

Amy L Mcguire

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/6137476/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

182
papers

14,376
citations

38720

50
h-index

21521

114
g-index

187
all docs

187
docs citations

187
times ranked

16195
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Researcher Views on Changes in Personality, Mood, and Behavior in Next-Generation Deep Brain Stimulation. <i>AJOB Neuroscience</i> , 2023, 14, 287-299.	0.6	13
2	Core values of genomic citizen science: results from a qualitative interview study. <i>BioSocieties</i> , 2022, 17, 203-228.	0.8	9
3	Effects of participation in a U.S. trial of newborn genomic sequencing on parents at risk for depression. <i>Journal of Genetic Counseling</i> , 2022, 31, 218-229.	0.9	5
4	Perceived Utility of Genomic Sequencing: Qualitative Analysis and Synthesis of a Conceptual Model to Inform Patient-Centered Instrument Development. <i>Patient</i> , 2022, 15, 317-328.	1.1	21
5	How NFTs could transform health information exchange. <i>Science</i> , 2022, 375, 500-502.	6.0	32
6	Family secrets: Experiences and outcomes of participating in direct-to-consumer genetic relative-finder services. <i>American Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2022, 109, 486-497.	2.6	12
7	Should Pediatricians Dismiss Families Who Refuse a COVID-19 Vaccine?. <i>Clinical Pediatrics</i> , 2022, 61, 99-103.	0.4	0
8	Researchers'™ Ethical Concerns About Using Adaptive Deep Brain Stimulation for Enhancement. <i>Frontiers in Human Neuroscience</i> , 2022, 16, 813922.	1.0	10
9	Parental Attitudes Toward Standard Newborn Screening and Newborn Genomic Sequencing: Findings From the BabySeq Study. <i>Frontiers in Genetics</i> , 2022, 13, 867371.	1.1	19
10	Direct-to-Consumer Genetic Testing: Value and Risk. <i>Annual Review of Medicine</i> , 2021, 72, 151-166.	5.0	44
11	Direct-to-Consumer Drug Advertisement and Prescribing Practices: Evidence Review and Practical Guidance for Clinicians. <i>Journal of General Internal Medicine</i> , 2021, 36, 1390-1394.	1.3	7
12	Four misconceptions about investigative genetic genealogy. <i>Journal of Law and the Biosciences</i> , 2021, 8, l5ab001.	0.8	20
13	Family-level impact of genetic testing: integrating health economics and ethical, legal, and social implications. <i>Personalized Medicine</i> , 2021, 18, 209-212.	0.8	6
14	Pediatric Oncologists'™ Experiences Returning and Incorporating Genomic Sequencing Results into Cancer Care. <i>Journal of Personalized Medicine</i> , 2021, 11, 570.	1.1	2
15	Psychological Distress Among the U.S. General Population During the COVID-19 Pandemic. <i>Frontiers in Psychiatry</i> , 2021, 12, 642918.	1.3	22
16	Challenges to Building a Gene Variant Commons to Assess Hereditary Cancer Risk: Results of a Modified Policy Delphi Panel Deliberation. <i>Journal of Personalized Medicine</i> , 2021, 11, 646.	1.1	3
17	Psychosocial Effect of Newborn Genomic Sequencing on Families in the BabySeq Project. <i>JAMA Pediatrics</i> , 2021, 175, 1132.	3.3	35
18	Genetic testing in ambulatory cardiology clinics reveals high rate of findings with clinical management implications. <i>Genetics in Medicine</i> , 2021, 23, 2404-2414.	1.1	14

