André Hennigs

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/6222979/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	A New Practical Decision Rule to Better Differentiate <scp>Blâ€RADS</scp> 3 or 4 Breast Masses on Breast Ultrasound. Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine, 2022, 41, 427-436.	0.8	11
2	The role of gender-specific factors in the choice of specialty training in obstetrics and gynecology: results from a survey among medical students in Germany. Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics, 2022, 305, 129-137.	0.8	11
3	Abstract PD11-05: Intelligent shear-wave elastography to reduce unnecessary biopsies in breast cancer diagnosis (INSPiRED 002): An international, multicenter analysis. Cancer Research, 2022, 82, PD11-05-PD11-05.	0.4	Ο
4	The importance of multi-modal imaging and clinical information for humans and Al-based algorithms to classify breast masses (INSPiRED 003): an international, multicenter analysis. European Radiology, 2022, 32, 4101-4115.	2.3	8
5	Does conventional specimen radiography after neoadjuvant chemotherapy of breast cancer help to reduce the rate of second surgeries?. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2022, 191, 589-598.	1.1	2
6	Evaluating the influence of music at different sound pressure levels on medical students' performance of standardized laparoscopic box training exercises. BMC Medical Education, 2021, 21, 209.	1.0	3
7	Long-Term Patient Satisfaction and Quality of Life After Breast-Conserving Therapy: A Prospective Study Using the BREAST-Q. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 8742-8751.	0.7	12
8	Do hospital type or caseload make a difference in chemotherapy treatment patterns for early breast cancer? Results from 104 German institutions, 2008–2017. Breast, 2021, 58, 63-71.	0.9	2
9	ASO Visual Abstract: Long-Term Patient Satisfaction and Quality of Life after Breast-Conserving Therapy—A Prospective Study Using the BREAST-Q. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2021, 28, 583-583.	0.7	Ο
10	The Influence of Different Genres of Music on the Performance of Medical Students on Standardized Laparoscopic Exercises. Journal of Surgical Education, 2021, 78, 1709-1716.	1.2	4
11	Efficacy of intraoperative specimen radiography as margin assessment tool in breast conserving surgery. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2020, 179, 425-433.	1.1	16
12	Analyzing non-sentinel axillary metastases in patients with T3–T4 cN0 early breast cancer and tumor-involved sentinel lymph nodes undergoing breast-conserving therapy or mastectomy. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2020, 184, 627-636.	1.1	3
13	Prediction of pathological complete response in breast cancer patients during neoadjuvant chemotherapy: Is shear wave elastography a useful tool in clinical routine?. European Journal of Radiology, 2020, 128, 109025.	1.2	14
14	Time trends of neoadjuvant chemotherapy for early breast cancer. International Journal of Cancer, 2020, 147, 3049-3058.	2.3	26
15	Fatigue following radiotherapy of low-risk early breast cancer – a randomized controlled trial of intraoperative electron radiotherapy versus standard hypofractionated whole-breast radiotherapy: the COSMOPOLITAN trial (NCT03838419). Radiation Oncology, 2020, 15, 134.	1.2	5
16	Surgeon's preference of subcutaneous tissue resection: most important factor for short-term complications in subcutaneous implant placement after mastectomy—results of a cohort study. Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics, 2020, 301, 1037-1045.	0.8	1
17	Measurement and Optimizing Cosmetic Outcomes for Breast Excisions/Factors Influencing Aesthetic Outcomes of Breast Conservation Surgery. , 2020, , 93-106.		1
18	Non-sentinel axillary tumor burden applying the ACOSOG Z0011 eligibility criteria to a large routine cohort. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2019, 177, 457-467.	1.1	7

