Sophie Staniszewska

List of Publications by Year
in descending order

72	4,882	159585 30	98798 67
papers	citations	h-index	g-index

73	73	73	5776
all docs	docs citations	times ranked	citing authors

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	Patient beliefs and perceptions play a crucial role in the decision-making process when managing a meniscal tear. A qualitative systematic review of the literature. European Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery and Traumatology, 2022, 32, 619-630.	1.4	1
2	Patient and Public Involvement in research: A journey to co-production. Patient Education and Counseling, 2022, 105, 1041-1047.	2.2	28
3	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards 2022 (CHEERS 2022) Statement: Updated Reporting Guidance for Health Economic Evaluations. Journal of Medical Economics, 2022, 25, 1-7.	2.1	9
4	Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards (CHEERS) 2022 Explanation and Elaboration: A Report of the ISPOR CHEERS II Good Practices Task Force. Value in Health, 2022, 25, 10-31.	0.3	251
5	Co-production: a kind revolution. Research Involvement and Engagement, 2022, 8, 4.	2.9	12
6	Factors influencing decisions about whether to participate in health research by people of diverse ethnic and cultural backgrounds: a realist review. BMJ Open, 2022, 12, e058380.	1.9	1
7	Mind the evidence gap: the use of patient-based evidence to create â€œcomplete HTAâ€•in the twenty-first century. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2021, 37, e46.	0.5	12
8	Developing a Framework for Public Involvement in Mathematical and Economic Modelling: Bringing New Dynamism to Vaccination Policy Recommendations. Patient, 2021, 14, 435-445.	2.7	24
9	Meniscal tears are more common than previously identified, however, less than a quarter of people with a tear undergo arthroscopy. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy, 2021, 29, 3892-3898.	4.2	5
10	Effective engagement and involvement with community stakeholders in the co-production of global health research. BMJ, The, 2021, 372, n178.	6.0	74
11	The use of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the knee in current clinical practice: A retrospective evaluation of the MRI reports within a large NHS trust. Knee, 2021, 29, 557-563.	1.6	2
12	The informed consent process in health research with under-served populations: a realist review protocol. Systematic Reviews, 2021, 10, 103.	5.3	3
13	Factors associated with shorter length of admission among people with dementia in England and Wales: retrospective cohort study. BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e047255.	1.9	2
14	What Does â€œGoodâ€•Community and Public Engagement Look Like? Developing Relationships With Community Members in Global Health Research. Frontiers in Public Health, 2021, 9, 776940.	2.7	9
15	Meniscal tear outcome Study (METRO Study): a study protocol for a multicentre prospective cohort study exploring the factors which affect outcomes in patients with a meniscal tear. BMJ Open, 2020, 10, e038681.	1.9	5
16	Meniscal Tear Outcome (METRO) review: a protocol for a systematic review summarising the clinical course and patient experiences of meniscal tears in the current literature. BMJ Open, 2020, 10, e036247.	1.9	3
17	Identifying features associated with higher-quality hospital care and shorter length of admission for people with dementia: a mixed-methods study. Health Services and Delivery Research, 2020, 8, 1-92.	1.4	1
18	Using patient experience data to support improvements in inpatient mental health care: the EURIPIDES multimethod study. Health Services and Delivery Research, 2020, 8, 1-338.	1.4	6

