Keith G Oldroyd

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/6148379/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

		13827	6113
234	26,629	67	159
papers	citations	h-index	g-index
220	220	220	1.4200
239	239	239	14298
all docs	docs citations	times ranked	citing authors

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	Fractional Flow Reserve versus Angiography for Guiding Percutaneous Coronary Intervention. New England Journal of Medicine, 2009, 360, 213-224.	13.9	3,510
2	Fractional Flow Reserve–Guided PCI versus Medical Therapy in Stable Coronary Disease. New England Journal of Medicine, 2012, 367, 991-1001.	13.9	2,248
3	Angiographic Versus Functional Severity of Coronary Artery Stenoses in the FAME Study. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2010, 55, 2816-2821.	1.2	1,077
4	Fractional Flow Reserve Versus Angiography for Guiding Percutaneous Coronary Intervention in Patients With Multivessel Coronary Artery Disease. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2010, 56, 177-184.	1.2	990
5	Fractional Flow Reserve–Guided PCI for Stable Coronary Artery Disease. New England Journal of Medicine, 2014, 371, 1208-1217.	13.9	905
6	Randomized Trial of Preventive Angioplasty in Myocardial Infarction. New England Journal of Medicine, 2013, 369, 1115-1123.	13.9	871
7	PCI Strategies in Patients with Acute Myocardial Infarction and Cardiogenic Shock. New England Journal of Medicine, 2017, 377, 2419-2432.	13.9	764
8	Ticagrelor with or without Aspirin in High-Risk Patients after PCI. New England Journal of Medicine, 2019, 381, 2032-2042.	13.9	683
9	Polymer-free Drug-Coated Coronary Stents in Patients at High Bleeding Risk. New England Journal of Medicine, 2015, 373, 2038-2047.	13.9	672
10	Smoke-free Legislation and Hospitalizations for Acute Coronary Syndrome. New England Journal of Medicine, 2008, 359, 482-491.	13.9	640
11	Five-Year Outcomes with PCI Guided by Fractional Flow Reserve. New England Journal of Medicine, 2018, 379, 250-259.	13.9	622
12	Percutaneous coronary angioplasty versus coronary artery bypass grafting in treatment of unprotected left main stenosis (NOBLE): a prospective, randomised, open-label, non-inferiority trial. Lancet, The, 2016, 388, 2743-2752.	6.3	620
13	Ticagrelor plus aspirin for 1 month, followed by ticagrelor monotherapy for 23 months vs aspirin plus clopidogrel or ticagrelor for 12 months, followed by aspirin monotherapy for 12 months after implantation of a drug-eluting stent: a multicentre, open-label, randomised superiority trial. Lancet, The, 2018, 392, 940-949.	6.3	555
14	Randomized Trial of Simple Versus Complex Drug-Eluting Stenting for Bifurcation Lesions. Circulation, 2010, 121, 1235-1243.	1.6	478
15	Fractional flow reserve versus angiography for guidance of PCI in patients with multivessel coronary artery disease (FAME): 5-year follow-up of a randomised controlled trial. Lancet, The, 2015, 386, 1853-1860.	6.3	455
16	Rescue Angioplasty after Failed Thrombolytic Therapy for Acute Myocardial Infarction. New England Journal of Medicine, 2005, 353, 2758-2768.	13.9	436
17	Stratified Medical Therapy Using Invasive Coronary Function Testing in Angina. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2018, 72, 2841-2855.	1.2	436
18	Randomized Comparison of Percutaneous Coronary Intervention With Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting in Diabetic Patients. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2010, 55, 432-440.	1.2	421

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Percutaneous coronary intervention versus coronary artery bypass grafting in patients with three-vessel or left main coronary artery disease: 10-year follow-up of the multicentre randomised controlled SYNTAX trial. Lancet, The, 2019, 394, 1325-1334.	6.3	406
20	Prognostic Value of the Index of Microcirculatory Resistance Measured After Primary Percutaneous Coronary Intervention. Circulation, 2013, 127, 2436-2441.	1.6	316
21	One-Year Outcomes after PCI Strategies in Cardiogenic Shock. New England Journal of Medicine, 2018, 379, 1699-1710.	13.9	303
22	Multicenter Core Laboratory Comparison of the Instantaneous Wave-Free Ratio and Resting P /P With Fractional Flow Reserve. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2014, 63, 1253-1261.	1.2	301
23	Percutaneous coronary angioplasty versus coronary artery bypass grafting in the treatment of unprotected left main stenosis: updated 5-year outcomes from the randomised, non-inferiority NOBLE trial. Lancet, The, 2020, 395, 191-199.	6.3	280
24	Fractional flow reserve vs. angiography in guiding management to optimize outcomes in non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: the British Heart Foundation FAMOUS-NSTEMI randomized trial. European Heart Journal, 2015, 36, 100-111.	1.0	241
25	Adenosine. JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2014, 7, 581-591.	1.1	214
26	Does Routine Pressure Wire Assessment Influence Management Strategy at Coronary Angiography for Diagnosis of Chest Pain?. Circulation: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2014, 7, 248-255.	1.4	205
27	A Randomized Trial of Deferred Stenting Versus Immediate Stenting to Prevent No- or Slow-Reflow in Acute ST-Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction (DEFER-STEMI). Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2014, 63, 2088-2098.	1.2	204
28	Obesity paradox in a cohort of 4880 consecutive patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention. European Heart Journal, 2010, 31, 222-226.	1.0	197
29	VERIFY (VERification of Instantaneous Wave-Free Ratio and Fractional Flow Reserve for the Assessment) Tj ETQq1 Cardiology, 2013, 61, 1421-1427.		14 rgBT /O\ 197
30	Validation of Magnetic Resonance Myocardial Perfusion Imaging With Fractional Flow Reserve for the Detection of Significant Coronary Heart Disease. Circulation, 2009, 120, 2207-2213.	1.6	191
31	Primary Endpoint Results of the EVOLVE Trial. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2012, 59, 1362-1370.	1.2	188
32	Fractional Flow Reserve–Guided PCI as Compared with Coronary Bypass Surgery. New England Journal of Medicine, 2022, 386, 128-137.	13.9	169
33	Comparison of Different Diastolic RestingÂIndexes to iFR. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2017, 70, 3088-3096.	1.2	163
34	The Index of Microcirculatory Resistance Measured Acutely Predicts the Extent and Severity of Myocardial Infarction in Patients With ST-Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction. JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2010, 3, 715-722.	1.1	161
35	Myocardial Hemorrhage After Acute Reperfused ST-Segment–Elevation Myocardial Infarction. Circulation: Cardiovascular Imaging, 2016, 9, e004148.	1.3	158
36	Validation of a novel non-hyperaemic index of coronary artery stenosis severity: the Resting Full-cycle Ratio (VALIDATE RFR) study. EuroIntervention, 2018, 14, 806-814.	1.4	157

