Amy L Mcguire

List of Publications by Year
in descending order

182	14,376	38660 50	21474 114
papers	citations	h-index	g-index

187	187	187	16195
all docs	docs citations	times ranked	citing authors

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	ACMG recommendations for reporting of incidental findings in clinical exome and genome sequencing. Genetics in Medicine, 2013, 15, 565-574.	1.1	2,186
2	The complete genome of an individual by massively parallel DNA sequencing. Nature, 2008, 452, 872-876.	13.7	1,635
3	Identifying Personal Genomes by Surname Inference. Science, 2013, 339, 321-324.	6.0	936
4	Whole-Genome Sequencing in a Patient with Charcotâ€“Marieâ€“Tooth Neuropathy. New England Journal of Medicine, 2010, 362, 1181-1191.	13.9	698
5	A Typology of Shared Decision Making, Informed Consent, and Simple Consent. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2004, 140, 54.	2.0	447
6	Diagnostic Yield of Clinical Tumor and Germline Whole-Exome Sequencing for Children With Solid Tumors. JAMA Oncology, 2016, 2, 616.	3.4	378
7	The Human Microbiome Project: A Community Resource for the Healthy Human Microbiome. PLoS Biology, 2012, 10, e1001377.	2.6	369
8	Ethical and Practical Guidelines for Reporting Genetic Research Results to Study Participants. Circulation: Cardiovascular Genetics, 2010, 3, 574-580.	5.1	328
9	An Unwelcome Side Effect of Direct-to-Consumer Personal Genome Testing. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2008, 300, 2669.	3.8	232
10	Research Ethics Recommendations for Whole-Genome Research: Consensus Statement. PLoS Biology, 2008, 6, e73.	2.6	212
11	Research ethics and the challenge of whole-genome sequencing. Nature Reviews Genetics, 2008, 9, 152-156.	7.7	201
12	Social Networkers' Attitudes Toward Direct-to-Consumer Personal Genome Testing. American Journal of Bioethics, 2009, 9, 3-10.	0.5	189
13	Interpretation of Genomic Sequencing Results in Healthy and Ill Newborns: Results from the BabySeq Project. American Journal of Human Genetics, 2019, 104, 76-93.	2.6	176
14	Newborn Sequencing in Genomic Medicine and Public Health. Pediatrics, 2017, 139, .	1.0	174
15	GENETICS: No Longer De-Identified. Science, 2006, 312, 370-371.	6.0	164
16	Informed Consent in Genomics and Genetic Research. Annual Review of Genomics and Human Genetics, 2010, 11, 361-381.	2.5	163
17	Ethics and Genomic Incidental Findings. Science, 2013, 340, 1047-1048.	6.0	160
18	The Impact of Whole-Genome Sequencing on the Primary Care and Outcomes of Healthy Adult Patients. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2017, 167, 159.	2.0	145