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Assessing the cost-effectiveness of HPV vaccination strategies for adolescent girls and boys in the UK. BMC Infectious Diseases, 2019, 19, 552.	2.9	38
20	Experiences of in-patient mental health services: systematic review. British Journal of Psychiatry, 2019, 214, 329-338.	2.8	79
21	â€œAbout sixty per cent I want to do itâ€: Health researchersâ€™ attitudes to, and experiences of, patient and public involvement (PPI)â€”A qualitative interview study. Health Expectations, 2019, 22, 721-730.	2.6	68
22	An open toolkit for tracking open science partnership implementation and impact. Gates Open Research, 2019, 3, 1442.	1.1	10
23	How embedded is public involvement in mainstream health research in England a decade after policy implementation? A realist evaluation. Journal of Health Services Research and Policy, 2018, 23, 98-106.	1.7	30
24	Frequency of reporting on patient and public involvement (PPI) in research studies published in a general medical journal: a descriptive study. BMJ Open, 2018, 8, e020452.	1.9	88
25	Reviewing progress in public involvement in NIHR research: developing and implementing a new vision for the future. BMJ Open, 2018, 8, e017124.	1.9	113
26	Developing the infrastructure for patient review in academic journals. Research Involvement and Engagement, 2018, 4, 31.	2.9	2
27	Realist Evaluation of the Use of Patient Experience Data to Improve the Quality of Inpatient Mental Health Care (EURIPIDES) in England: study protocol. BMJ Open, 2018, 8, e021013.	1.9	3
28	The capacity of health service commissioners to use evidence: a case study. Health Services and Delivery Research, 2018, 6, 1-198.	1.4	5
29	The power of symbolic capital in patient and public involvement in health research. Health Expectations, 2017, 20, 836-844.	2.6	68
30	The development of service user-led recommendations for health and social care services on leaving hospital with memory loss or dementia - the SHARED study. Health Expectations, 2017, 20, 495-507.	2.6	8
31	Reaching consensus on reporting patient and public involvement (PPI) in research: methods and lessons learned from the development of reporting guidelines. BMJ Open, 2017, 7, e016948.	1.9	35
32	EVALUATION OF PATIENT AND PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT INITIATIVES IN HEALTH TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT: A SURVEY OF INTERNATIONAL AGENCIES. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2017, 33, 715-723.	0.5	31
33	Establishing the values for patient engagement (PE) in health-related quality of life (HRQoL) research: an international, multiple-stakeholder perspective. Quality of Life Research, 2017, 26, 1393-1404.	3.1	27
34	Impact of advanced autonomous non-medical practitioners in emergency care: protocol for a scoping study. BMJ Open, 2017, 7, e014612.	1.9	4
35	Research Involvement and Engagement: reflections so far and future directions. Research Involvement and Engagement, 2017, 3, 24.	2.9	7
36	Patient-Based Evidence in HTA. , 2017, , 43-50.		9

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	Developing Patient-Reported and Relevant Outcome Measures. , 2017, , 103-120.		5
38	Hospital care following emergency admission: a critical incident caseÂstudy of the experiences of patients with advanced lung cancerÂand Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. Journal of Clinical Nursing, 2016, 25, 2168-2179.	3.0	11
39	Qualitative critical incident study of patientsâ€™ experiences leading to emergency hospital admission with advanced respiratory illness. BMJ Open, 2016, 6, e009030.	1.9	14
40	Patterns of public participation. Journal of Health Organization and Management, 2016, 30, 751-768.	1.3	20
41	Moving from rational to normative ideologies of control over public involvement: A case of continued managerial dominance. Social Science and Medicine, 2016, 162, 124-132.	3.8	16
42	Public involvement in health priority setting: future challenges for policy, research and society. Journal of Health Organization and Management, 2016, 30, 796-808.	1.3	25
43	Using PROMs in Healthcare: Who Should Be in the Driving Seatâ€"Policy Makers, Health Professionals, Methodologists or Patients?. Patient, 2016, 9, 495-498.	2.7	19
44	A SHARED study-the benefits and costs of setting up a health research study involving lay co-researchers and how we overcame the challenges. Research Involvement and Engagement, 2016, 2, 8.	2.9	33
45	EVIDENCE INFORMED DECISION MAKING: THE USE OF â€œCOLLOQUIAL EVIDENCEâ€•AT NICE. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2015, 31, 138-146.	0.5	23
46	Collective action for implementation: a realist evaluation of organisational collaboration in healthcare. Implementation Science, 2015, 11, 17.	6.9	98
47	One small stepâ€¦. Research Involvement and Engagement, 2015, 1, 1.	2.9	51
48	Patient and public engagement in health-related quality of life and patient-reported outcomes research: what is important and why should we care? Findings from the first ISOQOL patient engagement symposium. Quality of Life Research, 2015, 24, 1069-1076.	3.1	65
49	â€˜Why does it happen like this?â€™ Consulting with users and providers prior to an evaluation of services for children with life limiting conditions and their families. Journal of Child Health Care, 2015, 19, 320-333.	1.4	10
50	ReseArch with Patient and Public invOlvement: a RealisT evaluation â€“ the RAPPORT study. Health Services and Delivery Research, 2015, 3, 1-176.	1.4	143
51	Collective action for knowledge mobilisation: a realist evaluation of the Collaborations for Leadership in Applied Health Research and Care. Health Services and Delivery Research, 2015, 3, 1-166.	1.4	28
52	The Warwick Patient Experiences Framework: patient-based evidence in clinical guidelines. International Journal for Quality in Health Care, 2014, 26, 151-157.	1.8	66
53	Consumer involvement in health research: a <scp>UK</scp> scoping and survey. International Journal of Consumer Studies, 2014, 38, 35-44.	11.6	59
54	Mapping the impact of patient and public involvement on health and social care research: a systematic review. Health Expectations, 2014, 17, 637-650.	2.6	986

