

Dani A Korevaar

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/6214102/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

71
papers

9,215
citations

134610

34
h-index

107981

68
g-index

72
all docs

72
docs citations

72
times ranked

17132
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	<scp>EBUS</scp> versus <scp>EUSâ€B</scp> for diagnosing sarcoidosis: The International Sarcoidosis Assessment (<scp>ISA</scp>) randomized clinical trial. <i>Respirology</i> , 2022, 27, 152-160.	1.3	21
2	Association of Accuracy, Conclusions, and Reporting Completeness With Acceptance by Radiology Conferences and Journals. <i>Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging</i> , 2022, , .	1.9	1
3	Evaluating the Impact of Peer Review on the Completeness of Reporting in Imaging Diagnostic Test Accuracy Research. <i>Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging</i> , 2022, 56, 680-690.	1.9	0
4	Thoracic imaging tests for the diagnosis of COVID-19. <i>The Cochrane Library</i> , 2022, 2022, CD013639.	1.5	13
5	Reporting Bias in Imaging Diagnostic Test Accuracy Studies: Are Studies With Positive Conclusions or Titles Submitted and Published Faster?. <i>American Journal of Roentgenology</i> , 2021, 216, 225-232.	1.0	9
6	Chest CT in the Emergency Department for Diagnosis of COVID-19 Pneumonia: Dutch Experience. <i>Radiology</i> , 2021, 298, E98-E106.	3.6	47
7	Preferred reporting items for journal and conference abstracts of systematic reviews and meta-analyses of diagnostic test accuracy studies (PRISMA-DTA for Abstracts): checklist, explanation, and elaboration. <i>BMJ, The</i> , 2021, 372, n265.	3.0	30
8	Thoracic imaging tests for the diagnosis of COVID-19. <i>The Cochrane Library</i> , 2021, 2021, CD013639.	1.5	132
9	PRISMA-DTA for Abstracts: a new addition to the toolbox for test accuracy research. <i>Diagnostic and Prognostic Research</i> , 2021, 5, 8.	0.8	1
10	Routine screening for pulmonary embolism in COVID-19 patients at the emergency department: impact of D-dimer testing followed by CTPA. <i>Journal of Thrombosis and Thrombolysis</i> , 2021, 52, 1068-1073.	1.0	7
11	Evaluating tests for diagnosing COVID-19 in the absence of a reliable reference standard: pitfalls and potential solutions. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2021, 138, 182-188.	2.4	10
12	Pulmonary embolism in COVID-19: D-dimer threshold selection should not be based on maximising Youden's index. <i>European Respiratory Journal</i> , 2021, 57, 2004279.	3.1	13
13	Endobronchial Ultrasound for the Diagnosis of Centrally Located Lung Tumors: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. <i>Respiration</i> , 2020, 99, 441-450.	1.2	23
14	Thoracic imaging tests for the diagnosis of COVID-19. <i>The Cochrane Library</i> , 2020, 9, CD013639.	1.5	52
15	Added value of chest computed tomography in suspected COVID-19: an analysis of 239 patients. <i>European Respiratory Journal</i> , 2020, 56, 2001377.	3.1	22
16	Thoracic imaging tests for the diagnosis of COVID-19. <i>The Cochrane Library</i> , 2020, 11, CD013639.	1.5	51
17	YEARS Algorithm Versus Wellsâ€™ Score: Incomplete Reporting Undermines Study Quality Assessment. <i>Critical Care Medicine</i> , 2020, 48, e730-e730.	0.4	2
18	Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis of diagnostic test accuracy studies (PRISMA-DTA): explanation, elaboration, and checklist. <i>BMJ, The</i> , 2020, 370, m2632.	3.0	262