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Toward better governance of human genomic data. <i>Nature Genetics</i> , 2021, 53, 2-8.	9.4	31
20	Patient, Caregiver, and Decliner Perspectives on Whether to Enroll in Adaptive Deep Brain Stimulation Research. <i>Frontiers in Neuroscience</i> , 2021, 15, 734182.	1.4	4
21	Conceptualization of utility in translational clinical genomics research. <i>American Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2021, 108, 2027-2036.	2.6	11
22	Whoâ€™s on third? Regulation of third-party genetic interpretation services. <i>Genetics in Medicine</i> , 2020, 22, 4-11.	1.1	39
23	The case for implementing sustainable routine, population-level genomic reanalysis. <i>Genetics in Medicine</i> , 2020, 22, 815-816.	1.1	11
24	Essential, not peripheral: Addressing health care workersâ€™ mental health concerns during the COVID-19 pandemic. <i>Journal of Occupational Health</i> , 2020, 62, e12169.	1.0	5
25	Researcher Perspectives on Ethical Considerations in Adaptive Deep Brain Stimulation Trials. <i>Frontiers in Human Neuroscience</i> , 2020, 14, 578695.	1.0	21
26	Ventilator Triage Policies During the COVID-19 Pandemic at U.S. Hospitals Associated With Members of the Association of Bioethics Program Directors. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2020, 173, 188-194.	2.0	137
27	Airmen and health-care providersâ€™ attitudes toward the use of genomic sequencing in the US Air Force: findings from the MilSeq Project. <i>Genetics in Medicine</i> , 2020, 22, 2003-2010.	1.1	2
28	The road ahead in genetics and genomics. <i>Nature Reviews Genetics</i> , 2020, 21, 581-596.	7.7	118
29	Ethical Challenges Arising in the COVID-19 Pandemic: An Overview from the Association of Bioethics Program Directors (ABPD) Task Force. <i>American Journal of Bioethics</i> , 2020, 20, 15-27.	0.5	102
30	Quantifying Downstream Healthcare Utilization in Studies of Genomic Testing. <i>Value in Health</i> , 2020, 23, 559-565.	0.1	6
31	Ethics in Genetic and Genomic Research. , 2020, , 91-110.		4
32	Researcher Perspectives on Data Sharing in Deep Brain Stimulation. <i>Frontiers in Human Neuroscience</i> , 2020, 14, 578687.	1.0	11
33	Ethical, Legal, and Social Implications. , 2020, , 431-442.		2
34	Biomedical Citizen Science or Something Else? Reflections on Terms and Definitions. <i>American Journal of Bioethics</i> , 2019, 19, 17-19.	0.5	11
35	Introduction: Sharing Data in a Medical Information Commons. <i>Journal of Law, Medicine and Ethics</i> , 2019, 47, 7-11.	0.4	11
36	Clarify the HIPAA right of access to individualsâ€™ research data. <i>Nature Biotechnology</i> , 2019, 37, 850-852.	9.4	28

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Neuroethics at 15: Keep the Kant but Add More Bacon. <i>AJOB Neuroscience</i> , 2019, 10, 97-100.	0.6	7
38	Returning a Genomic Result for an Adult-Onset Condition to the Parents of a Newborn: Insights From the BabySeq Project. <i>Pediatrics</i> , 2019, 143, S37-S43.	1.0	45
39	Characterizing the Biomedical Data-Sharing Landscape. <i>Journal of Law, Medicine and Ethics</i> , 2019, 47, 21-30.	0.4	18
40	Hopeful and Concerned: Public Input on Building a Trustworthy Medical Information Commons. <i>Journal of Law, Medicine and Ethics</i> , 2019, 47, 70-87.	0.4	15
41	What is a Medical Information Commons?. <i>Journal of Law, Medicine and Ethics</i> , 2019, 47, 41-50.	0.4	13
42	Device Removal Following Brain Implant Research. <i>Neuron</i> , 2019, 103, 759-761.	3.8	20
43	Genomic Data-Sharing Practices. <i>Journal of Law, Medicine and Ethics</i> , 2019, 47, 31-40.	0.4	12
44	Improving recommendations for genomic medicine: building an evolutionary process from clinical practice advisory documents to guidelines. <i>Genetics in Medicine</i> , 2019, 21, 2431-2438.	1.1	13
45	In support of mitochondrial replacement therapy. <i>Nature Medicine</i> , 2019, 25, 870-871.	15.2	10
46	Responsibility, culpability, and parental views on genomic testing for seriously ill children. <i>Genetics in Medicine</i> , 2019, 21, 2791-2797.	1.1	20
47	Psychological outcomes related to exome and genome sequencing result disclosure: a meta-analysis of seven Clinical Sequencing Exploratory Research (CSER) Consortium studies. <i>Genetics in Medicine</i> , 2019, 21, 2781-2790.	1.1	55
48	Who Owns the Data in a Medical Information Commons?. <i>Journal of Law, Medicine and Ethics</i> , 2019, 47, 62-69.	0.4	18
49	The Genomic Medicine Integrative Research Framework: A Conceptual Framework for Conducting Genomic Medicine Research. <i>American Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2019, 104, 1088-1096.	2.6	35
50	Importance of Participant-Centricity and Trust for a Sustainable Medical Information Commons. <i>Journal of Law, Medicine and Ethics</i> , 2019, 47, 12-20.	0.4	20
51	Predispositional genome sequencing in healthy adults: design, participant characteristics, and early outcomes of the PeopleSeq Consortium. <i>Genome Medicine</i> , 2019, 11, 10.	3.6	41
52	Challenging the Current Recommendations for Carrier Testing in Children. <i>Pediatrics</i> , 2019, 143, S27-S32.	1.0	13
53	Perceived Benefits, Risks, and Utility of Newborn Genomic Sequencing in the BabySeq Project. <i>Pediatrics</i> , 2019, 143, S6-S13.	1.0	47
54	Donors, authors, and owners: how is genomic citizen science addressing interests in research outputs?. <i>BMC Medical Ethics</i> , 2019, 20, 84.	1.0	9