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	GINA, Genetic Discrimination, and Genomic Medicine. New England Journal of Medicine, 2015, 372, 397-399.	13.9	141
20	Clinical Sequencing Exploratory Research Consortium: Accelerating Evidence-Based Practice of Genomic Medicine. American Journal of Human Genetics, 2016, 98, 1051-1066.	2.6	137
21	Ventilator Triage Policies During the COVID-19 Pandemic at U.S. Hospitals Associated With Members of the Association of Bioethics Program Directors. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2020, 173, 188-194.	2.0	137
22	The MedSeq Project: a randomized trial of integrating whole genome sequencing into clinical medicine. Trials, 2014, 15, 85.	0.7	122
23	The Clinical Sequencing Evidence-Generating Research Consortium: Integrating Genomic Sequencing in Diverse and Medically Underserved Populations. American Journal of Human Genetics, 2018, 103, 319-327.	2.6	122
24	Citizen science, public policy. Science, 2018, 361, 134-136.	6.0	120
25	The road ahead in genetics and genomics. Nature Reviews Genetics, 2020, 21, 581-596.	7.7	118
26	The BabySeq project: implementing genomic sequencing in newborns. BMC Pediatrics, 2018, 18, 225.	0.7	115
27	Confidentiality, privacy, and security of genetic and genomic test information in electronic health records: points to consider. Genetics in Medicine, 2008, 10, 495-499.	1.1	111
28	Secondary findings from clinical genomic sequencing: prevalence, patient perspectives, family history assessment, and health-care costs from a multisite study. Genetics in Medicine, 2019, 21, 1100-1110.	1.1	111
29	The legal risks of returning results of genomics research. Genetics in Medicine, 2012, 14, 473-477.	1.1	110
30	Direct-to-Consumer Genetic Testing: Perceptions, Problems, and Policy Responses. Annual Review of Medicine, 2012, 63, 23-33.	5.0	109
31	Personalized genomic information: preparing for the future of genetic medicine. Nature Reviews Genetics, 2010, 11, 161-165.	7.7	106
32	Ethical Challenges Arising in the COVID-19 Pandemic: An Overview from the Association of Bioethics Program Directors (ABPD) Task Force. American Journal of Bioethics, 2020, 20, 15-27.	0.5	102
33	DNA data sharing: research participants' perspectives. Genetics in Medicine, 2008, 10, 46-53.	1.1	98
34	Should police have access to genetic genealogy databases? Capturing the Golden State Killer and other criminals using a controversial new forensic technique. PLoS Biology, 2018, 16, e2006906.	2.6	97
35	To share or not to share: A randomized trial of consent for data sharing in genome research. Genetics in Medicine, 2011, 13, 948-955.	1.1	96
36	Return of individual research results from genome-wide association studies: experience of the Electronic Medical Records and Genomics (eMERGE) Network. Genetics in Medicine, 2012, 14, 424-431.	1.1	94

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	Disclosing pathogenic genetic variants to research participants: Quantifying an emerging ethical responsibility. Genome Research, 2012, 22, 421-428.	2.4	79
38	A curated gene list for reporting results of newborn genomic sequencing. Genetics in Medicine, 2017, 19, 809-818.	1.1	79
39	Genealogy databases and the future of criminal investigation. Science, 2018, 360, 1078-1079.	6.0	71
40	Ethical, legal, and social considerations in conducting the Human Microbiome Project. Genome Research, 2008, 18, 1861-1864.	2.4	68
41	Personal genome research : what should the participant be told?. Trends in Genetics, 2010, 26, 199-201.	2.9	68
42	Ethical and practical challenges of sharing data from genome-wide association studies: The eMERGE Consortium experience. Genome Research, 2011, 21, 1001-1007.	2.4	68
43	Parental interest in genomic sequencing of newborns: enrollment experience from the BabySeq Project. Genetics in Medicine, 2019, 21, 622-630.	1.1	61
44	Obtaining informed consent for clinical tumor and germline exome sequencing of newly diagnosed childhood cancer patients. Genome Medicine, 2014, 6, 69.	3.6	60
45	Social and behavioral research in genomic sequencing: approaches from the Clinical Sequencing Exploratory Research Consortium Outcomes and Measures Working Group. Genetics in Medicine, 2014, 16, 727-735.	1.1	60
46	Patient understanding of, satisfaction with, and perceived utility of whole-genome sequencing: findings from the MedSeq Project. Genetics in Medicine, 2018, 20, 1069-1076.	1.1	58
47	Confronting real time ethical, legal, and social issues in the Electronic Medical Records and Genomics (eMERGE) Consortium. Genetics in Medicine, 2010, 12, 616-620.	1.1	55
48	Psychological outcomes related to exome and genome sequencing result disclosure: a meta-analysis of seven Clinical Sequencing Exploratory Research (CSER) Consortium studies. Genetics in Medicine, 2019, 21, 2781-2790.	1.1	55
49	Missed Expectations?. Medical Care, 2005, 43, 466-470.	1.1	54
50	The Future of Personal Genomics. Science, 2007, 317, 1687-1687.	6.0	53
51	The ethical use of existing samples for genome research. Genetics in Medicine, 2009, 11, 712-715.	1.1	52
52	Donâ€™t throw the baby out with the bathwater: Enabling a bottom-up approach in genome-wide association studies: Figure 1.. Genome Research, 2008, 18, 1683-1685.	2.4	49
53	Guidelines for return of research results from pediatric genomic studies: deliberations of the Boston Childrenâ€™s Hospital Gene Partnership Informed Cohort Oversight Board. Genetics in Medicine, 2014, 16, 547-552.	1.1	49
54	Secondary findings and carrier test frequencies in a large multiethnic sample. Genome Medicine, 2015, 7, 54.	3.6	47

