
List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/6024807/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Averaging bias in firm acquisition processes. Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics, 2022, 96, 101809.	0.5	1
2	Irregular stimulus distribution increases the negative footprint illusion. Scandinavian Journal of Psychology, 2022, 63, 530-535.	0.8	2
3	Where service recovery meets its paradox: implications for avoiding overcompensation. Journal of Service Theory and Practice, 2022, 32, 1-13.	1.9	6
4	Anchoring effect in judgments of objective fact and subjective preference. Food Quality and Preference, 2021, 88, 104102.	2.3	5
5	The psychology of balancing gains and losses for self and the environment: Evidence from a carbon emission versus travel time tradeoff task. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 2021, 74, 101574.	2.3	3
6	Internal and External Factors' Influence on Recycling: Insights From a Laboratory Experiment With Observed Behavior. Frontiers in Psychology, 2021, 12, 699410.	1.1	16
7	Applying a Systems Perspective on the Notion of the Smart City. Smart Cities, 2020, 3, 420-429.	5.5	9
8	Psychological obstacles to the efficacy of environmental footprint tools. Environmental Research Letters, 2020, 15, 091001.	2.2	7
9	Deceptive sustainability: Cognitive bias in people's judgment of the benefits of CO2 emission cuts. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 2019, 64, 48-55.	2.3	18
10	Why People Harm the Environment Although They Try to Treat It Well: An Evolutionary-Cognitive Perspective on Climate Compensation. Frontiers in Psychology, 2019, 10, 348.	1.1	50
11	Wicked Problems of Smart Cities. Smart Cities, 2019, 2, 512-521.	5.5	30
12	Occupants' perception of air movements and air quality in a simulated classroom with an intermittent air supply system. Indoor and Built Environment, 2019, 28, 63-76.	1.5	15
13	Averaging bias in environmental impact estimates: Evidence from the negative footprint illusion. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 2018, 55, 48-52.	2.3	29
14	Are Mental Biases Responsible for the Perceived Comfort Advantage in "Green―Buildings?. Buildings, 2018, 8, 20.	1.4	4
15	When A+B < A: Cognitive Bias in Experts' Judgment of Environmental Impact. Frontiers in Psychology, 2018, 9, 823.	1.1	25
16	Gender Differences in Environmental Perspectives among Urban Design Professionals. Buildings, 2018, 8, 59.	1.4	14
17	Differences in Auditory Distraction between Adults and Children: A Duplex-mechanism Approach. Journal of Cognition, 2018, 1, 13.	1.0	25
18	Human perception of room temperature and intermittent air jet cooling in a classroom. Indoor and Built Environment, 2017, 26, 528-537.	1.5	17

2

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Increased Distractibility in Schizotypy: Independent of Individual Differences in Working Memory Capacity?. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 2017, 70, 565-578.	0.6	23
20	Occupant perception of "green―buildings: Distinguishing physical and psychological factors. Building and Environment, 2017, 114, 140-147.	3.0	41
21	Trouble articulating the right words: Evidence for a responseâ€exclusion account of distraction during semantic fluency. Scandinavian Journal of Psychology, 2017, 58, 367-372.	0.8	7
22	Editorial: Cognitive Hearing Mechanisms of Language Understanding: Short- and Long-Term Perspectives. Frontiers in Psychology, 2017, 8, 1060.	1.1	1
23	Concentration: The Neural Underpinnings of How Cognitive Load Shields Against Distraction. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 2016, 10, 221.	1.0	41
24	Grand Challenges in Environmental Psychology. Frontiers in Psychology, 2016, 7, 583.	1.1	44
25	Psychological Restoration Can Depend on Stimulus-Source Attribution: A Challenge for the Evolutionary Account?. Frontiers in Psychology, 2016, 7, 1831.	1.1	33
26	Office noise: Can headphones and masking sound attenuate distraction by background speech?. Work, 2016, 55, 505-513.	0.6	23
27	Hearing impairment, cognition and speech understanding: exploratory factor analyses of a comprehensive test battery for a group of hearing aid users, the n200 study. International Journal of Audiology, 2016, 55, 623-642.	0.9	77
28	Experimental evaluation of an intermittent air supply system – Part 2: Occupant perception of thermal climate. Building and Environment, 2016, 108, 99-109.	3.0	17
29	Effects of Task Interruption and Background Speech on Word Processed Writing. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2016, 30, 430-439.	0.9	12
30	Social desirability does not underpin the eco-label effect on product judgments. Food Quality and Preference, 2016, 50, 82-87.	2.3	21
31	Effects of labeling a product eco-friendly and genetically modified: A cross-cultural comparison for estimates of taste, willingness to pay and health consequences. Food Quality and Preference, 2016, 50, 65-70.	2.3	38
32	Distraction of Mental Arithmetic by Background Speech. Experimental Psychology, 2016, 63, 141-149.	0.3	4
33	Student's Second-Language Grade May Depend on Classroom Listening Position. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0156533.	1.1	8
34	The Influence of Heat, Air Jet Cooling and Noise on Performance in Classrooms. International Journal of Ventilation, 2015, 14, 321-332.	0.2	6
35	Dynamic cognitive control of irrelevant sound: Increased task engagement attenuates semantic auditory distraction Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2015, 41, 1462-1474.	0.7	23
36	Erroneous and veridical recall are not two sides of the same coin: Evidence from semantic distraction in free recall Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition, 2015, 41, 1728-1740.	0.7	7

