

Dan S Felsenthal

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/6086912/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

27
papers

1,067
citations

759233

12
h-index

713466

21
g-index

31
all docs

31
docs citations

31
times ranked

267
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Ternary voting games. <i>International Journal of Game Theory</i> , 1997, 26, 335-351.	0.5	134
2	Postulates and paradoxes of relative voting power ? A critical re-appraisal. <i>Theory and Decision</i> , 1995, 38, 195-229.	1.0	88
3	Ternary Voting Games. <i>International Journal of Game Theory</i> , 1997, 26, 335-351.	0.5	57
4	The Bicameral Postulates and Indices of a Priori Voting Power. <i>Theory and Decision</i> , 1998, 44, 83-116.	1.0	45
5	Review of Paradoxes Afflicting Procedures for Electing a Single Candidate. <i>Studies in Choice and Welfare</i> , 2012, , 19-91.	0.2	42
6	Monotonicity Failures Afflicting Procedures for Electing a Single Candidate. <i>SpringerBriefs in Economics</i> , 2017, , .	0.3	33
7	Varieties of failure of monotonicity and participation under five voting methods. <i>Theory and Decision</i> , 2013, 75, 59-77.	1.0	31
8	WHAT TO DO ABOUT ELECTION INVERSIONS UNDER PROPORTIONAL REPRESENTATION?. <i>Representation</i> , 2015, 51, 173-186.	1.3	30
9	Voting Procedures for Electing a Single Candidate. <i>SpringerBriefs in Economics</i> , 2018, , .	0.3	27
10	Alternative forms of the Shapley value and the Shapley-Shubik index. <i>Public Choice</i> , 1996, 87, 315-318.	1.7	25
11	Two types of participation failure under nine voting methods in variable electorates. <i>Public Choice</i> , 2016, 168, 115-135.	1.7	20
12	Interacting double monotonicity failure with direction of impact under five voting methods. <i>Mathematical Social Sciences</i> , 2014, 67, 57-66.	0.5	16
13	A Well-Behaved Index of a Priori P-Power for Simple N-Person Games. <i>Homo Oeconomicus</i> , 2016, 33, 367-381.	0.2	10
14	Individual rationality and bargaining. <i>Public Choice</i> , 2007, 133, 25-29.	1.7	6
15	The product paradox of voting power. <i>Public Choice</i> , 1998, 96, 81-92.	1.7	4
16	Monotonicity Violations by Borda's Elimination and Nanson's Rules: A Comparison. <i>Group Decision and Negotiation</i> , 2018, 27, 637-664.	3.3	4
17	The No-Show Paradox Under a Restricted Domain. <i>Homo Oeconomicus</i> , 2019, 35, 277-293.	0.2	4
18	Decision-making in mixed situations: An application to Israeli-Egyptian relations, 1956-1979. <i>International Interactions</i> , 1980, 7, 33-55.	1.2	2

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Comment on "Proposals for a Democracy of the Future" by Bruno Frey. <i>Homo Oeconomicus</i> , 2017, 34, 195-200.	0.2	1
20	Some Informal Remarks on Devising a "Fair" Decision-Making Rule for Representative Assemblies. <i>Studies in Choice and Welfare</i> , 2012, , 11-15.	0.2	1
21	Eight Voting Rules Susceptible to Types of Monotonicity Failure Under Variable Electorates. <i>SpringerBriefs in Economics</i> , 2017, , 63-84.	0.3	0
22	Descriptions of the Voting Methods to Be Analyzed. <i>SpringerBriefs in Economics</i> , 2017, , 11-22.	0.3	0
23	The (In)Vulnerability of Ranked Voting Procedures that Are Not Condorcet-Consistent to Various Paradoxes. <i>SpringerBriefs in Economics</i> , 2018, , 49-79.	0.3	0
24	Voting Paradoxes. <i>SpringerBriefs in Economics</i> , 2018, , 7-14.	0.3	0
25	The (In)Vulnerability of the Ranked Condorcet-Consistent Procedures to Various Paradoxes. <i>SpringerBriefs in Economics</i> , 2018, , 81-124.	0.3	0
26	The (In)Vulnerability of 20 Voting Procedures to the No-Show Paradox in a Restricted Domain. <i>SpringerBriefs in Economics</i> , 2019, , 51-65.	0.3	0
27	The (In)Vulnerability of 20 Voting Procedures to Lack of Monotonicity in a Restricted Domain. <i>SpringerBriefs in Economics</i> , 2019, , 17-40.	0.3	0