

Isabelle Scholl

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/6051213/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

63
papers

4,625
citations

218677

26
h-index

114465

63
g-index

66
all docs

66
docs citations

66
times ranked

5011
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Shared decision-making in routine breast cancer care in Germany – A cross-sectional study. <i>Psycho-Oncology</i> , 2022, 31, 1120-1126.	2.3	9
2	Preference for shared decision-making among Arabic-speaking people with chronic diseases: a cross-sectional study. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2022, 12, e058084.	1.9	3
3	Development and content validity of the Experienced Patient-Centeredness Questionnaire (EPAT) – A best practice example for generating patient-reported measures from qualitative data. <i>Health Expectations</i> , 2022, 25, 1529-1538.	2.6	5
4	Moving towards patient-centered care and shared decision-making in Germany. <i>Zeitschrift Fur Evidenz, Fortbildung Und Qualitat Im Gesundheitswesen</i> , 2022, 171, 49-57.	0.9	11
5	Association between physicians' and patients' perspectives of shared decision making in primary care settings in Japan: The impact of environmental factors. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2021, 16, e0246518.	2.5	16
6	Translation and psychometric evaluation of the German version of the IcanSDM measure – a cross-sectional study among healthcare professionals. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , 2021, 21, 541.	2.2	2
7	What do patients expect? Assessing patient-centredness from the patients' perspective: an interview study. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2021, 11, e047810.	1.9	15
8	Adapting the patient and physician versions of the 9-item shared decision making questionnaire for other healthcare providers in Japan. <i>BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making</i> , 2021, 21, 314.	3.0	9
9	Evaluation of a program for routine implementation of shared decision-making in cancer care: results of a stepped wedge cluster randomized trial. <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2021, 16, 106.	6.9	13
10	A skills network approach to physicians' competence in shared decision making. <i>Health Expectations</i> , 2020, 23, 1466-1476.	2.6	6
11	Adaptation and qualitative evaluation of Ask 3 Questions – a simple and generic intervention to foster patient empowerment. <i>Health Expectations</i> , 2020, 23, 1310-1325.	2.6	11
12	Translation and psychometric evaluation of the German version of the Organisational Readiness for Implementing Change measure (ORIC): a cross-sectional study. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2020, 10, e034380.	1.9	12
13	“All about the money?” A qualitative interview study examining organizational- and system-level characteristics that promote or hinder shared decision-making in cancer care in the United States. <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2020, 15, 81.	6.9	10
14	Envisioning Shared Decision Making: A Reflection for the Next Decade. <i>MDM Policy and Practice</i> , 2020, 5, 238146832096378.	0.9	13
15	Is Organizational Communication Climate a Precondition for Patient-Centered Care? Insights from a Key Informant Survey of Various Health and Social Care Organizations. <i>International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health</i> , 2020, 17, 8074.	2.6	1
16	Cancer patients' preferred and perceived level of involvement in treatment decision-making: an epidemiological study. <i>Acta Oncologica</i> , 2020, 59, 967-974.	1.8	39
17	Psychometric Evaluation of the Japanese 9-Item Shared Decision-Making Questionnaire and Its Association with Decision Conflict and Patient Factors in Japanese Primary Care. <i>JMA Journal</i> , 2020, 3, 208-215.	0.8	25
18	Evaluation of a shared decision-making communication skills training for physicians treating patients with asthma: a mixed methods study using simulated patients. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , 2019, 19, 612.	2.2	16

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Strategies to evaluate healthcare provider trainings in shared decision-making (SDM): a systematic review of evaluation studies. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2019, 9, e026488.	1.9	42
20	Assessment of patient information needs: A systematic review of measures. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2019, 14, e0209165.	2.5	22
21	Assessing the relevance and implementation of patient-centredness from the patients' perspective in Germany: results of a Delphi study. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2019, 9, e031741.	1.9	27
22	Psychometric properties of the Arabic version of the 9-item Shared Decision-Making Questionnaire: the entire process from translation to validation. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2019, 9, e026672.	1.9	8
23	Adaptation and qualitative evaluation of encounter decision aids in breast cancer care. <i>Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics</i> , 2019, 299, 1141-1149.	1.7	12
24	Romanian version of SDM-Q-9 validation in Internal Medicine and Cardiology setting: a multicentric cross-sectional study. <i>Romanian Journal of Internal Medicine = Revue Roumaine De Medecine Interne</i> , 2019, 57, 195-200.	0.6	2
25	Human-Machine Collaboration – A New Form of Paternalism?. <i>JAMA Oncology</i> , 2018, 4, 589.	7.1	0
26	Organizational- and system-level characteristics that influence implementation of shared decision-making and strategies to address them – A scoping review. <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2018, 13, 40.	6.9	179
27	Psychometric properties of the German version of Observer OPTION5. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , 2018, 18, 74.	2.2	21
28	Shared decision-making in physical therapy: A cross-sectional study on physiotherapists' knowledge, attitudes and self-reported use. <i>Patient Education and Counseling</i> , 2018, 101, 346-351.	2.2	19
29	Assessment of patient centredness through patient-reported experience measures (ASPIRED): protocol of a mixed-methods study. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2018, 8, e025896.	1.9	27
30	Evaluation of a program for routine implementation of shared decision-making in cancer care: study protocol of a stepped wedge cluster randomized trial. <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2018, 13, 51.	6.9	25
31	The quality of instruments to assess the process of shared decision making: A systematic review. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2018, 13, e0191747.	2.5	126
32	Shared Decision Making During Active Psychiatric Hospitalization: Assessment and Psychometric Properties. <i>Health Communication</i> , 2017, 32, 126-130.	3.1	20
33	The long way of implementing patient-centered care and shared decision making in Germany. <i>Zeitschrift Fur Evidenz, Fortbildung Und Qualitat Im Gesundheitswesen</i> , 2017, 123-124, 46-51.	0.9	49
34	How are decisions made in cancer care? A qualitative study using participant observation of current practice. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2017, 7, e016360.	1.9	31
35	Incorporating shared decision making in mental health care requires translating knowledge from implementation science. <i>World Psychiatry</i> , 2017, 16, 160-161.	10.4	9
36	A three-talk model for shared decision making: multistage consultation process. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2017, 359, j4891.	2.3	495