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Simple or Complex Stenting for Bifurcation Coronary Lesions. Circulation: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2011, 4, 57-64.	1.4	152
38	1-Year Outcomes of Angina Management Guided by Invasive Coronary Function Testing (CorMicA). JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2020, 13, 33-45.	1.1	141
39	Systemic microvascular dysfunction in microvascular and vasospastic angina. European Heart Journal, 2018, 39, 4086-4097.	1.0	139
40	Comparative Prognostic Utility of Indexes of Microvascular Function Alone or in Combination in Patients With an Acute ST-Segment–Elevation Myocardial Infarction. Circulation, 2016, 134, 1833-1847.	1.6	135
41	Continuum of Vasodilator Stress FromÂRest to Contrast Medium toÂAdenosine Hyperemia for FractionalÂFlow Reserve Assessment. JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2016, 9, 757-767.	1.1	129
42	Validation of coronary flow reserve measurements by thermodilution in clinical practice. European Heart Journal, 2004, 25, 219-223.	1.0	128
43	Ticagrelor With or Without Aspirin After ComplexÂPCI. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2020, 75, 2414-2424.	1.2	122
44	Influenza Vaccination After Myocardial Infarction: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled, Multicenter Trial. Circulation, 2021, 144, 1476-1484.	1.6	121
45	Importance of collateral circulation in coronary heart disease. European Heart Journal, 2007, 28, 278-291.	1.0	118
46	Pathophysiology of LV Remodeling inÂSurvivors of STEMI. JACC: Cardiovascular Imaging, 2015, 8, 779-789.	2.3	116
47	Prevalence of Coronary Artery Disease and Coronary Microvascular Dysfunction in Patients With Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction. JAMA Cardiology, 2021, 6, 1130.	3.0	114
48	The clinical outcome of percutaneous treatment of bifurcation lesions in multivessel coronary artery disease with the sirolimus-eluting stent: insights from the Arterial Revascularization Therapies Study part II (ARTS II). European Heart Journal, 2007, 28, 433-442.	1.0	113
49	Ischemia and No Obstructive Coronary Artery Disease. Circulation: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2019, 12, e008126.	1.4	107
50	Prognostic significance of infarct core pathology revealed by quantitative non-contrast in comparison with contrast cardiac magnetic resonance imaging in reperfused ST-elevation myocardial infarction survivors. European Heart Journal, 2016, 37, 1044-1059.	1.0	105
51	Vasodilatory Capacity of the Coronary Microcirculation is Preserved in Selected Patients With Non–ST-Segment–Elevation Myocardial Infarction. Circulation: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2013, 6, 231-236.	1.4	103
52	Coronary bifurcation lesions treated with simple or complex stenting: 5-year survival from patient-level pooled analysis of the Nordic Bifurcation Study and the British Bifurcation Coronary Study. European Heart Journal, 2016, 37, 1923-1928.	1.0	103
53	The EBC TWO Study (European Bifurcation Coronary TWO). Circulation: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2016, 9, .	1.4	102
54	Bright-Blood T2-Weighted MRI Has Higher Diagnostic Accuracy Than Dark-Blood Short Tau Inversion Recovery MRI for Detection of Acute Myocardial Infarction and for Assessment of the Ischemic Area at Risk and Myocardial Salvage. Circulation: Cardiovascular Imaging, 2011, 4, 210-219.	1.3	99