#	Article	IF	Citations
55	Potential Psychosocial Risks of Sequencing Newborns. Pediatrics, 2016, 137, S24-S29.	1.0	47
56	Perceived Benefits, Risks, and Utility of Newborn Genomic Sequencing in the BabySeq Project. Pediatrics, 2019, 143, S6-S13.	1.0	47
57	Perspectives on Human Microbiome Research Ethics. Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics, 2012, 7, 1-14.	0.6	46
58	Returning a Genomic Result for an Adult-Onset Condition to the Parents of a Newborn: Insights From the BabySeq Project. Pediatrics, 2019, 143, S37-S43.	1.0	45
59	Personalized genomic disease risk of volunteers. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2013, 110, 16957-16962.	3.3	44
60	Direct-to-Consumer Genetic Testing: Value and Risk. Annual Review of Medicine, 2021, 72, 151-166.	5.0	44
61	Regulating Direct-to-Consumer Personal Genome Testing. Science, 2010, 330, 181-182.	6.0	43
62	Participants' Recall and Understanding of Genomic Research and Large-Scale Data Sharing. Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics, 2013, 8, 42-52.	0.6	42
63	Participants and Study Declinersâ€™ Perspectives About the Risks of Participating in a Clinical Trial of Whole Genome Sequencing. Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics, 2016, 11, 21-30.	0.6	41
64	Predispositional genome sequencing in healthy adults: design, participant characteristics, and early outcomes of the PeopleSeq Consortium. Genome Medicine, 2019, 11, 10.	3.6	41
65	â€˜Someday it will be the normâ€™: physician perspectives on the utility of genome sequencing for patient care in the MedSeqProject. Personalized Medicine, 2015, 12, 23-32.	0.8	40
66	â€œSnake-oil,â€•â€œquack medicine,â€•and â€œindustrially cultured organisms:â€•biovalue and the commercialization of human microbiome research. BMC Medical Ethics, 2012, 13, 28.	1.0	39
67	Is Whole-Exome Sequencing an Ethically Disruptive Technology? Perspectives of Pediatric Oncologists and Parents of Pediatric Patients With Solid Tumors. Pediatric Blood and Cancer, 2016, 63, 511-515.	0.8	39
68	Whoâ€™s on third? Regulation of third-party genetic interpretation services. Genetics in Medicine, 2020, 22, 4-11.	1.1	39
69	Continued access to investigational brain implants. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 2018, 19, 317-318.	4.9	38
70	Health System Implications of Direct-to-Consumer Personal Genome Testing. Public Health Genomics, 2011, 14, 53-58.	0.6	37
71	Pediatric Data Sharing in Genomic Research: Attitudes and Preferences of Parents. Pediatrics, 2014, 133, 690-697.	1.0	36
72	Communication challenges for nongeneticist physicians relaying clinical genomic results. Personalized Medicine, 2017, 14, 423-431.	0.8	36