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Distraction of Counting by the Meaning of Background Speech: Are Spatial Memory Demands a Prerequisite?. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2015, 29, 584-591.	0.9	2
38	Unmasking the effects of masking on performance: The potential of multiple-voice masking in the office environment. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 2015, 138, 807-816.	0.5	26
39	On interpretation and task selection: the sub-component hypothesis of cognitive noise effects. Frontiers in Psychology, 2015, 5, 1598.	1.1	4
40	On interpretation of the effects of noise on cognitive performance: the fallacy of confusing the definition of an effect with the explanation of that effect. Frontiers in Psychology, 2015, 6, 754.	1.1	5
41	Can you hear my age? Influences of speech rate and speech spontaneity on estimation of speaker age. Frontiers in Psychology, 2015, 6, 978.	1.1	27
42	ICBEN review of research on the biological effects of noise 2011-2014. Noise and Health, 2015, 17, 57.	0.4	87
43	Central load reduces peripheral processing: Evidence from incidental memory of background speech. Scandinavian Journal of Psychology, 2015, 56, 607-612.	0.8	12
44	How Concentration Shields Against Distraction. Current Directions in Psychological Science, 2015, 24, 267-272.	2.8	64
45	The green halo: Mechanisms and limits of the eco-label effect. Food Quality and Preference, 2015, 43, 1-9.	2.3	136
46	Distraction control processes in free recall: Benefits and costs to performance Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition, 2015, 41, 118-133.	0.7	22
47	An eco-label effect in the built environment: Performance and comfort effects of labeling a light source environmentally friendly. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 2015, 42, 123-127.	2.3	56
48	Updating working memory in aircraft noise and speech noise causes different <scp>fMRI</scp> activations. Scandinavian Journal of Psychology, 2015, 56, 1-10.	0.8	11
49	Children's Recall of Words Spoken in Their First and Second Language: Effects of Signal-to-Noise Ratio and Reverberation Time. Frontiers in Psychology, 2015, 6, 2029.	1.1	17
50	On interpretation and task selection in studies on the effects of noise on cognitive performance. Frontiers in Psychology, 2014, 5, 1249.	1.1	11
51	Boundaries of semantic distraction: Dominance and lexicality act at retrieval. Memory and Cognition, 2014, 42, 1285-1301.	0.9	17
52	Individual differences in distractibility: An update and a model. PsyCh Journal, 2014, 3, 42-57.	0.5	46
53	High secondâ€language proficiency protects against the effects of reverberation on listening comprehension. Scandinavian Journal of Psychology, 2014, 55, 91-96.	0.8	14
54	Disruption of writing by background speech: The role of speech transmission index. Applied Acoustics, 2014, 81, 15-18.	1.7	36