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Temporal Evolution of Myocardial Hemorrhage and Edema in Patients After Acute STâ€Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction: Pathophysiological Insights and Clinical Implications. Journal of the American Heart Association, 2016, 5, .	1.6	96
56	Reducing In-Stent Restenosis. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2015, 65, 2314-2327.	1.2	95
57	Multivessel versus culprit lesion only percutaneous revascularization plus potential staged revascularization in patients with acute myocardial infarction complicated by cardiogenic shock: Design and rationale of CULPRIT-SHOCK trial. American Heart Journal, 2016, 172, 160-169.	1.2	93
58	Ticagrelor alone vs. ticagrelor plus aspirin following percutaneous coronary intervention in patients with non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndromes: TWILIGHT-ACS. European Heart Journal, 2020, 41, 3533-3545.	1.0	93
59	Effects of early captopril administration on infarct expansion, left ventricular remodeling and exercise capacity after acute myocardial infarction. American Journal of Cardiology, 1991, 68, 713-718.	0.7	90
60	Integrated Physiologic Assessment of Ischemic Heart Disease in Real-World Practice Using Index of Microcirculatory Resistance and Fractional Flow Reserve. Circulation: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2015, 8, e002857.	1.4	89
61	Prognostic Value and Risk Continuum of Noninvasive Fractional Flow Reserve Derived from Coronary CT Angiography. Radiology, 2019, 292, 343-351.	3.6	89
62	Effect of Low-Dose Intracoronary Alteplase During Primary Percutaneous Coronary Intervention on Microvascular Obstruction in Patients With Acute Myocardial Infarction. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2019, 321, 56.	3.8	88
63	Pharmacological options for inducing maximal hyperaemia during studies of coronary physiology. Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions, 2008, 71, 198-204.	0.7	87
64	Repeatability of Fractional Flow Reserve Despite Variations in Systemic andÂCoronaryÂHemodynamics. JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2015, 8, 1018-1027.	1.1	83
65	The Influence of Lesion Location on the Diagnostic Accuracy of Adenosine-Free Coronary Pressure Wire Measurements. JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2016, 9, 2390-2399.	1.1	81
66	Microvascular Resistance Predicts Myocardial Salvage and Infarct Characteristics in STâ€Elevation Myocardial Infarction. Journal of the American Heart Association, 2012, 1, e002246.	1.6	80
67	Post-stenting fractional flow reserve vs coronary angiography for optimization of percutaneous coronary intervention (TARGET-FFR). European Heart Journal, 2021, 42, 4656-4668.	1.0	79
68	Genetic dysregulation of endothelin-1 is implicated in coronary microvascular dysfunction. European Heart Journal, 2020, 41, 3239-3252.	1.0	73
69	Intravascular Imaging and 12-Month Mortality After Unprotected Left Main StemÂPCI. JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2020, 13, 346-357.	1.1	70
70	Discordance Between Resting and Hyperemic Indices of Coronary Stenosis Severity. Circulation: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2016, 9, .	1.4	67
71	Impact of left ventricular function in relation to procedural outcomes following percutaneous coronary intervention: insights from the British Cardiovascular Intervention Society. European Heart Journal, 2014, 35, 3004-3012.	1.0	65
72	Percutaneous Coronary Intervention in the Elderly. Circulation: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2010, 3, 341-345.	1.4	63

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	Ticagrelor With or Without Aspirin in High-Risk Patients With Diabetes Mellitus Undergoing Percutaneous Coronary Intervention. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2020, 75, 2403-2413.	1.2	60
74	Fractional flow reserve-guided management in stable coronary disease and acute myocardial infarction: recent developments. European Heart Journal, 2015, 36, 3155-3164.	1.0	58
75	Rationale and design of the Fractional Flow Reserve versus Angiography for Multivessel Evaluation (FAME) 3 Trial: A comparison of fractional flow reserve–guided percutaneous coronary intervention and coronary artery bypass graft surgery in patients with multivessel coronary artery disease. American Heart Journal. 2015. 170. 619-626.e2.	1.2	58
76	Ticagrelor monotherapy in patients at high bleeding risk undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: TWILIGHT-HBR. European Heart Journal, 2021, 42, 4624-4634.	1.0	54
77	Radial versus femoral approach for highâ€speed rotational atherectomy. Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions, 2009, 74, 550-554.	0.7	53
78	Single―Versus 2â€6tent Strategies for Coronary Bifurcation Lesions: A Systematic Review and Metaâ€Analysis of Randomized Trials With Longâ€Term Followâ€up. Journal of the American Heart Association, 2018, 7, .	1.6	53
79	Accuracy of Fractional Flow Reserve Measurements in Clinical Practice. JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2017, 10, 1392-1401.	1.1	49
80	Predictive factors of discordance between the instantaneous waveâ€free ratio and fractional flow reserve. Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions, 2019, 94, 356-363.	0.7	49
81	Current Smoking and Prognosis AfterÂAcute ST-Segment Elevation MyocardialÂInfarction. JACC: Cardiovascular Imaging, 2019, 12, 993-1003.	2.3	46
82	Validity of self-reported smoking status: Comparison of patients admitted to hospital with acute coronary syndrome and the general population. Nicotine and Tobacco Research, 2008, 10, 861-866.	1.4	45
83	Clinical outcomes following radial versus femoral artery access in primary or rescue percutaneous coronary intervention in Scotland: retrospective cohort study of 4534 patients. Heart, 2012, 98, 552-557.	1.2	45
84	Fractional flow reserve derived from coronary CT angiography: Variation of repeated analyses. Journal of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography, 2014, 8, 307-314.	0.7	45
85	Remote Zone Extracellular Volume and Left Ventricular Remodeling in Survivors of ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction. Hypertension, 2016, 68, 385-391.	1.3	44
86	Diastolic pressure ratio: new approach and validation vs. the instantaneous wave-free ratio. European Heart Journal, 2019, 40, 2585-2594.	1.0	44
87	Agreement of the Resting Distal toÂAorticÂCoronary Pressure With theÂInstantaneous Wave-Free Ratio. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2017, 70, 2105-2113.	1.2	43
88	Persistent Iron Within the Infarct CoreÂAfter ST-Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction. JACC: Cardiovascular Imaging, 2018, 11, 1248-1256.	2.3	43
89	Coronary microvascular dysfunction in patients with stable coronary artery disease: The CE-MARC 2 coronary physiology sub-study. International Journal of Cardiology, 2018, 266, 7-14.	0.8	41
90	Influence of access site choice for cardiac catheterization on risk of adverse neurological events: A systematic review and meta-analysis. American Heart Journal, 2016, 181, 107-119.	1.2	40

