5
111	Laparoscopic left hepatectomy for mucinous cystic neoplasm of the liver. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques, 2018, 32, 1068-1069.	2.4	5
112	Challenges and Technical Innovations for an Effective Laparoscopic Lymphadenectomy in Liver Malignancies. Journal of Laparoendoscopic and Advanced Surgical Techniques - Part A, 2019, 29, 72-75.	1.0	5
113	Safety of minimally invasive liver resections during live surgery: a propensity score based assessment. Hpb, 2019, 21, 328-334.	0.3	5
114	Evolution of Surgical Treatment of Colorectal Liver Metastases in the Real World: Single Center Experience in 1212 Cases. Cancers, 2021, 13, 1178.	3.7	5
115	Team Strategy Optimization in Combined Resections for Synchronous Colorectal Liver Metastases. A Comparative Study with Bootstrapping Analysis. World Journal of Surgery, 2021, 45, 3424-3435.	1.6	5
116	Multi-institutional analysis of outcomes for thermosphere microwave ablation treatment of colorectal liver metastases: the SMAC study. European Radiology, 2022, 32, 4147-4159.	4.5	5
117	Liver growth prediction in <scp>ALPPS</scp> â€“ A multicenter analysis from the international <scp>ALPPS</scp> registry. Liver International, 2022, 42, 2815-2829.	3.9	5
118	Combining Laparoscopic Liver Partitioning and Simultaneous Portohepatic Venous Deprivation for Rapid Liver Hypertrophy. Journal of Vascular and Interventional Radiology, 2022, 33, 525-529.	0.5	5
119	Appraisal of diseaseâ€specific benefits of minimally invasiveness in surgery of breast cancer liver metastases. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 120, 1169-1176.	1.7	4
120	Multi-Institutional Development and External Validation of a Nomogram for Prediction of Extrahepatic Recurrence After Curative-Intent Resection for Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 7624-7633.	1.5	4
121	Role of circulating microRNAs to predict hepatocellular carcinoma recurrence in patients treated with radiofrequency ablation or surgery. Hpb, 2022, 24, 244-254.	0.3	4
122	An International Retrospective Observational Study of Liver Functional Deterioration after Repeat Liver Resection for Patients with Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Cancers, 2022, 14, 2598.	3.7	4
123	Portal vein arterialization: a possibility in cholangiocarcinomas infiltrating the right hepatic artery?. Updates in Surgery, 2022, 74, 1781-1786.	2.0	4
124	Serum levels of endothelin-1 after liver resection as an early predictor of postoperative liver failure. A prospective study. Hepatology Research, 2016, 46, 529-540.	3.4	3
125	Upper and Lower Bounds of Constrained Capacity in Diffusion-based Molecular Communication. , 2020, , .		3
126	ASO Author Reflections: The SMART-ALPPS Protocolâ€"Strategy to Minimize ALPPS Risks by Targeting Invasiveness. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 6828-6829.	1.5	3

#	Article	IF	Citations
127	The Italian Experience in Minimally Invasive Surgery of the Liver: A National Survey. Updates in Surgery Series, 2013, , 295-312.	0.1	3
128	A Data-driven Approach to Optimize Bounds on the Capacity of the Molecular Channel. , 2020, , .		2
129	ASO Author Reflections: Laparoscopic Surgery of Perihilar Cholangiocarcinoma Between Oncologic Adequacy and Technical Challenges. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2020, 27, 5193-5194.	1.5	1
130	Comment on: Laparoscopic versus open resection of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma: nationwide analysis. British Journal of Surgery, 2021, 108, e308-e308.	0.3	1
131	Vascular occlusion to protect against intraoperative blood loss in liver surgeries: new perspectives on a traditional technique. Hepatobiliary Surgery and Nutrition, 2021, 10, 567-569.	1.5	1
132	ASO Visual Abstract: Postoperative Infectious Complications Worsen Long-term Survival After Curative-Intent Resection for Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 668-669.	1.5	1
133	A molecular communications framework for understanding the floral transition. , 2020, , .		1
134	The impact of retroactivity on information exchange in molecular communications. , 2020, , .		1
135	Risk-adjusted analysis of survival variability among hospitals treating biliary malignancy. Journal of Chemotherapy, 2022, 34, 543-549.	1.5	1
136	Linear Receiver Design for Time-Varying Poisson Molecular Communication Channels with Memory. , 2020, , .		0
137	Laparoscopic liver resections at the gates of 2020: a stand-alone field of hepatobiliary surgery. Hepatobiliary Surgery and Nutrition, 2020, 9, 371-373.	1.5	0
138	ASO Visual Abstract: Prediction of Extrahepatic Recurrence (EHR) After Curative-Intent Resection of Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 494-495.	1.5	0
139	Long-term outcomes after curative resection of HCV-positive versus non-hepatitis related hepatocellular carcinoma: an international multi-institutional analysis. Hpb, 2020, 22, 1549-1556.	0.3	0