#	Article	IF	Citations
73	Creating a data resource: what will it take to build a medical information commons?. Genome Medicine, 2017, 9, 84.	3.6	36
74	Currents in Contemporary Ethics. Journal of Law, Medicine and Ethics, 2009, 37, 369-374.	0.4	35
75	Overcoming the Reimbursement Barriers for Clinical Sequencing. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2014, 312, 1857.	3.8	35
76	The Genomic Medicine Integrative Research Framework: A Conceptual Framework for Conducting Genomic Medicine Research. American Journal of Human Genetics, 2019, 104, 1088-1096.	2.6	35
77	Psychosocial Effect of Newborn Genomic Sequencing on Families in the BabySeq Project. JAMA Pediatrics, 2021, 175, 1132.	3.3	35
78	Two cheers for GINA?. Genome Medicine, 2009, 1, 6.	3.6	34
79	When bins blur: Patient perspectives on categories of results from clinical whole genome sequencing. AJOB Empirical Bioethics, 2017, 8, 82-88.	0.8	34
80	The phenotypic spectrum of Xiaâ€Cibbs syndrome. American Journal of Medical Genetics, Part A, 2018, 176, 1315-1326.	0.7	34
81	Navigating the researchâ€“clinical interface in genomic medicine: analysis from the CSER Consortium. Genetics in Medicine, 2018, 20, 545-553.	1.1	34
82	Beyond Our Borders? Public Resistance to Global Genomic Data Sharing. PLoS Biology, 2016, 14, e2000206.	2.6	33
83	The Indispensable Role of Professional Judgment in Genomic Medicine. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2013, 309, 1465.	3.8	32
84	How NFTs could transform health information exchange. Science, 2022, 375, 500-502.	6.0	32
85	Can I be sued for that? Liability risk and the disclosure of clinically significant genetic research findings. Genome Research, 2014, 24, 719-723.	2.4	31
86	Patientsâ€™ perceived utility of whole-genome sequencing for their healthcare: findings from the MedSeq project. Personalized Medicine, 2016, 13, 13-20.	0.8	31
87	Toward better governance of human genomic data. Nature Genetics, 2021, 53, 2-8.	9.4	31
88	Barriers to clinical adoption of next generation sequencing: Perspectives of a policy Delphi panel. Applied & Translational Genomics, 2016, 10, 19-24.	2.1	30
89	Paving the Way to Personalized Genomic Medicine: Steps to Successful Implementation. Current Pharmacogenomics and Personalized Medicine, 2009, 7, 125-132.	0.2	30
90	Clarify the HIPAA right of access to individualsâ€™ research data. Nature Biotechnology, 2019, 37, 850-852.	9.4	28

#	Article	IF	Citations
91	Moving beyond Bermuda: sharing data to build a medical information commons. Genome Research, 2017, 27, 897-901.	2.4	27
92	Open Access Data Sharing in Genomic Research. Genes, 2014, 5, 739-747.	1.0	26
93	Parental Perspectives on Whole-Exome Sequencing in Pediatric Cancer: A Typology of Perceived Utility. JCO Precision Oncology, 2017, 1, 1-10.	1.5	26
94	Shaping Patients' Decisions. Chest, 2011, 139, 424-429.	0.4	25
95	Development of the clinical next-generation sequencing industry in a shifting policy climate. Nature Biotechnology, 2014, 32, 980-982.	9.4	25
96	Short-term costs of integrating whole-genome sequencing into primary care and cardiology settings: a pilot randomized trial. Genetics in Medicine, 2018, 20, 1544-1553.	1.1	25
97	Legal Barriers to Adolescent Participation in Research About HIV and Other Sexually Transmitted Infections. American Journal of Public Health, 2016, 106, 40-44.	1.5	24
98	Consumer Perspectives on Access to Directâ€toâ€Consumer Genetic Testing: Role of Demographic Factors and the Testing Experience. Milbank Quarterly, 2017, 95, 291-318.	2.1	22
99	Psychological Distress Among the U.S. General Population During the COVID-19 Pandemic. Frontiers in Psychiatry, 2021, 12, 642918.	1.3	22
100	Identifiability of DNA Data: The Need for Consistent Federal Policy. American Journal of Bioethics, 2008, 8, 75-76.	0.5	21
101	The futility of genomic counseling: essential role of electronic health records. Genome Medicine, 2009, 1, 48.	3.6	21
102	Researcher Perspectives on Ethical Considerations in Adaptive Deep Brain Stimulation Trials. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 2020, 14, 578695.	1.0	21
103	Perceived Utility of Genomic Sequencing: Qualitative Analysis and Synthesis of a Conceptual Model to Inform Patient-Centered Instrument Development. Patient, 2022, 15, 317-328.	1.1	21
104	Returning genetic research results: study type matters. Personalized Medicine, 2013, 10, 27-34.	0.8	20
105	The ethics of conducting molecular autopsies in cases of sudden death in the young. Genome Research, 2016, 26, 1165-1169.	2.4	20
106	Sharing data under the 21st Century Cures Act. Genetics in Medicine, 2017, 19, 1289-1294.	1.1	20
107	Device Removal Following Brain Implant Research. Neuron, 2019, 103, 759-761.	3.8	20
108	Responsibility, culpability, and parental views on genomic testing for seriously ill children. Genetics in Medicine, 2019, 21, 2791-2797.	1.1	20