#	Article	IF	Citations
91	Prognostic Value of the Residual SYNTAX Score After Functionally Complete Revascularization in ACS. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2018, 72, 1321-1329.	1.2	40
92	Microvascular resistance of the culprit coronary artery in acute ST-elevation myocardial infarction. JCI Insight, 2016, 1, e85768.	2.3	39
93	Three-Year Results Comparing Platinum-Chromium PROMUS Element and Cobalt-Chromium XIENCE V Everolimus-Eluting Stents in De Novo Coronary Artery Narrowing (from the PLATINUM Trial). American Journal of Cardiology, 2014, 113, 1117-1123.	0.7	37
94	Comparative Significance of Invasive Measures of Microvascular Injury in Acute Myocardial Infarction. Circulation: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2020, 13, e008505.	1.4	37
95	Outcomes in Patients With ST-Segment Elevation Acute MyocardialÂInfarction Treated With Clopidogrel Versus Prasugrel (from the INFUSE-AMI Trial). American Journal of Cardiology, 2014, 113, 1457-1460.	0.7	35
96	Comparison of Characteristics and Complications in Men Versus Women Undergoing Chronic Total Occlusion Percutaneous Intervention. American Journal of Cardiology, 2017, 119, 535-541.	0.7	35
97	Hypertension, Microvascular Pathology, and Prognosis After an Acute Myocardial Infarction. Hypertension, 2018, 72, 720-730.	1.3	33
98	Evaluation and Management of Nonculprit Lesions in STEMI. JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2020, 13, 1145-1154.	1.1	33
99	Meta-Analysis of Death and Myocardial Infarction in the DEFINE-FLAIR and iFR-SWEDEHEART Trials. Circulation, 2017, 136, 2389-2391.	1.6	32
100	Circumferential Strain Predicts Major Adverse Cardiovascular Events Following an Acute ST-Segment–Elevation Myocardial Infarction. Radiology, 2019, 290, 329-337.	3.6	32
101	Fractional Flow Reserve–Based CoronaryÂArtery Bypass Surgery. JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2020, 13, 1086-1096.	1.1	32
102	The Role of Cardiac Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) in Acute Myocardial Infarction (AMI). Heart Lung and Circulation, 2013, 22, 243-255.	0.2	31
103	Comprehensive Dobutamine Stress CMR Versus Echocardiography in LBBB and Suspected Coronary Artery Disease. JACC: Cardiovascular Imaging, 2014, 7, 490-498.	2.3	30
104	Combining mathematical modelling with in vitro experiments to predict in vivo drug-eluting stent performance. Journal of Controlled Release, 2019, 303, 151-161.	4.8	28
105	Fractional flow reserve and the index of microvascular resistance in patients with acute coronary syndromes. EuroIntervention, 2014, 10, T55-T63.	1.4	28
106	Microvascular (Dys)Function and Clinical Outcome in Stable Coronary Disease. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2016, 67, 1170-1172.	1.2	27
107	Rationale and design of the British Heart Foundation (BHF) Coronary Microvascular Function and CT Coronary Angiogram (CorCTCA) study. American Heart Journal, 2020, 221, 48-59.	1.2	27
108	Ticagrelor Monotherapy Versus Dual-Antiplatelet Therapy After PCI. JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2021, 14, 444-456.	1.1	27

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
109	The effect of reactive oxygen species on whole blood aggregation and the endothelial cell-platelet interaction in patients with coronary heart disease. Thrombosis Research, 2012, 130, 210-215.	0.8	25
110	Prevention of coronary in-stent restenosis and vein graft failure: Does vascular gene therapy have a role?., 2012, 136, 23-34.		25
111	The Potential Use of the Index of Microcirculatory Resistance to Guide Stratification of Patients for Adjunctive Therapy in Acute Myocardial Infarction. JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2019, 12, 951-966.	1.1	25
112	Low serum cortisol predicts early death after acute myocardial infarction. Critical Care Medicine, 2010, 38, 973-975.	0.4	24
113	Sex differences in procedural and clinical outcomes following rotational atherectomy. Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions, 2020, 95, 232-241.	0.7	24
114	Redefining Adverse and Reverse Left Ventricular Remodeling by Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance Following ST-Segment–Elevation Myocardial Infarction and Their Implications on Long-Term Prognosis. Circulation: Cardiovascular Imaging, 2020, 13, e009937.	1.3	24
115	Outcomes of Percutaneous Coronary Intervention Performed at Offsite VersusÂOnsite Surgical Centers inÂtheÂUnited Kingdom. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2015, 66, 363-372.	1.2	22
116	Rationale and design of the British Heart Foundation (BHF) Coronary Microvascular Angina (CorMicA) stratified medicine clinical trial. American Heart Journal, 2018, 201, 86-94.	1.2	22
117	Usefulness of Fractional Flow Reserve to Improve Diagnostic Efficiency in Patients With Non-ST Elevation Myocardial Infarction. American Journal of Cardiology, 2013, 111, 45-50.	0.7	21
118	TRANSCATHETER AORTIC VALVE IMPLANTATION FOR SEVERE AORTIC STENOSIS: THE COST-EFFECTIVENESS CASE FOR INOPERABLE PATIENTS IN THE UNITED KINGDOM. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2013, 29, 12-19.	0.2	20
119	Urine proteomics in the diagnosis of stable angina. BMC Cardiovascular Disorders, 2016, 16, 70.	0.7	20
120	Safety of guidewire-based measurement of fractional flow reserve and the index of microvascular resistance using intravenous adenosine in patients with acute or recent myocardial infarction. International Journal of Cardiology, 2016, 202, 305-310.	0.8	20
121	Predictive ability of ACEF and ACEF II score in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention in the GLOBAL LEADERS study. International Journal of Cardiology, 2019, 286, 43-50.	0.8	19
122	Ticagrelor monotherapy in patients with chronic kidney disease undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: TWILIGHT-CKD. European Heart Journal, 2021, 42, 4683-4693.	1.0	18
123	Assessment of Fractional Flow Reserve in Patients With Recent Non–ST-Segment–Elevation Myocardial Infarction. Circulation: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2015, 8, e002207.	1.4	17
124	Intravascular ultrasound assessment of the effects of rotational atherectomy in calcified coronary artery lesions. International Journal of Cardiovascular Imaging, 2018, 34, 1365-1371.	0.7	17
125	Invasive Versus Medical Management in Patients With Prior Coronary Artery Bypass Surgery With a Non-ST Segment Elevation Acute Coronary Syndrome. Circulation: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2019, 12, e007830.	1.4	17
126	Three-year clinical outcome of percutaneous treatment of bifurcation lesions in multivessel coronary artery disease with the sirolimus-eluting stent: insights from the Arterial Revascularisation Therapies Study, part II (ARTS II). EuroIntervention, 2009, 5, 190-196.	1.4	17