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Use of the 9-item Shared Decision Making Questionnaire (SDM-Q-9 and SDM-Q-Doc) in intervention studies – A systematic review. PLoS ONE, 2017, 12, e0173904.	2.5	85
38	Process quality of decision-making in multidisciplinary cancer team meetings: a structured observational study. BMC Cancer, 2017, 17, 772.	2.6	69
39	Assessing Communication Skills of Medical Students in Objective Structured Clinical Examinations (OSCE) - A Systematic Review of Rating Scales. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0152717.	2.5	112
40	Shared Decision-Making in Oncology – A Qualitative Analysis of Healthcare Providers’ Views on Current Practice. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0149789.	2.5	30
41	What do stakeholders need to implement shared decision making in routine cancer care? A qualitative needs assessment. Acta Oncologica, 2016, 55, 1484-1491.	1.8	28
42	Mapping search terms to review goals is essential. BMJ Quality and Safety, 2016, 25, 130-130.	3.7	1
43	Validation of the Spanish version of the 9-item Shared Decision-Making Questionnaire. Health Expectations, 2015, 18, 2143-2153.	2.6	46
44	Assessment of Patient Empowerment - A Systematic Review of Measures. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0126553.	2.5	162
45	In Absentia: An Exploratory Study of How Patients Are Considered in Multidisciplinary Cancer Team Meetings. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0139921.	2.5	52
46	Dutch Translation and Psychometric Testing of the 9-Item Shared Decision Making Questionnaire (SDM-Q-9) and Shared Decision Making Questionnaire-Physician Version (SDM-Q-Doc) in Primary and Secondary Care. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0132158.	2.5	109
47	Which Dimensions of Patient-Centeredness Matter? - Results of a Web-Based Expert Delphi Survey. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0141978.	2.5	80
48	Comparing the nine-item Shared Decision-Making Questionnaire to the OPTION Scale – an attempt to establish convergent validity. Health Expectations, 2015, 18, 137-150.	2.6	59
49	Conceptualising patient empowerment: a mixed methods study. BMC Health Services Research, 2015, 15, 252.	2.2	240
50	Measuring Shared Decision Making. Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes, 2014, 7, 620-626.	2.2	57
51	How do health services researchers understand the concept of patient-centeredness? Results from an expert survey. Patient Preference and Adherence, 2014, 8, 1153.	1.8	19
52	The German version of the Four Habits Coding Scheme – Association between physicians’ communication and shared decision making skills in the medical encounter. Patient Education and Counseling, 2014, 94, 224-229.	2.2	18
53	An Integrative Model of Patient-Centeredness – A Systematic Review and Concept Analysis. PLoS ONE, 2014, 9, e107828.	2.5	491
54	Assessment of Trust in Physician: A Systematic Review of Measures. PLoS ONE, 2014, 9, e106844.	2.5	84

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Measurement of Physician-Patient Communicationâ€”A Systematic Review. PLoS ONE, 2014, 9, e112637.	2.5	94
56	â€œMany miles to go â€ â€ a systematic review of the implementation of patient decision support interventions into routine clinical practice. BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, 2013, 13, S14.	3.0	374
57	Evaluation of dimensions and measurement scales in patient-centeredness. Patient Preference and Adherence, 2013, 7, 345.	1.8	14
58	A latent variable framework for modeling dyadic measures in research on shared decision-making. Zeitschrift Fur Evidenz, Fortbildung Und Qualitat Im Gesundheitswesen, 2012, 106, 253-263.	0.9	16
59	Development and psychometric properties of the Shared Decision Making Questionnaire â€“ physician version (SDM-Q-Doc). Patient Education and Counseling, 2012, 88, 284-290.	2.2	195
60	Advice for junior researchers â€“ Lessons learned from the 2011 Y-EACH Junior Investigatorsâ€™™ Workshop. Patient Education and Counseling, 2012, 89, 214-215.	2.2	3
61	Measurement of shared decision making â€“ a review of instruments. Zeitschrift Fur Evidenz, Fortbildung Und Qualitat Im Gesundheitswesen, 2011, 105, 313-324.	0.9	247
62	The 9-item Shared Decision Making Questionnaire (SDM-Q-9). Development and psychometric properties in a primary care sample. Patient Education and Counseling, 2010, 80, 94-99.	2.2	587
63	Implementation and Benefits of Psychooncological Group Interventions in German Breast Centers: A Pilot Study on Supportive-Expressive Group Therapy for Women with Primary Breast Cancer. Breast Care, 2010, 5, 91-96.	1.4	16