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Parental interest in genomic sequencing of newborns: enrollment experience from the BabySeq Project. <i>Genetics in Medicine</i> , 2019, 21, 622-630.	1.1	61
56	Agents of empathy: How medical interpreters bridge sociocultural gaps in genomic sequencing disclosures with Spanish-speaking families. <i>Patient Education and Counseling</i> , 2019, 102, 895-901.	1.0	14
57	Interpretation of Genomic Sequencing Results in Healthy and Ill Newborns: Results from the BabySeq Project. <i>American Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2019, 104, 76-93.	2.6	176
58	Exome sequencing disclosures in pediatric cancer care: Patterns of communication among oncologists, genetic counselors, and parents. <i>Patient Education and Counseling</i> , 2019, 102, 680-686.	1.0	12
59	Secondary findings from clinical genomic sequencing: prevalence, patient perspectives, family history assessment, and health-care costs from a multisite study. <i>Genetics in Medicine</i> , 2019, 21, 1100-1110.	1.1	111
60	Medical information commons. , 2019, , 281-293.		1
61	Continued access to investigational brain implants. <i>Nature Reviews Neuroscience</i> , 2018, 19, 317-318.	4.9	38
62	How Primary Care Providers Talk to Patients about Genome Sequencing Results: Risk, Rationale, and Recommendation. <i>Journal of General Internal Medicine</i> , 2018, 33, 877-885.	1.3	16
63	Patient understanding of, satisfaction with, and perceived utility of whole-genome sequencing: findings from the MedSeq Project. <i>Genetics in Medicine</i> , 2018, 20, 1069-1076.	1.1	58
64	HEADS4: Social Media Screening in Adolescent Primary Care. <i>Pediatrics</i> , 2018, 141, .	1.0	19
65	The phenotypic spectrum of Xiaâ€™Gibbs syndrome. <i>American Journal of Medical Genetics, Part A</i> , 2018, 176, 1315-1326.	0.7	34
66	Short-term costs of integrating whole-genome sequencing into primary care and cardiology settings: a pilot randomized trial. <i>Genetics in Medicine</i> , 2018, 20, 1544-1553.	1.1	25
67	Navigating the researchâ€™clinical interface in genomic medicine: analysis from the CSER Consortium. <i>Genetics in Medicine</i> , 2018, 20, 545-553.	1.1	34
68	Alienation, Quality of Life, and DBS for Depression. <i>AJOB Neuroscience</i> , 2018, 9, 223-225.	0.6	2
69	Standardizing return of participant results. <i>Science</i> , 2018, 362, 759-760.	6.0	3
70	Should police have access to genetic genealogy databases? Capturing the Golden State Killer and other criminals using a controversial new forensic technique. <i>PLoS Biology</i> , 2018, 16, e2006906.	2.6	97
71	The Clinical Sequencing Evidence-Generating Research Consortium: Integrating Genomic Sequencing in Diverse and Medically Underserved Populations. <i>American Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2018, 103, 319-327.	2.6	122
72	Citizen science, public policy. <i>Science</i> , 2018, 361, 134-136.	6.0	120