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
127	Drug-Eluting Stents Versus Bare-Metal Stents for Off-Label Indications. Circulation: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2008, 1, 45-52.	1.4	16
128	Effect of clopidogrel discontinuation at $1\text{\^{A}}$ year after drug eluting stent placement on soluble CD40L, P-selectin and C-reactive protein levels: DECADES (Discontinuation Effect of Clopidogrel After Drug) Tj ETQq0 0 0	rgBT /Ove	rlock 10 Tf
	410-417.		
129	Persistence of Infarct Zone T2 Hyperintensity at 6 Months After Acute ST-Segment–Elevation Myocardial Infarction. Circulation: Cardiovascular Imaging, 2017, 10, .	1.3	16
130	Predictors of segmental myocardial functional recovery in patients after an acute ST-Elevation myocardial infarction. European Journal of Radiology, 2019, 112, 121-129.	1.2	16
131	Low-Dose Alteplase During Primary Percutaneous Coronary Intervention According to Ischemic Time. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2020, 75, 1406-1421.	1.2	16
132	Culotte stenting for coronary bifurcation lesions with 2nd and 3rd generation everolimus-eluting stents: the CELTIC Bifurcation Study. EuroIntervention, 2018, 14, e318-e324.	1.4	16
133	Pro-healing drug-eluting stents: a role for antioxidants?. Clinical Science, 2008, 114, 265-273.	1.8	15
134	Myocardial Repair and Regeneration: Bone Marrow or Cardiac Stem Cells?. Molecular Therapy, 2012, 20, 1102-1105.	3.7	15
135	Quality of life following percutaneous coronary interventions in octogenarians: a systematic review. Heart, 2013, 99, 779-784.	1.2	15
136	Diagnostic Accuracy of 3.0â€T Magnetic Resonance T1 and T2 Mapping and T2â€Weighted Darkâ€Blood Imaging for the Infarctâ€Related Coronary Artery in Non–STâ€Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction. Journal of the American Heart Association, 2017, 6, .	1.6	15
137	Safety of Selective Intracoronary Hypothermia During Primary Percutaneous Coronary Intervention in Patients With Anterior STEMI. JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2021, 14, 2047-2055.	1.1	15
138	Drug-eluting stents: A study of international practice. American Heart Journal, 2009, 158, 576-584.	1.2	14
139	Fractional flow reserve (FFR) versus angiography in guiding management to optimise outcomes in non-ST segment elevation myocardial infarction (FAMOUS-NSTEMI) developmental trial: cost-effectiveness using a mixed trial- and model-based methods. Cost Effectiveness and Resource Allocation, 2015, 13, 19.	0.6	14
140	Radial Versus Femoral Access for Rotational Atherectomy. Circulation: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2017, 10, .	1.4	14
141	Outcomes following implantation of the biolimus A9â€eluting Bio <scp>M</scp> atrix coronary stent: Primary analysis of the eâ€ <scp>B</scp> io <scp>M</scp> atrix registry. Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions, 2015, 86, 1151-1160.	0.7	13
142	A randomized controlled trial of a physiologyâ€guided percutaneous coronary intervention optimization strategy: Rationale and design of the TARGET FFR study. Clinical Cardiology, 2020, 43, 414-422.	0.7	13
143	Percutaneous coronary intervention versus medical therapy in patients with angina and grey-zone fractional flow reserve values: a randomised clinical trial. Heart, 2020, 106, 758-764.	1.2	13
144	Impact of Age on the Safety and Efficacy of Ticagrelor Monotherapy in Patients Undergoing PCI. JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2021, 14, 1434-1446.	1.1	13

