

# Isabelle Scholl

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/6051213/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

63  
papers

4,625  
citations

218677

26  
h-index

114465

63  
g-index

66  
all docs

66  
docs citations

66  
times ranked

5011  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	The 9-item Shared Decision Making Questionnaire (SDM-Q-9). Development and psychometric properties in a primary care sample. Patient Education and Counseling, 2010, 80, 94-99.	2.2	587
2	A three-talk model for shared decision making: multistage consultation process. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2017, 359, j4891.	2.3	495
3	An Integrative Model of Patient-Centeredness – A Systematic Review and Concept Analysis. PLoS ONE, 2014, 9, e107828.	2.5	491
4	“Many miles to go” – a systematic review of the implementation of patient decision support interventions into routine clinical practice. BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, 2013, 13, S14.	3.0	374
5	Measurement of shared decision making – a review of instruments. Zeitschrift Fur Evidenz, Fortbildung Und Qualitat Im Gesundheitswesen, 2011, 105, 313-324.	0.9	247
6	Conceptualising patient empowerment: a mixed methods study. BMC Health Services Research, 2015, 15, 252.	2.2	240
7	Development and psychometric properties of the Shared Decision Making Questionnaire – physician version (SDM-Q-Doc). Patient Education and Counseling, 2012, 88, 284-290.	2.2	195
8	Organizational- and system-level characteristics that influence implementation of shared decision-making and strategies to address them – A scoping review. Implementation Science, 2018, 13, 40.	6.9	179
9	Assessment of Patient Empowerment - A Systematic Review of Measures. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0126553.	2.5	162
10	The quality of instruments to assess the process of shared decision making: A systematic review. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0191747.	2.5	126
11	Assessing Communication Skills of Medical Students in Objective Structured Clinical Examinations (OSCE) - A Systematic Review of Rating Scales. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0152717.	2.5	112
12	Dutch Translation and Psychometric Testing of the 9-Item Shared Decision Making Questionnaire (SDM-Q-9) and Shared Decision Making Questionnaire-Physician Version (SDM-Q-Doc) in Primary and Secondary Care. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0132158.	2.5	109
13	Measurement of Physician-Patient Communication – A Systematic Review. PLoS ONE, 2014, 9, e112637.	2.5	94
14	Use of the 9-item Shared Decision Making Questionnaire (SDM-Q-9 and SDM-Q-Doc) in intervention studies – A systematic review. PLoS ONE, 2017, 12, e0173904.	2.5	85
15	Assessment of Trust in Physician: A Systematic Review of Measures. PLoS ONE, 2014, 9, e106844.	2.5	84
16	Which Dimensions of Patient-Centeredness Matter? - Results of a Web-Based Expert Delphi Survey. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0141978.	2.5	80
17	Process quality of decision-making in multidisciplinary cancer team meetings: a structured observational study. BMC Cancer, 2017, 17, 772.	2.6	69
18	Comparing the nine-item Shared Decision-Making Questionnaire to the OPTION Scale – an attempt to establish convergent validity. Health Expectations, 2015, 18, 137-150.	2.6	59

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Measuring Shared Decision Making. <i>Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes</i> , 2014, 7, 620-626.	2.2	57
20	In Absentia: An Exploratory Study of How Patients Are Considered in Multidisciplinary Cancer Team Meetings. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2015, 10, e0139921.	2.5	52
21	The long way of implementing patient-centered care and shared decision making in Germany. <i>Zeitschrift Fur Evidenz, Fortbildung Und Qualitat Im Gesundheitswesen</i> , 2017, 123-124, 46-51.	0.9	49
22	Validation of the Spanish version of the 9-item Shared Decision-Making Questionnaire. <i>Health Expectations</i> , 2015, 18, 2143-2153.	2.6	46
23	Strategies to evaluate healthcare provider trainings in shared decision-making (SDM): a systematic review of evaluation studies. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2019, 9, e026488.	1.9	42
24	Cancer patients' preferred and perceived level of involvement in treatment decision-making: an epidemiological study. <i>Acta Oncologica</i> , 2020, 59, 967-974.	1.8	39
25	How are decisions made in cancer care? A qualitative study using participant observation of current practice. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2017, 7, e016360.	1.9	31
26	Shared Decision-Making in Oncology – A Qualitative Analysis of Healthcare Providers' Views on Current Practice. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2016, 11, e0149789.	2.5	30
27	What do stakeholders need to implement shared decision making in routine cancer care? A qualitative needs assessment. <i>Acta Oncologica</i> , 2016, 55, 1484-1491.	1.8	28
28	Assessment of patient centredness through patient-reported experience measures (ASPIRED): protocol of a mixed-methods study. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2018, 8, e025896.	1.9	27
29	Assessing the relevance and implementation of patient-centredness from the patients' perspective in Germany: results of a Delphi study. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2019, 9, e031741.	1.9	27
30	Evaluation of a program for routine implementation of shared decision-making in cancer care: study protocol of a stepped wedge cluster randomized trial. <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2018, 13, 51.	6.9	25
31	Psychometric Evaluation of the Japanese 9-Item Shared Decision-Making Questionnaire and Its Association with Decision Conflict and Patient Factors in Japanese Primary Care. <i>JMA Journal</i> , 2020, 3, 208-215.	0.8	25
32	Assessment of patient information needs: A systematic review of measures. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2019, 14, e0209165.	2.5	22
33	Psychometric properties of the German version of Observer OPTION5. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , 2018, 18, 74.	2.2	21
34	Shared Decision Making During Active Psychiatric Hospitalization: Assessment and Psychometric Properties. <i>Health Communication</i> , 2017, 32, 126-130.	3.1	20
35	How do health services researchers understand the concept of patient-centeredness? Results from an expert survey. <i>Patient Preference and Adherence</i> , 2014, 8, 1153.	1.8	19
36	Shared decision-making in physical therapy: A cross-sectional study on physiotherapists' knowledge, attitudes and self-reported use. <i>Patient Education and Counseling</i> , 2018, 101, 346-351.	2.2	19