#	Article	IF	Citations
109	Importance of Participant-Centricity and Trust for a Sustainable Medical Information Commons. Journal of Law, Medicine and Ethics, 2019, 47, 12-20.	0.4	20
110	Four misconceptions about investigative genetic genealogy. Journal of Law and the Biosciences, 2021, 8, lsab001.	0.8	20
111	An open access pilot freely sharing cancer genomic data from participants in Texas. Scientific Data, 2016, 3, 160010.	2.4	19
112	HEADS4: Social Media Screening in Adolescent Primary Care. Pediatrics, 2018, 141, .	1.0	19
113	Parental Attitudes Toward Standard Newborn Screening and Newborn Genomic Sequencing: Findings From the BabySeq Study. Frontiers in Genetics, 2022, 13, 867371.	1.1	19
114	Characterizing the Biomedical Data-Sharing Landscape. Journal of Law, Medicine and Ethics, 2019, 47, 21-30.	0.4	18
115	Who Owns the Data in a Medical Information Commons?. Journal of Law, Medicine and Ethics, 2019, 47, 62-69.	0.4	18
116	Should you profit from your genome?. Nature Biotechnology, 2017, 35, 18-20.	9.4	17
117	Portero versus portador: Spanish interpretation of genomic terminology during whole exome sequencing results disclosure. Personalized Medicine, 2017, 14, 503-514.	0.8	17
118	How Primary Care Providers Talk to Patients about Genome Sequencing Results: Risk, Rationale, and Recommendation. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2018, 33, 877-885.	1.3	16
119	Barriers to clinical adoption of next-generation sequencing: a policy Delphi panel's solutions. Personalized Medicine, 2017, 14, 339-354.	0.8	15
120	Hopeful and Concerned: Public Input on Building a Trustworthy Medical Information Commons. Journal of Law, Medicine and Ethics, 2019, 47, 70-87.	0.4	15
121	Exploring the ELSI universe: critical issues in the evolution of human genomic research. Genome Medicine, 2011, 3, 38.	3.6	14
122	How behavioral economics can help to avoid â€˜The last mile problemâ€™ in whole genome sequencing. Genome Medicine, 2015, 7, 3.	3.6	14
123	Return of individual genomic research results: what do consent forms tell participants?. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2016, 24, 1524-1529.	1.4	14
124	Agents of empathy: How medical interpreters bridge sociocultural gaps in genomic sequencing disclosures with Spanish-speaking families. Patient Education and Counseling, 2019, 102, 895-901.	1.0	14
125	Genetic testing in ambulatory cardiology clinics reveals high rate of findings with clinical management implications. Genetics in Medicine, 2021, 23, 2404-2414.	1.1	14
126	Currents in Contemporary Ethics. Journal of Law, Medicine and Ethics, 2006, 34, 809-812.	0.4	13