#	Article	IF	Citations
145	Use of troponin to diagnose periprocedural myocardial infarction: effect on composite endpoints in the British Bifurcation Coronary Study (BBC ONE). Heart, 2012, 98, 1431-1435.	1.2	12
146	Succinobucolâ€eluting stents increase neointimal thickening and periâ€strut inflammation in a porcine coronary model. Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions, 2013, 81, 698-708.	0.7	12
147	Invasive assessment of the coronary microcirculation in the catheter laboratory. International Journal of Cardiology, 2015, 199, 141-149.	0.8	12
148	The relationship between oxidised LDL, endothelial progenitor cells and coronary endothelial function in patients with CHD. Open Heart, 2016, 3, e000342.	0.9	12
149	Rationale and design of the Coronary Microvascular Angina Cardiac Magnetic Resonance Imaging (CorCMR) diagnostic study: the CorMicA CMR sub-study. Open Heart, 2018, 5, e000924.	0.9	12
150	Access Site and Outcomes for Unprotected Left Main Stem Percutaneous Coronary Intervention. JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2018, 11, 2480-2491.	1.1	12
151	Sex Differences in Adenosine-Free Coronary Pressure Indexes. JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2018, 11, 1454-1463.	1.1	12
152	Fractional flow reserve versus angiography in guiding management to optimize outcomes in non–ST-elevation myocardial infarction (FAMOUS-NSTEMI): Rationale and design of a randomized controlled clinical trial. American Heart Journal, 2013, 166, 662-668.e3.	1.2	11
153	Infarct size and left ventricular remodelling after preventive percutaneous coronary intervention. Heart, 2016, 102, 1980-1987.	1.2	11
154	Reduced duration of dual antiplatelet therapy using an improved drug-eluting stent for percutaneous coronary intervention of the left main artery in a real-world, all-comer population: Rationale and study design of the prospective randomized multicenter IDEAL-LM trial. American Heart Journal, 2017, 187, 104-111.	1.2	11
155	Safety and Efficacy of Polymer-Free Biolimus A9–Coated Versus Bare-Metal Stents in Orally Anticoagulated Patients. JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2017, 10, 1633-1642.	1.1	11
156	Effects of Intracoronary Alteplase on Microvascular Function in Acute Myocardial Infarction. Journal of the American Heart Association, 2020, 9, e014066.	1.6	11
157	Comparison of risk prediction models in infarct-related cardiogenic shock. European Heart Journal: Acute Cardiovascular Care, 2021, 10, 890-897.	0.4	11
158	Hospital and operator variations in drug-eluting stent use: a multi-level analysis of 5967 consecutive patients in Scotland. Journal of Public Health, 2008, 30, 186-193.	1.0	10
159	Perioperative and long-term outcomes following aortic valve replacement: a population cohort study of 4124 consecutive patients. European Journal of Cardio-thoracic Surgery, 2011, 40, 1508-14.	0.6	10
160	Variation in thromboxane B2 concentrations in serum and plasma in patients taking regular aspirin before and after clopidogrel therapy. Platelets, 2015, 26, 17-24.	1.1	10
161	A protocol update of the Fractional Flow Reserve versus Angiography for Multivessel Evaluation (FAME) 3 trial: A comparison of fractional flow reserve–guided percutaneous coronary intervention and coronary artery bypass graft surgery in patients with multivessel coronary artery disease. American Heart Iournal, 2019, 214, 156-157.	1.2	10
162	Free radical activity during percutaneous trans-luminal coronary angioplasty. Biochemical Society Transactions, 1990, 18, 1183-1184.	1.6	9

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
163	Instantaneous Wave-Free Ratio or Fractional Flow Reserve Without Hyperemia. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2012, 59, 1916-1917.	1.2	9
164	Five-year outcomes of staged percutaneous coronary intervention in the SYNTAX study. EuroIntervention, 2015, 10, 1402-1408.	1.4	9
165	The Impact of Coronary Bifurcation Stenting Strategy on Health-Related Functional Status. JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2013, 6, 139-145.	1.1	8
166	Influence of Contrast Media Dose and Osmolality on the Diagnostic Performance of Contrast Fractional Flow Reserve. Circulation: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2017, 10, .	1.4	8
167	Outcomes Associated with Respiratory Failure for Patients with Cardiogenic Shock and Acute Myocardial Infarction: A Substudy of the CULPRIT-SHOCK Trial. Journal of Clinical Medicine, 2020, 9, 860.	1.0	8
168	Risk Stratification Guided by the Index of Microcirculatory Resistance and Left Ventricular End-Diastolic Pressure in Acute Myocardial Infarction. Circulation: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2021, 14, e009529.	1.4	8
169	A Noncontrast CMR Risk Score for Long-Term Risk Stratification in Reperfused ST-Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction. JACC: Cardiovascular Imaging, 2022, 15, 431-440.	2.3	8
170	Bioabsorbable polymer drug-eluting stents with 4-month dual antiplatelet therapy versus durable polymer drug-eluting stents with 12-month dual antiplatelet therapy in patients with left main coronary artery disease: the IDEAL-LM randomised trial. EuroIntervention, 2022, 17, 1467-1476.	1.4	8
171	A clinical and in vitro study on the possible interaction of intravenous nitrates with heparin anticoagulation. Clinical Cardiology, 1994, 17, 658-661.	0.7	7
172	Drug-eluting stents: do the risks really outweigh the benefits?. Heart, 2008, 94, 127-128.	1.2	7
173	CMR versus SPECT for diagnosis of coronary heart disease. Lancet, The, 2012, 379, 2145.	6.3	7
174	Sex-based associations with microvascular injury and outcomes after ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. Open Heart, 2019, 6, e000979.	0.9	7
175	Association between post-percutaneous coronary intervention bivalirudin infusion and net adverse clinical events: a post hoc analysis of the GLOBAL LEADERS study. European Heart Journal - Cardiovascular Pharmacotherapy, 2020, 6, 22-30.	1.4	7
176	Recovery of platelet reactivity following cessation of either aspirin or ticagrelor in patients treated with dual antiplatelet therapy following percutaneous coronary intervention: a GLOBAL LEADERS substudy. Platelets, 2022, 33, 141-146.	1.1	7
177	Pacing termination of spontaneous ventricular tachycardia in the coronary care unit. International Journal of Cardiology, 1992, 36, 223-226.	0.8	6
178	Influence of hyperkalaemia and ischaemia on non-receptor-mediated cardiac electrophysiological effects of naloxone. Cardiovascular Research, 1993, 27, 296-303.	1.8	6
179	Complete Immediate Revascularization of the Patient With ST-Segment–Elevation Myocardial Infarction Is the New Standard of Care. Circulation, 2017, 135, 1571-1573.	1.6	6
180	Impact of established cardiovascular disease on outcomes in the randomized global leaders trial. Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions, 2020, 96, 1369-1378.	0.7	6

