

Sven E Hug

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/6044327/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

14
papers

663
citations

1163117

8
h-index

1372567

10
g-index

16
all docs

16
docs citations

16
times ranked

558
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	A multilevel meta-analysis of studies reporting correlations between the h index and 37 different h index variants. <i>Journal of Informetrics</i> , 2011, 5, 346-359.	2.9	227
2	Citation analysis with microsoft academic. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2017, 111, 371-378.	3.0	111
3	The coverage of Microsoft Academic: analyzing the publication output of a university. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2017, 113, 1551-1571.	3.0	93
4	Visualizing the context of citations referencing papers published by Eugene Garfield: a new type of keyword co-occurrence analysis. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2018, 114, 427-437.	3.0	89
5	Criteria for assessing research quality in the humanities: a Delphi study among scholars of English literature, German literature and art history. <i>Research Evaluation</i> , 2013, 22, 369-383.	2.6	52
6	Four types of research in the humanities: Setting the stage for research quality criteria in the humanities. <i>Research Evaluation</i> , 0, , .	2.6	17
7	Criteria for assessing grant applications: a systematic review. <i>Palgrave Communications</i> , 2020, 6, .	4.7	16
8	Setting the stage for the assessment of research quality in the humanities. Consolidating the results of four empirical studies. <i>Zeitschrift Fur Erziehungswissenschaft</i> , 2014, 17, 111-132.	2.9	11
9	Humanities Scholars™ Conceptions of Research Quality. , 2016, , 43-69.		11
10	Research Assessment in the Humanities: Introduction. , 2016, , 1-10.		10
11	The number of linked references of publications in Microsoft Academic in comparison with the Web of Science. <i>Scientometrics</i> , 2018, 114, 367-370.	3.0	8
12	Do peers share the same criteria for assessing grant applications?. <i>Research Evaluation</i> , 2022, 31, 104-117.	2.6	7
13	Towards theorizing peer review. <i>Quantitative Science Studies</i> , 2022, 3, 815-831.	3.3	7
14	Bibliometrics-based heuristics: What is their definition and how can they be studied? - Research note. <i>Profesional De La Informacion</i> , 0, , .	2.7	3