Jorge Ruiz-GarcÃ-a

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/6099403/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01



LODGE RUIZ-CARCÃA

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Seismic resilience assessment of low- and medium-rise existing eccentrically braced steel frames in Mexico City. Bulletin of Earthquake Engineering, 2022, 20, 1567-1590.	4.1	0
2	Seismic performance assessment of weak first-storey RC buildings designed with old and new seismic provisions for Mexico City. Engineering Structures, 2021, 232, 111803.	5.3	6
3	Seismic assessment of school buildings with short captive RC columns under subduction seismic sequences. Structures, 2021, 34, 2432-2444.	3.6	7
4	Collapse strength ratios for weak first-story buildings under soft soil intraslab earthquakes. Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering, 2021, 151, 107004.	3.8	1
5	Overview of collapsed buildings in Mexico City after the 19 September 2017 (M _w 7.1) earthquake. Earthquake Spectra, 2020, 36, 83-109.	3.1	27
6	Observations of Rayleigh waves in Mexico City Valley during the 19 September 2017 Puebla–Morelos, Mexico earthquake. Earthquake Spectra, 2020, 36, 62-82.	3.1	8
7	Assessment of permanent drift demands in steel moment-resisting steel buildings due to recorded near-fault forward directivity earthquake ground motions and velocity pulse models. Structures, 2020, 27, 1260-1273.	3.6	6
8	Improving the Structural Reliability of Steel Frames Using Posttensioned Connections. Advances in Civil Engineering, 2019, 2019, 1-10.	0.7	13
9	Prediction of residual displacement ratios for simple structures built on soft-soil sites of Mexico City. Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering, 2019, 126, 105809.	3.8	10
10	New vertical-to-horizontal ratio spectrum due to intraslab earthquakes for soft-soil sites of Mexico City. Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering, 2019, 126, 105804.	3.8	3
11	Evaluation of the Coefficient Method for estimation of maximum roof displacement demand of existing buildings subjected to near-fault ground motions. Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering, 2019, 121, 276-280.	3.8	7
12	Discussion on "Residual displacement ratios of SDOF systems subjected to ground motions recorded on soft soil sites―by Ji D, Wen W, Zhai C, Katsanos EI [Soil Dyn Earthq Eng 115 (2018) 331–335]. Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering, 2019, 120, 449-450.	3.8	1
13	Examination of the vertical earthquake ground motion component during the September 19, 2017 (Mw) Tj ETQq	1 1 0.784 3.8	314 rgBT /O
14	Seismic behavior of steel eccentrically braced frames under soft-soil seismic sequences. Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering, 2018, 115, 119-128.	3.8	14
15	Three-dimensional response of steel moment-resisting buildings under seismic sequences. Engineering Structures, 2018, 175, 399-414.	5.3	24
16	Evaluation of seismic displacement demands from the September 19, 2017 Pueblaâ€Morelos (Mw = 7.1) earthquake in Mexico City. Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics, 2018, 47, 2726-2732.	4.4	5
17	Influence of modeling assumptions and aftershock hazard level in the seismic response of post-mainshock steel framed buildings. Engineering Structures, 2017, 140, 437-446.	5.3	26
18	Residual displacement demands of conventional and dual oscillators subjected to earthquake ground motions characteristic of the soft soils of Mexico City. Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering, 2017, 98, 206-221.	3.8	36