André Hennigs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	ASO Author Reflections: The BREAST-Q BCT Module and Its Use in Clinical Practice. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 26, 788-789.	0.7	1
20	Psychometric validation of the Breast Cancer Treatment Outcome Scale (BCTOS-12): a prospective cohort study. Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics, 2019, 300, 1679-1686.	0.8	5
21	Response to: Comments on the Clinical Validation of the BREAST-Q Breast-Conserving Therapy Module, by Hernanz et al Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 26, 857-858.	0.7	Ο
22	Refining scores based on patient reported outcomes – statistical and medical perspectives. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2019, 19, 167.	1.4	30
23	Exam preparatory course for the 2nd part of the German medical examination in obstetrics and gynecology – a potential tool for the recruitment of new residents during the occupational decision process before the practical year?. BMC Medical Education, 2019, 19, 24.	1.0	5
24	Prediction of local recurrence risk after neoadjuvant chemotherapy in patients with primary breast cancer: Clinical utility of the MD Anderson Prognostic Index. PLoS ONE, 2019, 14, e0211337.	1.1	5
25	Clinical Validation of the BREAST-Q Breast-Conserving Therapy Module. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 26, 2759-2767.	0.7	20
26	Evolution of the Use of Completion Axillary Lymph Node Dissection in Patients with T1/2NOMO Breast Cancer and Tumour-Involved Sentinel Lymph Nodes Undergoing Mastectomy: A Cohort Study. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2019, 26, 2435-2443.	0.7	15
27	Changes of breast and axillary surgery patterns in patients with primary breast cancer during the past decade. Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics, 2019, 299, 1043-1053.	0.8	15
28	Which patients with sentinel node-positive breast cancer after breast conservation still receive completion axillary lymph node dissection in routine clinical practice?. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2019, 173, 429-438.	1.1	21
29	COOLHAIR: a prospective randomized trial to investigate the efficacy and tolerability of scalp cooling in patients undergoing (neo)adjuvant chemotherapy for early breast cancer. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2019, 173, 135-143.	1.1	41
30	Development and psychometric validation of a shorter version of the Breast Cancer Treatment Outcome Scale (BCTOS-12). Breast, 2018, 38, 58-65.	0.9	12
31	Oncotype DX® in breast cancer patients: clinical experience, outcome and follow-up—a case–control study. Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics, 2018, 297, 443-447.	0.8	8
32	RESPONDER – diagnosis of pathological complete response by vacuum-assisted biopsy after neoadjuvant chemotherapy in breast Cancer - a multicenter, confirmative, one-armed, intra-individually-controlled, open, diagnostic trial. BMC Cancer, 2018, 18, 851.	1.1	32
33	Inter-rater reliability and double reading analysis of an automated three-dimensional breast ultrasound system: comparison of two independent examiners. Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics, 2017, 296, 571-582.	0.8	9
34	ls Mastectomy Oncologically Safer than Breast-Conserving Treatment in Early Breast Cancer. Breast Care, 2017, 12, 385-390.	0.8	19
35	Tumor biomarker conversion between primary and metastatic breast cancer: mRNA assessment and its concordance with immunohistochemistry. Oncotarget, 2017, 8, 51416-51428.	0.8	16
36	COOLHAIR: A prospective randomized trial to investigate the efficacy and tolerability of scalp cooling in patients undergoing neoadjuvant chemotherapy for early breast cancer Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2017, 35, 525-525.	0.8	1

André Hennigs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Intravenous pamidronate versus oral and intravenous clodronate in bone metastatic breast cancer: a randomized, open-label, non-inferiority Phase III trial. OncoTargets and Therapy, 2016, Volume 9, 4173-4180.	1.0	7
38	Do Contralateral Prophylactic Mastectomies Help Patients?. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2016, 34, 4191-4191.	0.8	1
39	Prognosis of breast cancer molecular subtypes in routine clinical care: A large prospective cohort study. BMC Cancer, 2016, 16, 734.	1.1	126
40	Changes in chemotherapy usage and outcome of early breast cancer patients in the last decade. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2016, 160, 491-499.	1.1	54
41	Exam preparation course in obstetrics and gynecology for the German Medical State Examination: proof of concept and implications for the recruitment of future residents. Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics, 2016, 294, 1235-1241.	0.8	4
42	Change of Patient-Reported Aesthetic Outcome Over Time and Identification of Factors Characterizing Poor Aesthetic Outcome After Breast-Conserving Therapy: Long-Term Results of a Prospective Cohort Study. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2016, 23, 1744-1751.	0.7	33
43	Disseminated Tumor Cells in the Bone Marrow of Patients with Operable Primary Breast Cancer: Prognostic Impact in Immunophenotypic Subgroups and Clinical Implication for Bisphosphonate Treatment. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2016, 23, 757-766.	0.7	15
44	Can Routine Imaging After Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy in Breast Cancer Predict Pathologic Complete Response?. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2016, 23, 789-795.	0.7	84
45	Long-term objective esthetic outcome after breast-conserving therapy. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2015, 153, 345-351.	1.1	46
46	Predictors of early poor aesthetic outcome after breast-conserving surgery in patients with breast cancer: Initial results of a prospective cohort study at a single institution. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2014, 110, 801-806.	0.8	34