Christian Von Wagner

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/6210009/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

69 papers

2,717 citations

279487 23 h-index 50 g-index

73 all docs

73 docs citations

times ranked

73

3541 citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Testing temporal frames of colorectal cancer risks: evidence from randomized online experiments. Psychology, Health and Medicine, 2023, 28, 375-389.	1.3	2
2	Behavioural Challenges Associated With Risk-Adapted Cancer Screening. Cancer Control, 2022, 29, 107327482110602.	0.7	2
3	Developing Reporting Guidelines for Social Media Research (RESOME) by Using a Modified Delphi Method: Protocol for Guideline Development. JMIR Research Protocols, 2022, 11, e31739.	0.5	1
4	Evaluation of the Call for a Kit intervention to increase bowel cancer screening uptake in Lancashire, England. Journal of Medical Screening, 2022, 29, 166-171.	1.1	2
5	Why are most colorectal cancers diagnosed outside of screening? A retrospective analysis of data from the English bowel screening programme. Journal of Medical Screening, 2022, 29, 224-230.	1.1	2
6	WASh multicentre randomised controlled trial: water-assisted sigmoidoscopy in English NHS bowel scope screening. Gut, 2021, 70, 845-852.	6.1	5
7	Including a general practice endorsement letter with the testing kit in the Bowel Cancer Screening Programme: Results of a cluster randomised trial. Journal of Medical Screening, 2021, 28, 096914132199748.	1.1	O
8	Testing Enhanced Active Choice to Optimize Acceptance and Participation in a Population-Based Colorectal Cancer Screening Program in Malta. Behavioral Medicine, 2021, , 1-6.	1.0	2
9	Feasibility and acceptability of breath research in primary care: a prospective, cross-sectional, observational study. BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e044691.	0.8	8
10	Medication use and risk of proximal colon cancer: a systematic review of prospective studies with narrative synthesis and meta-analysis. Cancer Causes and Control, 2021, 32, 1047-1061.	0.8	8
11	Testing messages from behavioral economics to improve participation in a population-based colorectal cancer screening program in Cyprus: Results from two randomized controlled trials. Preventive Medicine Reports, 2021, 24, 101499.	0.8	7
12	The Newcastle ENDOPREMâ,,¢: a validated patient reported experience measure for gastrointestinal endoscopy. BMJ Open Gastroenterology, 2021, 8, e000653.	1.1	13
13	Type 2 diabetes and colorectal cancer screening: Findings from the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing. Journal of Medical Screening, 2020, 27, 25-30.	1.1	9
14	Acceptability of risk-stratified breast screening: Effect of the order of presenting risk and benefit information. Journal of Medical Screening, 2020, 27, 52-56.	1.1	10
15	Attitudes towards risk-stratified breast cancer screening among women in England: A cross-sectional survey. Journal of Medical Screening, 2020, 27, 138-145.	1.1	35
16	Preferences for different diagnostic modalities to follow up abnormal colorectal cancer screening results: a hypothetical vignette study. BMJ Open, 2020, 10, e035264.	0.8	2
17	Offering disinclined people the choice between different screening appointments: a randomised online survey. Psychology and Health, 2020, 36, 1-12.	1.2	1
18	Use of social media for cancer prevention and early diagnosis: scoping review protocol. BMJ Open, 2020, 10, e033592.	0.8	6