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Imaging tests for the diagnosis of COVID-19. The Cochrane Library, 2020, , .	1.5	19
20	Overinterpretation of Research Findings: Evaluation of "Spin" in Systematic Reviews of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies in High-Impact Factor Journals. Clinical Chemistry, 2020, 66, 915-924.	1.5	15
21	Searching practices and inclusion of unpublished studies in systematic reviews of diagnostic accuracy. Research Synthesis Methods, 2020, 11, 343-353.	4.2	14
22	Publication bias in diagnostic imaging: conference abstracts with positive conclusions are more likely to be published. European Radiology, 2020, 30, 2964-2972.	2.3	25
23	Reporting guidelines for journal and conference abstracts. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2020, 124, 186-192.	2.4	3
24	COVID-19-Related Fatalities and Intensive-Care-Unit Admissions by Age Groups in Europe: A Meta-Analysis. Frontiers in Medicine, 2020, 7, 560685.	1.2	34
25	YEARS Algorithm Versus Wells™ Score: Incomplete Reporting Undermines Study Quality Assessment"Part 2. Critical Care Medicine, 2020, 48, e1377-e1378.	0.4	1
26	Ketone ester supplementation in endurance athletes: a miracle drink or "spin"? Journal of Physiology, 2019, 597, 4407-4408.	1.3	6
27	Publication bias may exist among prognostic accuracy studies of middle cerebral artery Doppler ultrasound. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2019, 116, 1-8.	2.4	8
28	Publication Bias: Association of Diagnostic Accuracy in Radiology Conference Abstracts with Full-Text Publication. Radiology, 2019, 292, 120-126.	3.6	12
29	Diagnostic accuracy studies need more informative abstracts. European Journal of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, 2019, 38, 1383-1385.	1.3	2
30	Targeted test evaluation: a framework for designing diagnostic accuracy studies with clear study hypotheses. Diagnostic and Prognostic Research, 2019, 3, 22.	0.8	43
31	Systematic and combined endosonographic staging of lung cancer (SCORE study). European Respiratory Journal, 2019, 53, 1800800.	3.1	45
32	Preferred Reporting Items for a Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Diagnostic Test Accuracy Studies. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2018, 319, 388.	3.8	1,783
33	Reporting of imaging diagnostic accuracy studies with focus on MRI subgroup: Adherence to STARD 2015. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2018, 47, 523-544.	1.9	46
34	EUS-B-FNA vs conventional EUS-FNA for left adrenal gland analysis in lung cancer patients. Lung Cancer, 2017, 108, 38-44.	0.9	35
35	Facilitating Prospective Registration of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies: A STARD Initiative. Clinical Chemistry, 2017, 63, 1331-1341.	1.5	26
36	Overinterpretation of Research Findings: Evidence of "Spin" in Systematic Reviews of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies. Clinical Chemistry, 2017, 63, 1353-1362.	1.5	53

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Is there added value in adding EUS to EBUS? â€œ Authors' reply. <i>Lancet Respiratory Medicine</i> ,the, 2017, 5, e9.	5.2	0
38	Esophageal Endosonography for the Diagnosis of Intrapulmonary Tumors: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. <i>Respiration</i> , 2017, 93, 126-137.	1.2	29
39	Treatment of multiple test readers in diagnostic accuracy systematic reviews-meta-analyses of imaging studies. <i>European Journal of Radiology</i> , 2017, 93, 59-64.	1.2	39
40	STARD for Abstracts: essential items for reporting diagnostic accuracy studies in journal or conference abstracts. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2017, 358, j3751.	2.4	50
41	Recommendations for reporting of systematic reviews and meta-analyses of diagnostic test accuracy: a systematic review. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2017, 6, 194.	2.5	107
42	Diagnostic accuracy research in glaucoma is still incompletely reported: An application of Standards for Reporting of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies (STARD) 2015. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2017, 12, e0189716.	1.1	11
43	Time to publication among completed diagnostic accuracy studies: associated with reported accuracy estimates. <i>BMC Medical Research Methodology</i> , 2016, 16, 68.	1.4	17
44	STARD 2015 guidelines for reporting diagnostic accuracy studies: explanation and elaboration. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2016, 6, e012799.	0.8	1,324
45	Meta-Analyses of Diagnostic Accuracy in Imaging Journals: Analysis of Pooling Techniques and Their Effect on Summary Estimates of Diagnostic Accuracy. <i>Radiology</i> , 2016, 281, 78-85.	3.6	50
46	Reported estimates of diagnostic accuracy in ophthalmology conference abstracts were not associated with full-text publication. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2016, 79, 96-103.	2.4	16
47	Five-Year Survival After Endosonography vs Mediastinoscopy for Mediastinal Nodal Staging of Lung Cancer. <i>JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association</i> , 2016, 316, 1110.	3.8	23
48	Updating standards for reporting diagnostic accuracy: the development of STARD 2015. <i>Research Integrity and Peer Review</i> , 2016, 1, 7.	2.2	48
49	Added value of combined endobronchial and oesophageal endosonography for mediastinal nodal staging in lung cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Lancet Respiratory Medicine</i> ,the, 2016, 4, 960-968.	5.2	95
50	Meta-epidemiologic study showed frequent time trends in summary estimates from meta-analyses of diagnostic accuracy studies. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2016, 77, 60-67.	2.4	10
51	Is There an Association between STARD Statement Adherence and Citation Rate?. <i>Radiology</i> , 2016, 280, 62-67.	3.6	16
52	Molecular malaria diagnostics: A systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Critical Reviews in Clinical Laboratory Sciences</i> , 2016, 53, 87-105.	2.7	66
53	Increasing value and reducing waste in biomedical research: who's listening?. <i>Lancet, The</i> , 2016, 387, 1573-1586.	6.3	346
54	STARD 2015: updated reporting guidelines for all diagnostic accuracy studies. <i>Annals of Translational Medicine</i> , 2016, 4, 85.	0.7	39