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
73	The BabySeq project: implementing genomic sequencing in newborns. BMC Pediatrics, 2018, 18, 225.	0.7	115
74	Reconciling newborn screening and a novel splice variant in <i>BTD</i> associated with partial biotinidase deficiency: a BabySeq Project case report. Journal of Physical Education and Sports Management, 2018, 4, a002873.	0.5	7
75	Genealogy databases and the future of criminal investigation. Science, 2018, 360, 1078-1079.	6.0	71
76	Newborn Sequencing in Genomic Medicine and Public Health. Pediatrics, 2017, 139, .	1.0	174
77	Should you profit from your genome?. Nature Biotechnology, 2017, 35, 18-20.	9.4	17
78	A curated gene list for reporting results of newborn genomic sequencing. Genetics in Medicine, 2017, 19, 809-818.	1.1	79
79	When bins blur: Patient perspectives on categories of results from clinical whole genome sequencing. AJOB Empirical Bioethics, 2017, 8, 82-88.	0.8	34
80	Myriad take two: Can genomic databases remain secret?. Science, 2017, 356, 586-587.	6.0	9
81	Sharing data under the 21st Century Cures Act. Genetics in Medicine, 2017, 19, 1289-1294.	1.1	20
82	Consumer Perspectives on Access to Direct-to-Consumer Genetic Testing: Role of Demographic Factors and the Testing Experience. Milbank Quarterly, 2017, 95, 291-318.	2.1	22
83	Moving beyond Bermuda: sharing data to build a medical information commons. Genome Research, 2017, 27, 897-901.	2.4	27
84	The price of whole-genome sequencing may be decreasing, but who will be sequenced?. Personalized Medicine, 2017, 14, 203-211.	0.8	7
85	Communication challenges for nongeneticist physicians relaying clinical genomic results. Personalized Medicine, 2017, 14, 423-431.	0.8	36
86	Should We Be Concerned About Preserving Agency and Personal Identity in Patients With Adaptive Deep Brain Stimulation Systems?. AJOB Neuroscience, 2017, 8, 73-75.	0.6	11
87	Barriers to clinical adoption of next-generation sequencing: a policy Delphi panel's solutions. Personalized Medicine, 2017, 14, 339-354.	0.8	15
88	The Impact of Whole-Genome Sequencing on the Primary Care and Outcomes of Healthy Adult Patients. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2017, 167, 159.	2.0	145
89	Creating a data resource: what will it take to build a medical information commons?. Genome Medicine, 2017, 9, 84.	3.6	36
90	Portero versus portador: Spanish interpretation of genomic terminology during whole exome sequencing results disclosure. Personalized Medicine, 2017, 14, 503-514.	0.8	17

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
91	Parental Perspectives on Whole-Exome Sequencing in Pediatric Cancer: A Typology of Perceived Utility. <i>JCO Precision Oncology</i> , 2017, 1, 1-10.	1.5	26
92	Do privacy and security regulations need a status update? Perspectives from an intergenerational survey. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2017, 12, e0184525.	1.1	8
93	Constraints on gene patent protection fuel secrecy concerns: a qualitative study. <i>Journal of Law and the Biosciences</i> , 2017, 4, 542-564.	0.8	2
94	Beyond Our Borders? Public Resistance to Global Genomic Data Sharing. <i>PLoS Biology</i> , 2016, 14, e2000206.	2.6	33
95	Developing context-specific next-generation sequencing policy. <i>Nature Biotechnology</i> , 2016, 34, 466-470.	9.4	5
96	Clinical Sequencing Exploratory Research Consortium: Accelerating Evidence-Based Practice of Genomic Medicine. <i>American Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2016, 98, 1051-1066.	2.6	137
97	Return of individual genomic research results: what do consent forms tell participants?. <i>European Journal of Human Genetics</i> , 2016, 24, 1524-1529.	1.4	14
98	Ethical and Legal Challenges Associated with Public Molecular Autopsies. <i>Journal of Law, Medicine and Ethics</i> , 2016, 44, 309-318.	0.4	4
99	The ethics of conducting molecular autopsies in cases of sudden death in the young. <i>Genome Research</i> , 2016, 26, 1165-1169.	2.4	20
100	An open access pilot freely sharing cancer genomic data from participants in Texas. <i>Scientific Data</i> , 2016, 3, 160010.	2.4	19
101	Is Whole-Exome Sequencing an Ethically Disruptive Technology? Perspectives of Pediatric Oncologists and Parents of Pediatric Patients With Solid Tumors. <i>Pediatric Blood and Cancer</i> , 2016, 63, 511-515.	0.8	39
102	Legal Barriers to Adolescent Participation in Research About HIV and Other Sexually Transmitted Infections. <i>American Journal of Public Health</i> , 2016, 106, 40-44.	1.5	24
103	Barriers to clinical adoption of next generation sequencing: Perspectives of a policy Delphi panel. <i>Applied & Translational Genomics</i> , 2016, 10, 19-24.	2.1	30
104	Patients' perceived utility of whole-genome sequencing for their healthcare: findings from the MedSeq project. <i>Personalized Medicine</i> , 2016, 13, 13-20.	0.8	31
105	Diagnostic Yield of Clinical Tumor and Germline Whole-Exome Sequencing for Children With Solid Tumors. <i>JAMA Oncology</i> , 2016, 2, 616.	3.4	378
106	Potential Psychosocial Risks of Sequencing Newborns. <i>Pediatrics</i> , 2016, 137, S24-S29.	1.0	47
107	Persistent confusion and controversy surrounding gene patents. <i>Nature Biotechnology</i> , 2016, 34, 145-147.	9.4	8
108	Community crystal gazing. <i>Nature Biotechnology</i> , 2016, 34, 276-283.	9.4	1