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Gender differences in selfâ€reported family history of cancer: A review and secondary data analysis. Cancer Medicine, 2020, 9, 7772-7780.	1.3	13
20	Use of a GP-endorsed non-participant reminder letter to promote uptake of bowel scope screening: A randomised controlled trial in a hard-to-reach population. Preventive Medicine, 2020, 141, 106268.	1.6	1
21	British Society of Gastroenterology position statement on patient experience of GI endoscopy. Gut, 2020, 69, 1718.1-1719.	6.1	13
22	Patient experience of gastrointestinal endoscopy: informing the development of the Newcastle ENDOPREMâ,,¢. Frontline Gastroenterology, 2020, 11, 209-217.	0.9	25
23	Use of Social Media to Promote Cancer Screening and Early Diagnosis: Scoping Review. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 2020, 22, e21582.	2.1	46
24	Public preferences for using quantitative faecal immunochemical test versus colonoscopy as diagnostic test for colorectal cancer: evidence from an online survey. BJGP Open, 2020, 4, bjgpopen20X101007.	0.9	9
25	The role of chronic conditions in influencing symptom attribution and anticipated help-seeking for potential lung cancer symptoms: a vignette-based study. BJGP Open, 2020, 4, bjgpopen20X101086.	0.9	6
26	Testing active choice for screening practitioner's gender in endoscopy among disinclined women: An online experiment. Journal of Medical Screening, 2019, 26, 98-103.	1.1	17
27	Testing verbal quantifiers for social norms messages in cancer screening: evidence from an online experiment. BMC Public Health, 2019, 19, 658.	1.2	16
28	Testing the decoy effect to increase interest in colorectal cancer screening. PLoS ONE, 2019, 14, e0213668.	1.1	24
29	The impact of descriptive norms on motivation to participate in cancer screening – Evidence from online experiments. Patient Education and Counseling, 2019, 102, 1621-1628.	1.0	22
30	Rapid review of factors associated with flexible sigmoidoscopy screening use. Preventive Medicine, 2019, 120, 8-18.	1.6	2
31	Using specialist screening practitioners (SSPs) to increase uptake of bowel scope (flexible) Tj ETQq1 1 0.784314 9, e023801.	rgBT /Ove 0.8	erlock 10 Tf 5 1
32	The WASh Trial: water-assisted sigmoidoscopy in the English Bowel Scope Screening Programme: study protocol for a randomized multicenter trial. Endoscopy International Open, 2019, 07, E1574-E1582.	0.9	5
33	Faecal immunochemical tests (FIT) versus colonoscopy for surveillance after screening and polypectomy: a diagnostic accuracy and cost-effectiveness study. Gut, 2019, 68, 1642-1652.	6.1	53
34	Cancer worries and uptake of breast, cervical, and colorectal cancer screening: A population-based survey in England. Journal of Medical Screening, 2019, 26, 3-10.	1.1	10
35	Faecal immunochemical tests versus colonoscopy for post-polypectomy surveillance: an accuracy, acceptability and economic study. Health Technology Assessment, 2019, 23, 1-84.	1.3	91
36	Promoting men's knowledge of cancer risk reduction: A systematic review of interventions. Patient Education and Counseling, 2018, 101, 1322-1336.	1.0	4

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	Men's informationâ€seeking behavior regarding cancer risk and screening: A metaâ€narrative systematic review. Psycho-Oncology, 2018, 27, 410-419.	1.0	51
38	Barriers to bowel scope (flexible sigmoidoscopy) screening: a comparison of non-responders, active decliners and non-attenders. BMC Public Health, 2018, 18, 1161.	1.2	5
39	Attitudes towards faecal immunochemical testing in patients at increased risk of colorectal cancer: an online survey of GPs in England. British Journal of General Practice, 2018, 68, e757-e764.	0.7	13
40	Uptake of the English Bowel (Colorectal) Cancer Screening Programme: an update 5 years after the full roll-out. European Journal of Cancer, 2018, 103, 267-273.	1.3	49
41	Associations between diagnostic pathways and care experience in colorectal cancer: evidence from patient-reported data. Frontline Gastroenterology, 2018, 9, 241-248.	0.9	21
42	Patient factors associated with non-attendance at colonoscopy after a positive screening faecal occult blood test. Journal of Medical Screening, 2017, 24, 12-19.	1.1	42
43	Improving uptake of flexible sigmoidoscopy screening: a randomized trial of nonparticipant reminders in the English Screening Programme. Endoscopy, 2017, 49, 35-43.	1.0	20
44	Testing whether barriers to a hypothetical screening test affect unrelated perceived benefits and vice versa: A randomised, experimental study. Patient Education and Counseling, 2017, 100, e1-e24.	1.0	8
45	What do people fear about cancer? A systematic review and metaâ€synthesis of cancer fears in the general population. Psycho-Oncology, 2017, 26, 1070-1079.	1.0	121
46	Reducing the socioeconomic gradient in uptake of the NHS bowel cancer screening Programme using a simplified supplementary information leaflet: a cluster-randomised trial. BMC Cancer, 2017, 17, 543.	1.1	8
47	Testing innovative strategies to reduce the social gradient in the uptake of bowel cancer screening: a programme of four qualitatively enhanced randomised controlled trials. Programme Grants for Applied Research, 2017, 5, 1-302.	0.4	1
48	A national cluster-randomised controlled trial to examine the effect of enhanced reminders on the socioeconomic gradient in uptake in bowel cancer screening. British Journal of Cancer, 2016, 115, 1479-1486.	2.9	10
49	Use of a 12 months' self-referral reminder to facilitate uptake of bowel scope (flexible sigmoidoscopy) screening in previous non-responders: a London-based feasibility study. British Journal of Cancer, 2016, 114, 751-758.	2.9	19
50	Using a hypothetical scenario to assess public preferences for colorectal surveillance following screening-detected, intermediate-risk adenomas: annual home-based stool test vs. triennial colonoscopy. BMC Gastroenterology, 2016, 16, 113.	0.8	8
51	Uptake of Bowel Scope (Flexible Sigmoidoscopy) Screening in the English National Programme: the first 14 months. Journal of Medical Screening, 2016, 23, 77-82.	1.1	46
52	Effects of evidence-based strategies to reduce the socioeconomic gradient of uptake in the English NHS Bowel Cancer Screening Programme (ASCEND): four cluster-randomised controlled trials. Lancet, The, 2016, 387, 751-759.	6.3	120
53	Impact of general practice endorsement on the social gradient in uptake in bowel cancer screening. British Journal of Cancer, 2016, 114, 321-326.	2.9	35
54	How do people interpret information about colorectal cancer screening: observations from a thinkâ€aloud study. Health Expectations, 2015, 18, 703-714.	1.1	28