#	Article	IF	Citations
55	A Systematic Review of the Impact of Patient and Public Involvement on Service Users, Researchers and Communities. Patient, 2014, 7, 387-395.	2.7	471
56	Patient and public involvement in research: future challenges. Evidence-based Nursing, 2013, 16, 69-69.	0.2	46
57	Collaborative action around implementation in Collaborations for Leadership in Applied Health Research and Care: towards a programme theory. Journal of Health Services Research and Policy, 2013, 18, 13-26.	1.7	71
58	Patient and public involvement in the implementation of evidence into practice. Evidence-based Nursing, 2013, 16, 97-97.	0.2	8
59	The impact of patient and public involvement on UK NHS health care: a systematic review. International Journal for Quality in Health Care, 2012, 24, 28-38.	1.8	407
60	Can we help patients have a better experience? Implementing NICE guidance on patient experience. Evidence-based Nursing, 2012, 15, 99-99.	0.2	4
61	Patient and Public Involvement in Patient-Reported Outcome Measures. Patient, 2012, 5, 79-87.	2.7	147
62	The POPPY Study: Developing a Model of Familyâ€Centred Care for Neonatal Units. Worldviews on Evidence-Based Nursing, 2012, 9, 243-255.	2.9	47
63	Quality and acceptability of patient-reported outcome measures used in chronic fatigue syndrome/myalgic encephalomyelitis (CFS/ME): a systematic review. Quality of Life Research, 2012, 21, 35-52.	3.1	90
64	Developing the evidence base of patient and public involvement in health and social care research: the case for measuring impact. International Journal of Consumer Studies, 2011, 35, 628-632.	11.6	118
65	The GRIPP checklist: Strengthening the quality of patient and public involvement reporting in research. International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, 2011, 27, 391-399.	0.5	253
66	Implementing health research through academic and clinical partnerships: a realistic evaluation of the Collaborations for Leadership in Applied Health Research and Care (CLAHRC). Implementation Science, 2011, 6, 74.	6.9	104
67	The PRIME project: developing a patient evidenceâ€base. Health Expectations, 2010, 13, 312-322.	2.6	32
68	Patient and public involvement in health services and health research: A brief overview of evidence, policy and activity. Journal of Research in Nursing, 2009, 14, 295-298.	0.9	51
69	Measuring the impact of patient and public involvement: the need for an evidence base. International Journal for Quality in Health Care, 2008, 20, 373-374.	1.8	83
70	Investigation of the ways in which patients' reports of their satisfaction with healthcare are constructed. Sociology of Health and Illness, 2004, 26, 159-183.	2.1	78
71	The concepts of expectation and satisfaction: do they capture the way patients evaluate their care?. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 1999, 29, 364-372.	3.3	123
72	An open toolkit for tracking open science partnership implementation and impact. Gates Open Research, 0, 3, 1442.	1.1	2