#	Article	IF	Citations
181	Effect of coronary flow on intracoronary alteplase: a prespecified analysis from a randomised trial. Heart, 2021, 107, 299-312.	1.2	6
182	Do we really understand how drug eluted from stents modulates arterial healing?. International Journal of Pharmaceutics, 2021, 601, 120575.	2.6	6
183	Inhibition of myocardial cathepsin-L release during reperfusion following myocardial infarction improves cardiac function and reduces infarct size. Cardiovascular Research, 2022, 118, 1535-1547.	1.8	6
184	Thermodilution-derived temperature recovery time: a novel predictor of microvascular reperfusion and prognosis after myocardial infarction. EuroIntervention, 2021, 17, 220-228.	1.4	6
185	Ticagrelor Monotherapy After PCI in High-Risk Patients With Prior MI. JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2022, 15, 282-293.	1.1	6
186	Quality of Life After Fractional Flow Reserve–Guided PCI Compared With Coronary Bypass Surgery. Circulation, 2022, 145, 1655-1662.	1.6	6
187	"Waves of Edema―Seem Implausible. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2016, 67, 1868-1869.	1.2	5
188	Coronary Thermodilution Waveforms After Acute Reperfused STâ€Segment–Elevation Myocardial Infarction: Relation to Microvascular Obstruction and Prognosis. Journal of the American Heart Association, 2018, 7, e008957.	1.6	5
189	One-Year Outcomes After Low-Dose Intracoronary Alteplase During Primary Percutaneous Coronary Intervention. Circulation: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2020, 13, e008855.	1.4	5
190	High-bolus dose tirofiban compared with abciximab in primary percutaneous coronary intervention: a propensity score-matched outcome study. EuroIntervention, 2015, 10, 1187-1194.	1.4	5
191	Safety and efficacy of ticagrelor monotherapy according to drug-eluting stent type: the TWILIGHT-STENT study. EuroIntervention, 2022, 17, 1330-1339.	1.4	5
192	The rise and fall of drug-eluting stents: Time trend analysis in 13647 consecutive patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention. American Heart Journal, 2007, 154, e37.	1,2	4
193	Bare-Metal versus Drug-Eluting Coronary Stents. New England Journal of Medicine, 2008, 358, 2516-2518.	13.9	4
194	Economic evaluation of culprit lesion only PCI vs. immediate multivessel PCI in acute myocardial infarction complicated by cardiogenic shock: the CULPRIT-SHOCK trial. European Journal of Health Economics, 2020, 21, 1197-1209.	1.4	4
195	Clinical Outcomes According to ECG Presentations in Infarct-Related Cardiogenic Shock in the Culprit Lesion Only PCI vsÂMultivessel PCI in Cardiogenic Shock Trial. Chest, 2021, 159, 1415-1425.	0.4	4
196	Thin Strut CoCr Biodegradable Polymer Biolimus A9-Eluting Stents versus Thicker Strut Stainless Steel Biodegradable Polymer Biolimus A9-Eluting Stents: Two-Year Clinical Outcomes. Journal of Interventional Cardiology, 2021, 2021, 1-7.	0.5	4
197	Continuous intracoronary versus standard intravenous infusion of adenosine for fractional flow reserve assessment: the HYPEREMIC trial. EuroIntervention, 2020, 16, 560-567.	1.4	4
198	Ticagrelor Monotherapy or Dual Antiplatelet Therapy After Drugâ€Eluting Stent Implantation: Perâ€Protocol Analysis of the GLOBAL LEADERS Trial. Journal of the American Heart Association, 2022, 11, e024291.	1.6	4