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	A shield against distraction Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition, 2014, 3, 31-36.	0.7	52
56	Effects of speech on proofreading: Can task-engagement manipulations shield against distraction?. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied, 2014, 20, 69-80.	0.9	52
57	What We Expect Is Not Always What We Get: Evidence for Both the Direction-of-Change and the Specific-Stimulus Hypotheses of Auditory Attentional Capture. PLoS ONE, 2014, 9, e111997.	1.1	5
58	High working memory capacity does not always attenuate distraction: Bayesian evidence in support of the null hypothesis. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2013, 20, 897-904.	1.4	43
59	Distraction of Eye-Hand Coordination Varies With Working Memory Capacity. Journal of Motor Behavior, 2013, 45, 79-83.	0.5	4
60	Who Needs Cream and Sugar When There Is Eco-Labeling? Taste and Willingness to Pay for "Eco-Friendly―Coffee. PLoS ONE, 2013, 8, e80719.	1.1	115
61	Hemispheric specialization in selective attention and short-term memory: a fine-coarse model of left- and right-ear disadvantages. Frontiers in Psychology, 2013, 4, 976.	1.1	6
62	The Ease of Language Understanding (ELU) model: theoretical, empirical, and clinical advances. Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience, 2013, 7, 31.	1.2	647
63	Auditory Distraction Compromises Random Generation. Experimental Psychology, 2013, 60, 279-292.	0.3	4
64	Auditory Distraction Eliminates Retrieval Induced Forgetting. Experimental Psychology, 2013, 60, 368-375.	0.3	8
65	Episodic Long-Term Memory of Spoken Discourse Masked by Speech: What Is the Role for Working Memory Capacity?. Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research, 2012, 55, 210-218.	0.7	59
66	Working Memory Capacity and Visual–Verbal Cognitive Load Modulate Auditory–Sensory Gating in the Brainstem: Toward a Unified View of Attention. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 2012, 24, 2147-2154.	1.1	126
67	A 3 year update on the influence of noise on performance and behavior. Noise and Health, 2012, 14, 292.	0.4	56
68	Working memory capacity modulates habituation rate: Evidence from a cross-modal auditory distraction paradigm. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 2012, 19, 245-250.	1.4	61
69	Disruption of writing processes by the semanticity of background speech. Scandinavian Journal of Psychology, 2012, 53, 97-102.	0.8	46
70	Expectations Modulate the Magnitude of Attentional Capture by Auditory Events. PLoS ONE, 2012, 7, e48569.	1.1	29
71	Women Assimilate Across Gender, Men Don't: The Role of Gender to the Own-Anchor Effect in Age, Height, and Weight Estimates1. Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 2011, 41, 1733-1748.	1.3	7
72	High working memory capacity attenuates the deviation effect but not the changing-state effect: Further support for the duplex-mechanism account of auditory distraction. Memory and Cognition, 2010, 38, 651-658.	0.9	103

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	Effects of aircraft noise and speech on prose memory: What role for working memory capacity?. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 2010, 30, 112-118.	2.3	40
74	Individual differences in susceptibility to the effects of speech on reading comprehension. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2010, 24, 67-76.	0.9	58
75	The neural basis of updating: Distinguishing substitution processes from other concurrent processes. Scandinavian Journal of Psychology, 2010, 51, 357-62.	0.8	5
76	A sub-process view of working memory capacity: Evidence from effects of speech on prose memory. Memory, 2010, 18, 310-326.	0.9	79
77	Hemispheric asymmetries in auditory distraction. Brain and Cognition, 2010, 74, 79-87.	0.8	18
78	The role of working memory capacity in auditory distraction: A review. Noise and Health, 2010, 12, 217.	0.4	45
79	Effects of road traffic noise and irrelevant speech on children′s reading and mathematical performance. Noise and Health, 2009, 11, 194.	0.4	60
80	Experts on age estimation. Scandinavian Journal of Psychology, 2009, 50, 301-307.	0.8	35
81	Poor Listening Conditions Impair Memory for Intelligible Lectures: Implications for Acoustic Classroom Standards. Building Acoustics, 2009, 16, 257-265.	1.1	44
82	Effects of training on age estimation. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 2007, 21, 131-135.	0.9	35