#	Article	IF	Citations
127	What is a Medical Information Commons?. Journal of Law, Medicine and Ethics, 2019, 47, 41-50.	0.4	13
128	Improving recommendations for genomic medicine: building an evolutionary process from clinical practice advisory documents to guidelines. Genetics in Medicine, 2019, 21, 2431-2438.	1.1	13
129	Challenging the Current Recommendations for Carrier Testing in Children. Pediatrics, 2019, 143, S27-S32.	1.0	13
130	Researcher Views on Changes in Personality, Mood, and Behavior in Next-Generation Deep Brain Stimulation. AJOB Neuroscience, 2023, 14, 287-299.	0.6	13
131	Marginally scientific? Genetic testing of children and adolescents for lifestyle and health promotion. Journal of Law and the Biosciences, 2015, 2, lsv038.	0.8	12
132	Genomic Data-Sharing Practices. Journal of Law, Medicine and Ethics, 2019, 47, 31-40.	0.4	12
133	Exome sequencing disclosures in pediatric cancer care: Patterns of communication among oncologists, genetic counselors, and parents. Patient Education and Counseling, 2019, 102, 680-686.	1.0	12
134	Family secrets: Experiences and outcomes of participating in direct-to-consumer genetic relative-finder services. American Journal of Human Genetics, 2022, 109, 486-497.	2.6	12
135	Pregnant patients' risk perception of prenatal test results with uncertain fetal clinical significance: ultrasound versus advanced genetic testing. Prenatal Diagnosis, 2015, 35, 1213-1217.	1.1	11
136	Should We Be Concerned About Preserving Agency and Personal Identity in Patients With Adaptive Deep Brain Stimulation Systems?. AJOB Neuroscience, 2017, 8, 73-75.	0.6	11
137	Biomedical Citizen Science or Something Else? Reflections on Terms and Definitions. American Journal of Bioethics, 2019, 19, 17-19.	0.5	11
138	Introduction: Sharing Data in a Medical Information Commons. Journal of Law, Medicine and Ethics, 2019, 47, 7-11.	0.4	11
139	The case for implementing sustainable routine, population-level genomic reanalysis. Genetics in Medicine, 2020, 22, 815-816.	1.1	11
140	Researcher Perspectives on Data Sharing in Deep Brain Stimulation. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 2020, 14, 578687.	1.0	11
141	Conceptualization of utility in translational clinical genomics research. American Journal of Human Genetics, 2021, 108, 2027-2036.	2.6	11
142	In support of mitochondrial replacement therapy. Nature Medicine, 2019, 25, 870-871.	15.2	10
143	Researchersâ€™ Ethical Concerns About Using Adaptive Deep Brain Stimulation for Enhancement. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 2022, 16, 813922.	1.0	10
144	To the Editor. American Journal of Bioethics, 2005, 5, W1-W1.	0.5	9

#	Article	IF	Citations
145	1000 Genomes: on the road to personalized medicine. Personalized Medicine, 2008, 5, 195-197.	0.8	9
146	Developing a Tissue Resource to Characterize the Genome of Pancreatic Cancer. World Journal of Surgery, 2009, 33, 723-731.	0.8	9
147	Myriad take two: Can genomic databases remain secret?. Science, 2017, 356, 586-587.	6.0	9
148	Donors, authors, and owners: how is genomic citizen science addressing interests in research outputs?. BMC Medical Ethics, 2019, 20, 84.	1.0	9
149	Core values of genomic citizen science: results from a qualitative interview study. BioSocieties, 2022, 17, 203-228.	0.8	9
150	Taking DNA from the dead. Nature Reviews Genetics, 2010, 11, 318-318.	7.7	8
151	Persistent confusion and controversy surrounding gene patents. Nature Biotechnology, 2016, 34, 145-147.	9.4	8
152	Do privacy and security regulations need a status update? Perspectives from an intergenerational survey. PLoS ONE, 2017, 12, e0184525.	1.1	8
153	Currents in Contemporary Bioethics. Journal of Law, Medicine and Ethics, 2012, 40, 1040-1046.	0.4	7
154	The price of whole-genome sequencing may be decreasing, but who will be sequenced?. Personalized Medicine, 2017, 14, 203-211.	0.8	7
155	Reconciling newborn screening and a novel splice variant in BTD associated with partial biotinidase deficiency: a BabySeq Project case report. Journal of Physical Education and Sports Management, 2018, 4, a002873.	0.5	7
156	Neuroethics at 15: Keep the Kant but Add More Bacon. AJOB Neuroscience, 2019, 10, 97-100.	0.6	7
157	Direct-to-Consumer Drug Advertisement and Prescribing Practices: Evidence Review and Practical Guidance for Clinicians. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2021, 36, 1390-1394.	1.3	7
158	Policy Uncertainty, Sequencing, and Cell Lines. G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics, 2013, 3, 1205-1207.	0.8	6
159	Quantifying Downstream Healthcare Utilization in Studies of Genomic Testing. Value in Health, 2020, 23, 559-565.	0.1	6
160	Family-level impact of genetic testing: integrating health economics and ethical, legal, and social implications. Personalized Medicine, 2021, 18, 209-212.	0.8	6
161	Developing context-specific next-generation sequencing policy. Nature Biotechnology, 2016, 34, 466-470.	9.4	5
162	Essential, not peripheral: Addressing health care workersâ€™ mental health concerns during the COVID-19 pandemic. Journal of Occupational Health, 2020, 62, e12169.	1.0	5