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
199	Elective percutaneous coronary intervention in the elderly patient. Aging Health, 2011, 7, 271-281.	0.3	3
200	Clinical value of antiplatelet therapy in patients with acute coronary syndromes and in percutaneous coronary intervention. Biomarkers in Medicine, 2011, 5, 9-30.	0.6	3
201	Dual antiplatelet response during PCI: VerifyNow P2Y12 predicts myocardial necrosis and thromboxane B2 generation confirms wide variation in aspirin response. Thrombosis Research, 2015, 135, 1140-1146.	0.8	3
202	Validation of the "smart―minimum FFR Algorithm in an unselected all comer population of patients with intermediate coronary stenoses. International Journal of Cardiovascular Imaging, 2017, 33, 991-997.	0.7	3
203	Revascularisation and mechanical circulatory support in patients with ischaemic cardiogenic shock. Heart, 2019, 105, 1364-1374.	1.2	3
204	MINOCA: Requirement for Definitive Diagnostic Work-Up. Heart Lung and Circulation, 2019, 28, e4-e6.	0.2	3
205	Will this trial change my practice? TOTAL: a randomised trial of thrombus aspiration in ST-elevation myocardial infarction. EuroIntervention, 2015, 11, 361-363.	1.4	3
206	A Randomized, double-blind, dose ranging clinical trial of intravenous FDY-5301 in acute STEMI patients undergoing primary PCI. International Journal of Cardiology, 2022, 347, 1-7.	0.8	3
207	Dissecting aneurysm of a right-sided descending aorta with a left-sided aortic arch. International Journal of Cardiology, 1988, 18, 271-274.	0.8	2
208	The RITA 3 trial. Lancet, The, 2002, 360, 1974.	6.3	2
209	Physiological assessment of coronary lesion severity. Coronary Artery Disease, 2015, 26, e8-e14.	0.3	2
210	Is Delayed Stenting of the Culprit Artery in Patients With STEMI Ever Worth the Wait? â^—. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 2017, 69, 2805-2807.	1.2	2
211	Arterial Access for Invasive Coronary Angiography: The â€~Left Backhander'. Heart Lung and Circulation, 2018, 27, e98-e99.	0.2	2
212	Safety and efficacy of Everolimusâ€Eluting bioabsorbable Polymerâ€Coated stent in patients with long coronary lesions: The EVOLVE 48 study. Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions, 2021, , .	0.7	2
213	Distal Transradial (Snuffbox) Access for Coronary Catheterization: A Systematic Review. Cardiology in Review, 2021, 29, 210-216.	0.6	2
214	A novel algorithm for the computation of the diastolic pressure ratio in the invasive assessment of the functional significance of coronary artery disease. Panminerva Medica, 2021, 63, 206-213.	0.2	2
215	Meta-Analysis of the Index of Microvascular Resistance in Acute STEMI Using Incomplete Data. JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2017, 10, 421-422.	1.1	1
216	Protocol for an economic evaluation of the randomised controlled trial of culprit lesion only PCI versus immediate multivessel PCI in acute myocardial infarction complicated by cardiogenic shock: CULPRIT-SHOCK trial. BMJ Open, 2017, 7, e014849.	0.8	1

#	Article	lF	Citations
217	Flow, pressure, anatomy: an eternal golden braid. Cardiovascular Research, 2021, 117, 1426-1427.	1.8	1
218	Impact of Center Volume on Outcomes in Myocardial Infarction Complicated by Cardiogenic Shock: A CULPRIT‧HOCK Substudy. Journal of the American Heart Association, 2021, 10, e021150.	1.6	1
219	TRANSCATHETER AORTIC VALVE IMPLANTATION FOR SEVERE AORTIC STENOSIS: THE COST-EFFECTIVENESS CASE FOR INOPERABLE PATIENTS IN THE UNITED KINGDOM – CORRIGENDUM. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2013, 29, 112-112.	0.2	0
220	Response to Letter Regarding Article, "Prognostic Value of the Index of Microcirculatory Resistance Measured After Primary Percutaneous Coronary Intervention― Circulation, 2014, 129, e342.	1.6	0
221	Impact of treatment algorithms on the prescribing of antithrombotic therapy in patients with suspected acute coronary syndrome $\hat{a} \in \hat{a}$ a prospective audit. British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology, 2015, 80, 1176-1184.	1.1	0
222	110 Infarct Burden Following Multivessel PCI Vs. Infarct-Only PCI in Patients with Acute Stemi: The Glasgow Prami CMR Sub-Study: Abstract 110 Table 1. Heart, 2015, 101, A63.1-A63.	1.2	0
223	Current frontiers in the clinical research of coronary physiology. Interventional Cardiology, 2015, 7, 97-108.	0.0	O
224	115â€Persistence of Infarct Zone Oedema at 6 Months after Acute ST-elevation Myocardial Infarction: Incidence, Pathophysiology and Association with Left Ventricular Remodelling. Heart, 2016, 102, A81.2-A81.	1.2	0
225	133 Although CT Coronary Angiography in the West of Scotland is Used in a Higher Risk Population than Recommended by Nice, The Rate of Subsequent Invasive Coronary Angiography is Lower than in the Promise and Scot-Heart Studies. Heart, 2016, 102, A95-A95.	1.2	0
226	114â€Persistence of Haemoglobin Degradation Products within Infarct Scar Tissue after ST-elevation Myocardial Infarction: Incidence, Correlates and Implications for Left Ventricular Remodelling. Heart, 2016, 102, A81.1-A81.	1,2	0
227	2â€Coronary flow reserve and index of microvascular resistance in acute stemi. Heart, 2016, 102, A1.2-A1.	1.2	0
228	Time is still muscle and there is still room for improvement. Heart, 2017, 103, 96-97.	1.2	0
229	$5\hat{a}\in$ Effect of remote ischaemic preconditioning on coronary artery function in patients with stable coronary artery disease. , 2018, , .		0
230	$1\hat{a}$ €Coronary microvascular dysfunction in stable coronary artery disease: the CE-MARC 2 coronary physiology sub-study. , 2018, , .		0
231	50 Ischaemia and No Obstructive Coronary Artery Disease (INOCA): prevalence and predictors of coronary vasomotion disorders. , 2019, , .		0
232	Is Hyperaemia Essential for Accurate Functional Assessment of Coronary Stenosis Severity?. Interventional Cardiology Review, 2015, 10, 72.	0.7	0
233	Ischaemic Heart Disease. , 2019, , 355-363.		0
234	Low-dose intracoronary alteplase during primary percutaneous coronary intervention in patients with acute myocardial infarction: the T-TIME three-arm RCT. Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation, 2020, 7, 1-86.	0.9	0