

Jaume Masip

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/6120427/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

45
papers

1,494
citations

394421

19
h-index

330143

37
g-index

47
all docs

47
docs citations

47
times ranked

623
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	The Number of Senders and Total Judgments Matter More Than Sample Size in Deception-Detection Experiments. <i>Perspectives on Psychological Science</i> , 2022, 17, 191-204.	9.0	21
2	Police Interviewing in Spain: A Self-Report Survey of Police Practices and Beliefs. <i>Anuario De Psicología Jurídica</i> , 2022, , 000-000.	0.6	1
3	Optimizing CBCA and RM research: recommendations for analyzing and reporting data on content cues to deception. <i>Psychology, Crime and Law</i> , 2021, 27, 1-39.	1.0	11
4	How emotions affect judgement and decision making in an interrogation scenario. <i>Legal and Criminological Psychology</i> , 2021, 26, 62-82.	2.0	12
5	Teaching Students About Sender and Receiver Variability in Lie Detection. <i>Teaching of Psychology</i> , 2020, 47, 84-91.	1.2	6
6	Both High Cognitive Load and Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation Over the Right Inferior Frontal Cortex Make Truth and Lie Responses More Similar. <i>Frontiers in Psychology</i> , 2020, 11, 776.	2.1	5
7	The Analysis of Nonverbal Communication: The Dangers of Pseudoscience in Security and Justice Contexts. <i>Anuario De Psicología Jurídica</i> , 2020, 30, 1-12.	0.6	32
8	Do people detect deception the way they think they do? Replication and extensions. <i>Psicothema</i> , 2020, 32, 329-336.	0.9	0
9	“Language of lies”: Urgent issues and prospects in verbal lie detection research. <i>Legal and Criminological Psychology</i> , 2019, 24, 1-23.	2.0	52
10	How People Really Suspect Lies: A Re-examination of Novotny et al.’s (2018) Data. <i>Journal of Nonverbal Behavior</i> , 2019, 43, 481-492.	1.0	2
11	Understanding Lie Detection Biases with the Adaptive Lie Detector Theory (ALIED): A Boundedly Rational Approach. , 2019, , 227-247.		2
12	Learning to Detect Deception from Evasive Answers and Inconsistencies across Repeated Interviews: A Study with Lay Respondents and Police Officers. <i>Frontiers in Psychology</i> , 2017, 8, 2207.	2.1	6
13	Can credibility criteria be assessed reliably? A meta-analysis of criteria-based content analysis.. <i>Psychological Assessment</i> , 2017, 29, 819-834.	1.5	45
14	Examining police officers' response bias in judging veracity. <i>Psicothema</i> , 2017, 29, 490-495.	0.9	7
15	Deception detection: State of the art and future prospects. <i>Psicothema</i> , 2017, 29, 149-159.	0.9	26
16	Strategic Interviewing to Detect Deception: Cues to Deception across Repeated Interviews. <i>Frontiers in Psychology</i> , 2016, 7, 1702.	2.1	10
17	An empirical test of the decision to lie component of the Activation-Decision-Construction-Action Theory (ADCAT). <i>Acta Psychologica</i> , 2016, 169, 45-55.	1.5	16
18	Experienced and novice officers’ generalized communication suspicion and veracity judgments.. <i>Law and Human Behavior</i> , 2016, 40, 169-181.	0.7	20

