Okim Kang

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/6090725/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

687363 642732 1,031 26 13 23 h-index citations g-index papers 26 26 26 342 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	LONGITUDINAL L2 DEVELOPMENT IN THE PROSODIC MARKING OF PRAGMATIC MEANING. Studies in Second Language Acquisition, 2022, 44, 843-858.	2.6	7
2	Impact of L2 learners' background factors on the perception of L1 Spanish speech. Foreign Language Annals, 2022, 55, 155-174.	1.0	0
3	ESL students' reverse linguistic stereotyping of English teachers. ELT Journal, 2021, 75, 330-340.	1.8	8
4	The interplay of proficiency and study abroad experience on the prosody of L2 speech acts. Journal of Second Language Pronunciation, 2021, 7, 343-369.	0.7	5
5	Which Features of Accent affect Understanding? Exploring the Intelligibility Threshold of Diverse Accent Varieties. Applied Linguistics, 2020, 41, 453-480.	2.4	22
6	PROFICIENCY AS A MEDIATING VARIABLE OF INTELLIGIBILITY FOR DIFFERENT VARIETIES OF ACCENTS. Studies in Second Language Acquisition, 2020, 42, 471-487.	2.6	6
7	The Effects of International Accents and Shared First Language on Listening Comprehension Tests. TESOL Quarterly, 2019, 53, 56-81.	2.9	28
8	Effect of classroom assessment stakes on English language learners' oral performance. TESOL Journal, 2019, 10, e00392.	0.9	1
9	The effect of training and rater differences on oral proficiency assessment. Language Testing, 2019, 36, 481-504.	3.2	24
10	Comparison of algorithms to divide noisy phone sequences into syllables for automatic unconstrained English speaking proficiency scoring. Artificial Intelligence Review, 2019, 52, 1781-1804.	15.7	4
11	The roles of suprasegmental features in predicting English oral proficiency with an automated system. Language Assessment Quarterly, 2018, 15, 150-168.	2.0	19
12	Empirical Approaches to Measuring the Intelligibility of Different Varieties of English in Predicting Listener Comprehension. Language Learning, 2018, 68, 115-146.	2.7	69
13	Improved automatic English proficiency rating of unconstrained speech with multiple corpora. International Journal of Speech Technology, 2016, 19, 755-768.	2.2	8
14	Automatic prosodic tone choice classification with Brazil's intonation model. International Journal of Speech Technology, 2016, 19, 95-109.	2.2	9
15	Learners' Self-perception of Target Language Study in Overseas Immersion. Journal of Language Teaching and Research, 2016, 7, 819.	0.3	3
16	Mitigating U.S. Undergraduates' Attitudes Toward International Teaching Assistants. TESOL Quarterly, 2015, 49, 681-706.	2.9	62
17	Automatic prominent syllable detection with machine learning classifiers. International Journal of Speech Technology, 2015, 18, 583-592.	2.2	9
18	Learners' Perceptions Toward Pronunciation Instruction in Three Circles of World Englishes. TESOL Journal, 2015, 6, 59-80.	0.9	28

#	Article	IF	CITATION
19	Considering interlocutors in university discourse communities: Impacting U.S. undergraduates' perceptions of ITAs through a structured contact program. English for Specific Purposes, 2014, 35, 54-65.	2.8	22
20	Functional Loads of Pronunciation Features in Nonnative Speakers' Oral Assessment. TESOL Quarterly, 2014, 48, 176-187.	2.9	55
21	Impact of Rater Characteristics and Prosodic Features of Speaker Accentedness on Ratings of International Teaching Assistants' Oral Performance. Language Assessment Quarterly, 2012, 9, 249-269.	2.0	85
22	Suprasegmental Measures of Accentedness and Judgments of Language Learner Proficiency in Oral English. Modern Language Journal, 2010, 94, 554-566.	2.3	172
23	Relative salience of suprasegmental features on judgments of L2 comprehensibility and accentedness. System, 2010, 38, 301-315.	3.4	187
24	Reverse Linguistic Stereotyping: Measuring the Effect of Listener Expectations on Speech Evaluation. Journal of Language and Social Psychology, 2009, 28, 441-456.	2.3	184
25	Listener Background in L2 Speech Evaluation. , 0, , .		2
26	Social judgement of L2 accented speech stereotyping and its influential factors. Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development, 0 , 1 -16.	1.7	12