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
109	Participants and Study Declinersâ€™ Perspectives About the Risks of Participating in a Clinical Trial of Whole Genome Sequencing. <i>Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics</i> , 2016, 11, 21-30.	0.6	41
110	Cultivating Administrative Support for a Clinical Ethics Consultation Service. <i>Journal of Clinical Ethics</i> , 2016, 27, 341-351.	0.1	1
111	Secondary findings and carrier test frequencies in a large multiethnic sample. <i>Genome Medicine</i> , 2015, 7, 54.	3.6	47
112	Marginally scientific? Genetic testing of children and adolescents for lifestyle and health promotion. <i>Journal of Law and the Biosciences</i> , 2015, 2, lsv038.	0.8	12
113	Pregnant patients' risk perception of prenatal test results with uncertain fetal clinical significance: ultrasound versus advanced genetic testing. <i>Prenatal Diagnosis</i> , 2015, 35, 1213-1217.	1.1	11
114	It depends whose data are being shared: considerations for genomic data sharing policies. <i>Journal of Law and the Biosciences</i> , 2015, 2, lsv030.	0.8	4
115	â€˜Someday it will be the normâ€™: physician perspectives on the utility of genome sequencing for patient care in the MedSeqProject. <i>Personalized Medicine</i> , 2015, 12, 23-32.	0.8	40
116	How behavioral economics can help to avoid â€˜The last mile problemâ€™ in whole genome sequencing. <i>Genome Medicine</i> , 2015, 7, 3.	3.6	14
117	GINA, Genetic Discrimination, and Genomic Medicine. <i>New England Journal of Medicine</i> , 2015, 372, 397-399.	13.9	141
118	Open Access Data Sharing in Genomic Research. <i>Genes</i> , 2014, 5, 739-747.	1.0	26
119	Obtaining informed consent for clinical tumor and germline exome sequencing of newly diagnosed childhood cancer patients. <i>Genome Medicine</i> , 2014, 6, 69.	3.6	60
120	Guidelines for return of research results from pediatric genomic studies: deliberations of the Boston Childrenâ€™s Hospital Gene Partnership Informed Cohort Oversight Board. <i>Genetics in Medicine</i> , 2014, 16, 547-552.	1.1	49
121	Social and behavioral research in genomic sequencing: approaches from the Clinical Sequencing Exploratory Research Consortium Outcomes and Measures Working Group. <i>Genetics in Medicine</i> , 2014, 16, 727-735.	1.1	60
122	Development of the clinical next-generation sequencing industry in a shifting policy climate. <i>Nature Biotechnology</i> , 2014, 32, 980-982.	9.4	25
123	Overcoming the Reimbursement Barriers for Clinical Sequencing. <i>JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association</i> , 2014, 312, 1857.	3.8	35
124	Can I be sued for that? Liability risk and the disclosure of clinically significant genetic research findings. <i>Genome Research</i> , 2014, 24, 719-723.	2.4	31
125	Pediatric Data Sharing in Genomic Research: Attitudes and Preferences of Parents. <i>Pediatrics</i> , 2014, 133, 690-697.	1.0	36
126	The MedSeq Project: a randomized trial of integrating whole genome sequencing into clinical medicine. <i>Trials</i> , 2014, 15, 85.	0.7	122