#	Article	IF	Citations
163	Effects of participation in a U.S. trial of newborn genomic sequencing on parents at risk for depression. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2022, 31, 218-229.	0.9	5
164	It depends whose data are being shared: considerations for genomic data sharing policies. Journal of Law and the Biosciences, 2015, 2, lsv030.	0.8	4
165	Ethical and Legal Challenges Associated with Public Molecular Autopsies. Journal of Law, Medicine and Ethics, 2016, 44, 309-318.	0.4	4
166	Ethics in Genetic and Genomic Research. , 2020, , 91-110.		4
167	Patient, Caregiver, and Decliner Perspectives on Whether to Enroll in Adaptive Deep Brain Stimulation Research. Frontiers in Neuroscience, 2021, 15, 734182.	1.4	4
168	Respect as an Organizing Normative Category for Research Ethics. American Journal of Bioethics, 2005, 5, W1-W2.	0.5	3
169	Standardizing return of participant results. Science, 2018, 362, 759-760.	6.0	3
170	Challenges to Building a Gene Variant Commons to Assess Hereditary Cancer Risk: Results of a Modified Policy Delphi Panel Deliberation. Journal of Personalized Medicine, 2021, 11, 646.	1.1	3
171	The Ethical Health Lawyer. Journal of Law, Medicine and Ethics, 2005, 33, 603-607.	0.4	2
172	Constraints on gene patent protection fuel secrecy concerns: a qualitative study. Journal of Law and the Biosciences, 2017, 4, 542-564.	0.8	2
173	Alienation, Quality of Life, and DBS for Depression. AJOB Neuroscience, 2018, 9, 223-225.	0.6	2
174	Airmen and health-care providersâ€™ attitudes toward the use of genomic sequencing in the US Air Force: findings from the MilSeq Project. Genetics in Medicine, 2020, 22, 2003-2010.	1.1	2
175	Pediatric Oncologistsâ€™ Experiences Returning and Incorporating Genomic Sequencing Results into Cancer Care. Journal of Personalized Medicine, 2021, 11, 570.	1.1	2
176	Ethical, Legal, and Social Implications. , 2020, , 431-442.		2
177	Community crystal gazing. Nature Biotechnology, 2016, 34, 276-283.	9.4	1
178	Medical information commons. , 2019, , 281-293.		1
179	Cultivating Administrative Support for a Clinical Ethics Consultation Service. Journal of Clinical Ethics, 2016, 27, 341-351.	0.1	1
180	â€œIdealists and capitalistsâ€: ownership attitudes and preferences in genomic citizen science. New Genetics and Society, 0, , 1-22.	0.7	1

#	Article	IF	Citations
181	Responseâ€”Regulating Genetic Tests: Who Owns the Data?. Science, 2010, 330, 1626-1627.	6.0	0
182	Should Pediatricians Dismiss Families Who Refuse a COVID-19 Vaccine?. Clinical Pediatrics, 2022, 61, 99-103.	0.4	0