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Combined endobronchial and esophageal endosonography for the diagnosis and staging of lung cancer: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Guideline, in cooperation with the European Respiratory Society (ERS) and the European Society of Thoracic Surgeons (ESTS). <i>European Journal of Cardio-thoracic Surgery</i> , 2015, 48, 1-15.	0.6	117
56	STARD 2015: An Updated List of Essential Items for Reporting Diagnostic Accuracy Studies. <i>Radiology</i> , 2015, 277, 826-832.	3.6	474
57	STARD 2015: an updated list of essential items for reporting diagnostic accuracy studies. <i>BMJ</i> , The, 2015, 351, h5527.	3.0	1,914
58	Combined endobronchial and esophageal endosonography for the diagnosis and staging of lung cancer: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Guideline, in cooperation with the European Respiratory Society (ERS) and the European Society of Thoracic Surgeons (ESTS). <i>Endoscopy</i> , 2015, 47, 545-559.	1.0	191
59	Cochran's Q test was useful to assess heterogeneity in likelihood ratios in studies of diagnostic accuracy. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2015, 68, 299-306.	2.4	81
60	Combined endobronchial and oesophageal endosonography for the diagnosis and staging of lung cancer. <i>European Respiratory Journal</i> , 2015, 46, 40-60.	3.1	101
61	Biomarkers to identify sputum eosinophilia in different adult asthma phenotypes. <i>European Respiratory Journal</i> , 2015, 46, 688-696.	3.1	137
62	Diagnostic accuracy of minimally invasive markers for detection of airway eosinophilia in asthma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Lancet Respiratory Medicine</i> , the, 2015, 3, 290-300.	5.2	202
63	Should we search Chinese biomedical databases when performing systematic reviews?. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2015, 4, 23.	2.5	54
64	Literature survey of high-impact journals revealed reporting weaknesses in abstracts of diagnostic accuracy studies. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2015, 68, 708-715.	2.4	26
65	Reporting Diagnostic Accuracy Studies: Some Improvements after 10 Years of STARD. <i>Radiology</i> , 2015, 274, 781-789.	3.6	86
66	Reporting Weaknesses in Conference Abstracts of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies in Ophthalmology. <i>JAMA Ophthalmology</i> , 2015, 133, 1464.	1.4	14
67	STARD 2015: An Updated List of Essential Items for Reporting Diagnostic Accuracy Studies. <i>Clinical Chemistry</i> , 2015, 61, 1446-1452.	1.5	449
68	Reporting quality of diagnostic accuracy studies: a systematic review and meta-analysis of investigations on adherence to STARD. <i>Evidence-Based Medicine</i> , 2014, 19, 47-54.	0.6	93
69	Infrequent and incomplete registration of test accuracy studies: analysis of recent study reports. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2014, 4, e004596.	0.8	26
70	Publication and Reporting of Test Accuracy Studies Registered in ClinicalTrials.gov. <i>Clinical Chemistry</i> , 2014, 60, 651-659.	1.5	40
71	STARD 2015 guidelines for reporting diagnostic accuracy studies: explanation and elaboration. Translation to Russian. <i>Digital Diagnostics</i> , 0, , .	0.3	1