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
127	ACMG recommendations for reporting of incidental findings in clinical exome and genome sequencing. <i>Genetics in Medicine</i> , 2013, 15, 565-574.	1.1	2,186
128	Identifying Personal Genomes by Surname Inference. <i>Science</i> , 2013, 339, 321-324.	6.0	936
129	The Indispensable Role of Professional Judgment in Genomic Medicine. <i>JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association</i> , 2013, 309, 1465.	3.8	32
130	Participants' Recall and Understanding of Genomic Research and Large-Scale Data Sharing. <i>Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics</i> , 2013, 8, 42-52.	0.6	42
131	Personalized genomic disease risk of volunteers. <i>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America</i> , 2013, 110, 16957-16962.	3.3	44
132	Policy Uncertainty, Sequencing, and Cell Lines. <i>G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics</i> , 2013, 3, 1205-1207.	0.8	6
133	Ethics and Genomic Incidental Findings. <i>Science</i> , 2013, 340, 1047-1048.	6.0	160
134	Returning genetic research results: study type matters. <i>Personalized Medicine</i> , 2013, 10, 27-34.	0.8	20
135	Disclosing pathogenic genetic variants to research participants: Quantifying an emerging ethical responsibility. <i>Genome Research</i> , 2012, 22, 421-428.	2.4	79
136	The legal risks of returning results of genomics research. <i>Genetics in Medicine</i> , 2012, 14, 473-477.	1.1	110
137	Return of individual research results from genome-wide association studies: experience of the Electronic Medical Records and Genomics (eMERGE) Network. <i>Genetics in Medicine</i> , 2012, 14, 424-431.	1.1	94
138	Currents in Contemporary Bioethics. <i>Journal of Law, Medicine and Ethics</i> , 2012, 40, 1040-1046.	0.4	7
139	“Snake-oil,” “quack medicine,” and “industrially cultured organisms”: biovalue and the commercialization of human microbiome research. <i>BMC Medical Ethics</i> , 2012, 13, 28.	1.0	39
140	Perspectives on Human Microbiome Research Ethics. <i>Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics</i> , 2012, 7, 1-14.	0.6	46
141	Direct-to-Consumer Genetic Testing: Perceptions, Problems, and Policy Responses. <i>Annual Review of Medicine</i> , 2012, 63, 23-33.	5.0	109
142	The Human Microbiome Project: A Community Resource for the Healthy Human Microbiome. <i>PLoS Biology</i> , 2012, 10, e1001377.	2.6	369
143	Exploring the ELSI universe: critical issues in the evolution of human genomic research. <i>Genome Medicine</i> , 2011, 3, 38.	3.6	14
144	Shaping Patients' Decisions. <i>Chest</i> , 2011, 139, 424-429.	0.4	25

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
145	Ethical and practical challenges of sharing data from genome-wide association studies: The eMERGE Consortium experience. <i>Genome Research</i> , 2011, 21, 1001-1007.	2.4	68
146	To share or not to share: A randomized trial of consent for data sharing in genome research. <i>Genetics in Medicine</i> , 2011, 13, 948-955.	1.1	96
147	Health System Implications of Direct-to-Consumer Personal Genome Testing. <i>Public Health Genomics</i> , 2011, 14, 53-58.	0.6	37
148	Personal genome research : what should the participant be told?. <i>Trends in Genetics</i> , 2010, 26, 199-201.	2.9	68
149	Personalized genomic information: preparing for the future of genetic medicine. <i>Nature Reviews Genetics</i> , 2010, 11, 161-165.	7.7	106
150	Taking DNA from the dead. <i>Nature Reviews Genetics</i> , 2010, 11, 318-318.	7.7	8
151	Regulating Direct-to-Consumer Personal Genome Testing. <i>Science</i> , 2010, 330, 181-182.	6.0	43
152	Responseâ€”Regulating Genetic Tests: Who Owns the Data?. <i>Science</i> , 2010, 330, 1626-1627.	6.0	0
153	Confronting real time ethical, legal, and social issues in the Electronic Medical Records and Genomics (eMERGE) Consortium. <i>Genetics in Medicine</i> , 2010, 12, 616-620.	1.1	55
154	Whole-Genome Sequencing in a Patient with Charcotâ€”Marieâ€”Tooth Neuropathy. <i>New England Journal of Medicine</i> , 2010, 362, 1181-1191.	13.9	698
155	Informed Consent in Genomics and Genetic Research. <i>Annual Review of Genomics and Human Genetics</i> , 2010, 11, 361-381.	2.5	163
156	Ethical and Practical Guidelines for Reporting Genetic Research Results to Study Participants. <i>Circulation: Cardiovascular Genetics</i> , 2010, 3, 574-580.	5.1	328
157	The ethical use of existing samples for genome research. <i>Genetics in Medicine</i> , 2009, 11, 712-715.	1.1	52
158	Social Networkers' Attitudes Toward Direct-to-Consumer Personal Genome Testing. <i>American Journal of Bioethics</i> , 2009, 9, 3-10.	0.5	189
159	Developing a Tissue Resource to Characterize the Genome of Pancreatic Cancer. <i>World Journal of Surgery</i> , 2009, 33, 723-731.	0.8	9
160	Currents in Contemporary Ethics. <i>Journal of Law, Medicine and Ethics</i> , 2009, 37, 369-374.	0.4	35
161	The futility of genomic counseling: essential role of electronic health records. <i>Genome Medicine</i> , 2009, 1, 48.	3.6	21
162	Two cheers for GINA?. <i>Genome Medicine</i> , 2009, 1, 6.	3.6	34