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Social Cognitive Mediators of Sociodemographic Differences in Colorectal Cancer Screening Uptake. BioMed Research International, 2015, 2015, 1-9.	0.9	32
56	The impact of supplementary narrative-based information on colorectal cancer screening beliefs and intention. BMC Cancer, 2015 , 15 , 162 .	1.1	36
57	Micro actions in colorectal cancer screening participation: a population-based survey study. BMC Cancer, 2015, 15, 438.	1.1	4
58	Colorectal cancer screening uptake over three biennial invitation rounds in the English bowel cancer screening programme. Gut, 2015, 64, 282-291.	6.1	111
59	Quantifying public preferences for different bowel preparation options prior to screening CT colonography: a discrete choice experiment. BMJ Open, 2014, 4, e004327.	0.8	16
60	Non- or full-laxative CT colonography vs. endoscopic tests for colorectal cancer screening: A randomised survey comparing public perceptions and intentions to undergo testing. European Radiology, 2014, 24, 1477-1486.	2.3	11
61	Preformulated implementation intentions to promote colorectal cancer screening: A cluster-randomized trial Health Psychology, 2014, 33, 998-1002.	1.3	30
62	Patient attitudes towards faecal immunochemical testing for haemoglobin as an alternative to colonoscopic surveillance of groups at increased risk of colorectal cancer. Journal of Medical Screening, 2013, 20, 149-156.	1.1	20
63	Public preferences for colorectal cancer screening tests: a review of conjoint analysis studies. Expert Review of Medical Devices, 2013, 10, 489-499.	1.4	33
64	Outcomes of the Bowel Cancer Screening Programme (BCSP) in England after the first 1 million tests. Gut, 2012, 61 , $1439-1446$.	6.1	457
65	Socioeconomic inequalities in colorectal cancer screening uptake: Does time perspective play a role?. Health Psychology, 2011, 30, 702-709.	1.3	101
66	Inequalities in participation in an organized national colorectal cancer screening programme: results from the first 2.6 million invitations in England. International Journal of Epidemiology, 2011, 40, 712-718.	0.9	262
67	Cancer Fatalism: Deterring Early Presentation and Increasing Social Inequalities?. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 2011, 20, 2127-2131.	1.1	86
68	Health Literacy and Health Actions: A Review and a Framework From Health Psychology. Health Education and Behavior, 2009, 36, 860-877.	1.3	319
69	Uptake of colorectal cancer screening: system, provider and individual factors and strategies to improve participation. Future Oncology, 2009, 5, 1371-1388.	1.1	118