Jorge Ruiz-GarcÃa

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Estimation of residual displacement ratios for simple structures built on soft-soil sites. Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering, 2017, 100, 555-558.	3.8	22
20	Evaluation of approximate methods for estimating maximum displacement response of MDOF systems. Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering, 2017, 101, 125-136.	3.8	3
21	Response to seismic sequences of short-period structures equipped with Buckling-Restrained Braces located on the lakebed zone of Mexico City. Journal of Constructional Steel Research, 2017, 137, 37-51.	3.9	17
22	Nonlinear response analysis of SDOF systems subjected to doublet earthquake ground motions: A case study on 2012 Varzaghan–Ahar events. Engineering Structures, 2016, 110, 281-292.	5.3	31
23	Evaluation of approximate methods to estimate residual drift demands in steel framed buildings. Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics, 2015, 44, 2837-2854.	4.4	35
24	Flexible Frames as Self-Centering Mechanism for Buildings Having Buckling-Restrained Braces. Journal of Earthquake Engineering, 2015, 19, 978-990.	2.5	7
25	Aftershock seismic assessment taking into account postmainshock residual drifts. Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics, 2015, 44, 1391-1407.	4.4	88
26	Implementation of Displacement Coefficient method for seismic assessment of buildings built on soft soil sites. Engineering Structures, 2014, 59, 1-12.	5.3	19
27	Discussion on "Effects of multiple earthquakes on inelastic structural response― Engineering Structures, 2014, 58, 110-111.	5.3	6
28	Effect of seismic sequences in reinforced concrete frame buildings located in soft-soil sites. Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering, 2014, 63, 56-68.	3.8	45
29	Response of structures to seismic sequences corresponding to Mexican soft soils. Earthquake and Structures, 2014, 7, 1241-1258.	1.0	9
30	Residual drift demands in momentâ€resisting steel frames subjected to narrowâ€band earthquake ground motions. Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics, 2013, 42, 1583-1598.	4.4	52
31	Mainshock-Aftershock Ground Motion Features and Their Influence in Building's Seismic Response. Journal of Earthquake Engineering, 2012, 16, 719-737.	2.5	118
32	Inelastic Displacement Ratios for Seismic Assessment of Structures Subjected to Forward-Directivity Near-Fault Ground Motions. Journal of Earthquake Engineering, 2011, 15, 449-468.	2.5	84
33	Evaluation of drift demands in existing steel frames under as-recorded far-field and near-fault mainshock–aftershock seismic sequences. Engineering Structures, 2011, 33, 621-634.	5.3	206
34	Comparative seismic performance of steel frames retrofitted with buckling-restrained braces through the application of Force-Based and Displacement-Based approaches. Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering, 2011, 31, 478-490.	3.8	20
35	Probabilistic estimation of residual drift demands for seismic assessment of multi-story framed buildings. Engineering Structures, 2010, 32, 11-20.	5.3	86
36	On the influence of strong-ground motion duration on residual displacement demands. Earthquake and Structures, 2010, 1, 327-344.	1.0	36

Jorge Ruiz-GarcÃa

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	A Simplified Drift-Based Assessment Procedure for Regular Confined Masonry Buildings in Seismic Regions. Journal of Earthquake Engineering, 2009, 13, 520-539.	2.5	5
38	Evaluation of Coefficient Method for Seismic Assessment of Existing Buildings Built on Soft Soil Sites. , 2009, , .		2
39	Drift-based fragility assessment of confined masonry walls in seismic zones. Engineering Structures, 2009, 31, 170-181.	5.3	51
40	Probabilistic estimation of maximum inelastic displacement demands for performance-based design. Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics, 2007, 36, 1235-1254.	4.4	59
41	Residual displacement ratios for assessment of existing structures. Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics, 2006, 35, 315-336.	4.4	158
42	Inelastic displacement ratios for evaluation of structures built on soft soil sites. Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics, 2006, 35, 679-694.	4.4	98
43	Evaluation of residual drift demands in regular multi-storey frames for performance-based seismic assessment. Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics, 2006, 35, 1609-1629.	4.4	106
44	Inelastic Displacement Ratios for Design of Structures on Soft Soils Sites. Journal of Structural Engineering, 2004, 130, 2051-2061.	3.4	80
45	Inelastic displacement ratios for evaluation of existing structures. Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics, 2003, 32, 1237-1258.	4.4	214
46	Evaluation of approximate methods to estimate maximum inelastic displacement demands. Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics, 2002, 31, 539-560.	4.4	203
47	Influence of stiffness degradation on strength demands of structures built on soft soil sites. Engineering Structures, 2002, 24, 1271-1281.	5.3	78
48	<i>Discussion of</i> Post-earthquake fast damage assessment using residual displacement and seismic energy: Application to Mexico City. Earthquake Spectra, 0, , 875529302110688.	3.1	0