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
163	Paving the Way to Personalized Genomic Medicine: Steps to Successful Implementation. <i>Current Pharmacogenomics and Personalized Medicine</i> , 2009, 7, 125-132.	0.2	30
164	The complete genome of an individual by massively parallel DNA sequencing. <i>Nature</i> , 2008, 452, 872-876.	13.7	1,635
165	Research ethics and the challenge of whole-genome sequencing. <i>Nature Reviews Genetics</i> , 2008, 9, 152-156.	7.7	201
166	An Unwelcome Side Effect of Direct-to-Consumer Personal Genome Testing. <i>JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association</i> , 2008, 300, 2669.	3.8	232
167	Ethical, legal, and social considerations in conducting the Human Microbiome Project. <i>Genome Research</i> , 2008, 18, 1861-1864.	2.4	68
168	Research Ethics Recommendations for Whole-Genome Research: Consensus Statement. <i>PLoS Biology</i> , 2008, 6, e73.	2.6	212
169	Identifiability of DNA Data: The Need for Consistent Federal Policy. <i>American Journal of Bioethics</i> , 2008, 8, 75-76.	0.5	21
170	Don't throw the baby out with the bathwater: Enabling a bottom-up approach in genome-wide association studies: Figure 1.. <i>Genome Research</i> , 2008, 18, 1683-1685.	2.4	49
171	1000 Genomes: on the road to personalized medicine. <i>Personalized Medicine</i> , 2008, 5, 195-197.	0.8	9
172	DNA data sharing: research participants' perspectives. <i>Genetics in Medicine</i> , 2008, 10, 46-53.	1.1	98
173	Confidentiality, privacy, and security of genetic and genomic test information in electronic health records: points to consider. <i>Genetics in Medicine</i> , 2008, 10, 495-499.	1.1	111
174	The Future of Personal Genomics. <i>Science</i> , 2007, 317, 1687-1687.	6.0	53
175	Currents in Contemporary Ethics. <i>Journal of Law, Medicine and Ethics</i> , 2006, 34, 809-812.	0.4	13
176	GENETICS: No Longer De-Identified. <i>Science</i> , 2006, 312, 370-371.	6.0	164
177	Missed Expectations?. <i>Medical Care</i> , 2005, 43, 466-470.	1.1	54
178	The Ethical Health Lawyer. <i>Journal of Law, Medicine and Ethics</i> , 2005, 33, 603-607.	0.4	2
179	Respect as an Organizing Normative Category for Research Ethics. <i>American Journal of Bioethics</i> , 2005, 5, W1-W2.	0.5	3
180	To the Editor. <i>American Journal of Bioethics</i> , 2005, 5, W1-W1.	0.5	9

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
181	A Typology of Shared Decision Making, Informed Consent, and Simple Consent. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2004, 140, 54.	2.0	447
182	“Idealists and capitalists” ownership attitudes and preferences in genomic citizen science. <i>New Genetics and Society</i> , 0, , 1-22.	0.7	1