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Police Detection of Deception: Beliefs About Behavioral Cues to Deception Are Strong Even Though Contextual Evidence Is More Useful. <i>Journal of Communication</i> , 2015, 65, 125-145.	3.7	36
20	The source of the truth bias: Heuristic processing?. <i>Scandinavian Journal of Psychology</i> , 2015, 56, 254-263.	1.5	31
21	Are Computers Effective Lie Detectors? A Meta-Analysis of Linguistic Cues to Deception. <i>Personality and Social Psychology Review</i> , 2015, 19, 307-342.	6.0	138
22	Guidance to Detect Deception with the Aberdeen Report Judgment Scales: Are Verbal Content Cues Useful to Detect False Accusations?. <i>American Journal of Psychology</i> , 2014, 127, 43-61.	0.3	8
23	Cognitive-load approaches to detect deception: searching for cognitive mechanisms. <i>Trends in Cognitive Sciences</i> , 2014, 18, 441-444.	7.8	62
24	“What Would You Say if You Were Guilty?” Suspects' Strategies During a Hypothetical Behavior Analysis Interview Concerning a Serious Crime. <i>Applied Cognitive Psychology</i> , 2013, 27, 60-70.	1.6	41
25	Overlooking the Obvious: Incentives to Lie. <i>Basic and Applied Social Psychology</i> , 2013, 35, 212-221.	2.1	40
26	Sobre el Desinterés por la Historia de la Psicología Social. <i>Summa Psicológica UST</i> , 2013, 4, 149-179.	0.0	0
27	Teaching Students About Facial Composites Using the FACES Software. <i>Teaching of Psychology</i> , 2012, 39, 137-141.	1.2	1
28	Behaviour Analysis Interview and Common Sense: A Study with Novice and Experienced Officers. <i>Psychiatry, Psychology and Law</i> , 2012, 19, 21-34.	1.2	17
29	Deception detection from written accounts. <i>Scandinavian Journal of Psychology</i> , 2012, 53, 103-111.	1.5	28
30	Is the behaviour analysis interview just common sense?. <i>Applied Cognitive Psychology</i> , 2011, 25, 593-604.	1.6	27
31	Exploration of Fairness in Health Services: A Qualitative Analysis. <i>Health Marketing Quarterly</i> , 2010, 27, 244-261.	1.0	6
32	Regression toward the Mean or Heuristic Processing in Detecting Deception?: Reply to Elaad (2010). <i>Psychological Reports</i> , 2010, 107, 587-592.	1.7	3
33	Heuristic versus Systematic Processing of Information in Detecting Deception: Questioning the Truth Bias. <i>Psychological Reports</i> , 2009, 105, 11-36.	1.7	32
34	Training to detect what? The biasing effects of training on veracity judgments. <i>Applied Cognitive Psychology</i> , 2009, 23, 1282-1296.	1.6	32
35	The Social Psychology of the Detection of Deception.. <i>Law and Human Behavior</i> , 2006, 30, 403-407.	0.7	0
36	Observers' decision moment in deception detection experiments: Its impact on judgment, accuracy, and confidence. <i>International Journal of Psychology</i> , 2006, 41, 304-319.	2.8	18

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	A World of Lies. <i>Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology</i> , 2006, 37, 60-74.	1.6	300
38	The detection of deception with the reality monitoring approach: a review of the empirical evidence. <i>Psychology, Crime and Law</i> , 2005, 11, 99-122.	1.0	206
39	Generalized Communicative Suspicion (GCS) Among Police Officers: Accounting for the Investigator Bias Effect1. <i>Journal of Applied Social Psychology</i> , 2005, 35, 1046-1066.	2.0	33
40	Facial appearance and impressions of "credibility": The effects of facial babyishness and age on person perception. <i>International Journal of Psychology</i> , 2004, 39, 276-289.	2.8	46
41	Police officers' credibility judgments: Accuracy and estimated ability. <i>International Journal of Psychology</i> , 2004, 39, 254-275.	2.8	86
42	La detección de la mentira mediante la medida de la tensión en la voz: una revisión crítica. <i>Estudios De Psicología</i> , 2004, 25, 13-30.	0.3	3
43	Facial appearance and judgments of credibility: the effects of facial babyishness and age on statement credibility. <i>Genetic, Social, and General Psychology Monographs</i> , 2003, 129, 269-311.	0.1	12
44	¿Existe un patrón general expresivo en la detección de la mentira? Reconsideraciones acerca de Becerra, Sánchez y Carrera (1989). <i>Estudios De Psicología</i> , 2000, 21, 65-77.	0.3	1
45	La detección de mentiras en la entrevista policial. <i>Boletín Criminológico</i> , 0, , .	0.4	0