
## Maria Montefinese

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/6073363/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	The adaptation of the Affective Norms for English Words (ANEW) for Italian. Behavior Research Methods, 2014, 46, 887-903.	4.0	204
2	Semantic memory: A feature-based analysis and new norms for Italian. Behavior Research Methods, 2013, 45, 440-461.	4.0	55
3	Semantic similarity between old and new items produces false alarms in recognition memory. Psychological Research, 2015, 79, 785-794.	1.7	38
4	Age-related effects on spatial memory across viewpoint changes relative to different reference frames. Psychological Research, 2015, 79, 687-697.	1.7	38
5	Semantic representation of abstract and concrete words: a minireview of neural evidence. Journal of Neurophysiology, 2019, 121, 1585-1587.	1.8	35
6	Affective Norms for Italian Words in Older Adults: Age Differences in Ratings of Valence, Arousal and Dominance. PLoS ONE, 2017, 12, e0169472.	2.5	35
7	The "subjective―pupil old/new effect: Is the truth plain to see?. International Journal of Psychophysiology, 2013, 89, 48-56.	1.0	33
8	Causal role of the posterior parietal cortex for two-digit mental subtraction and addition: A repetitive TMS study. NeuroImage, 2017, 155, 72-81.	4.2	22
9	Semantic significance: a new measure of feature salience. Memory and Cognition, 2014, 42, 355-369.	1.6	19
10	Recognition memory and featural similarity between concepts: The pupil's point of view. Biological Psychology, 2018, 135, 159-169.	2.2	16
11	Italian Age of Acquisition Norms for a Large Set of Words (ItAoA). Frontiers in Psychology, 2019, 10, 278.	2.1	14
12	Core features: measures and characterization for different languages. Cognitive Processing, 2020, 21, 651-667.	1.4	12
13	A practical primer on processing semantic property norm data. Cognitive Processing, 2020, 21, 587-599.	1.4	8
14	Online search trends and word-related emotional response during COVID-19 lockdown in Italy: a cross-sectional online study. PeerJ, 2021, 9, e11858.	2.0	8
15	Functional specificity of the locus coeruleus-norepinephrine system in the attentional networks. Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience, 2013, 7, 201.	2.0	7
16	Can the humped animal's knee conceal its name? Commentary on: "The roles of shared vs. distinctive conceptual features in lexical access― Frontiers in Psychology, 2015, 6, 418.	2.1	7
17	Inferior parietal lobule is sensitive to different semantic similarity relations for concrete and abstract words. Psychophysiology, 2021, 58, e13750.	2.4	6
18	No grammatical gender effect on affective ratings: evidence from Italian and German languages. Cognition and Emotion, 2019, 33, 848-854.	2.0	5

MARIA MONTEFINESE

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	What is the right place for atypical exemplars? Commentary: The right hemisphere contribution to semantic categorization: a TMS study. Frontiers in Psychology, 2015, 6, 1349.	2.1	4
20	The interplay between control processes and feature relevance: Evidence from dual-task methodology. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2020, 73, 384-395.	1.1	4
21	Number line estimation and complex mental calculation: Is there a shared cognitive process driving the two tasks?. Cognitive Processing, 2018, 19, 495-504.	1.4	2
22	Catching the intangible: a role for emotion?. Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 2020, 43, e138.	0.7	2
23	CONcreTEXT @ EVALITA2020: The Concreteness in Context Task. , 2020, , 311-318.		2
24	Deficits of semantic control disproportionately affect low-relevance conceptual features: evidence from semantic aphasia. Aphasiology, 2021, 35, 1448-1462.	2.2	1
25	The interactive support of cognitive reserve and semantic knowledge in proper name retrieval. Language, Cognition and Neuroscience, 2023, 38, 77-87.	1.2	1