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	The German version of the Four Habits Coding Scheme – Association between physicians’ communication and shared decision making skills in the medical encounter. <i>Patient Education and Counseling</i> , 2014, 94, 224-229.	2.2	18
38	Implementation and Benefits of Psychooncological Group Interventions in German Breast Centers: A Pilot Study on Supportive-Expressive Group Therapy for Women with Primary Breast Cancer. <i>Breast Care</i> , 2010, 5, 91-96.	1.4	16
39	A latent variable framework for modeling dyadic measures in research on shared decision-making. <i>Zeitschrift Fur Evidenz, Fortbildung Und Qualitat Im Gesundheitswesen</i> , 2012, 106, 253-263.	0.9	16
40	Evaluation of a shared decision-making communication skills training for physicians treating patients with asthma: a mixed methods study using simulated patients. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , 2019, 19, 612.	2.2	16
41	Association between physicians’ and patients’ perspectives of shared decision making in primary care settings in Japan: The impact of environmental factors. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2021, 16, e0246518.	2.5	16
42	What do patients expect? Assessing patient-centredness from the patients’ perspective: an interview study. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2021, 11, e047810.	1.9	15
43	Evaluation of dimensions and measurement scales in patient-centeredness. <i>Patient Preference and Adherence</i> , 2013, 7, 345.	1.8	14
44	Envisioning Shared Decision Making: A Reflection for the Next Decade. <i>MDM Policy and Practice</i> , 2020, 5, 238146832096378.	0.9	13
45	Evaluation of a program for routine implementation of shared decision-making in cancer care: results of a stepped wedge cluster randomized trial. <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2021, 16, 106.	6.9	13
46	Adaptation and qualitative evaluation of encounter decision aids in breast cancer care. <i>Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics</i> , 2019, 299, 1141-1149.	1.7	12
47	Translation and psychometric evaluation of the German version of the Organisational Readiness for Implementing Change measure (ORIC): a cross-sectional study. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2020, 10, e034380.	1.9	12
48	Adaptation and qualitative evaluation of Ask 3 Questions – a simple and generic intervention to foster patient empowerment. <i>Health Expectations</i> , 2020, 23, 1310-1325.	2.6	11
49	Moving towards patient-centered care and shared decision-making in Germany. <i>Zeitschrift Fur Evidenz, Fortbildung Und Qualitat Im Gesundheitswesen</i> , 2022, 171, 49-57.	0.9	11
50	“All about the money?” A qualitative interview study examining organizational- and system-level characteristics that promote or hinder shared decision-making in cancer care in the United States. <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2020, 15, 81.	6.9	10
51	Incorporating shared decision making in mental health care requires translating knowledge from implementation science. <i>World Psychiatry</i> , 2017, 16, 160-161.	10.4	9
52	Adapting the patient and physician versions of the 9-item shared decision making questionnaire for other healthcare providers in Japan. <i>BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making</i> , 2021, 21, 314.	3.0	9
53	Shared decision-making in routine breast cancer care in Germany – A cross-sectional study. <i>Psycho-Oncology</i> , 2022, 31, 1120-1126.	2.3	9
54	Psychometric properties of the Arabic version of the 9-item Shared Decision-Making Questionnaire: the entire process from translation to validation. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2019, 9, e026672.	1.9	8

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	A skills network approach to physiciansâ€™ competence in shared decision making. Health Expectations, 2020, 23, 1466-1476.	2.6	6
56	Development and content validity of the Experienced Patientâ€™Centeredness Questionnaire (EPAT)â€”A best practice example for generating patientâ€™reported measures from qualitative data. Health Expectations, 2022, 25, 1529-1538.	2.6	5
57	Advice for junior researchers â€” Lessons learned from the 2011 Y-EACH Junior Investigatorsâ€™ Workshop. Patient Education and Counseling, 2012, 89, 214-215.	2.2	3
58	Preference for shared decision-making among Arabic-speaking people with chronic diseases: a cross-sectional study. BMJ Open, 2022, 12, e058084.	1.9	3
59	Translation and psychometric evaluation of the German version of the IcanSDM measure â€” a cross-sectional study among healthcare professionals. BMC Health Services Research, 2021, 21, 541.	2.2	2
60	Romanian version of SDM-Q-9 validation in Internal Medicine and Cardiology setting: a multicentric cross-sectional study. Romanian Journal of Internal Medicine = Revue Roumaine De Medecine Interne, 2019, 57, 195-200.	0.6	2
61	Mapping search terms to review goals is essential. BMJ Quality and Safety, 2016, 25, 130-130.	3.7	1
62	Is Organizational Communication Climate a Precondition for Patient-Centered Care? Insights from a Key Informant Survey of Various Health and Social Care Organizations. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 2020, 17, 8074.	2.6	1
63	Human-Machine Collaborationâ€”A New Form of Paternalism?. JAMA Oncology, 2018, 4, 589.	7.1	0