

# Trudy Van Der Weijden

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5969852/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

119  
papers

5,035  
citations

126708

33  
h-index

102304

66  
g-index

125  
all docs

125  
docs citations

125  
times ranked

7087  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	A three-talk model for shared decision making: multistage consultation process. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2017, 359, j4891.	2.4	495
2	A systematic development process for patient decision aids. <i>BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making</i> , 2013, 13, S2.	1.5	391
3	“Many miles to go”: a systematic review of the implementation of patient decision support interventions into routine clinical practice. <i>BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making</i> , 2013, 13, S14.	1.5	374
4	Assessing the Quality of Decision Support Technologies Using the International Patient Decision Aid Standards instrument (IPDASi). <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2009, 4, e4705.	1.1	374
5	Decision aids that really promote shared decision making: the pace quickens. <i>BMJ</i> , The, 2015, 350, g7624.	3.0	193
6	Collaborative deliberation: A model for patient care. <i>Patient Education and Counseling</i> , 2014, 97, 158-164.	1.0	181
7	Patient and Public Involvement in Clinical Practice Guidelines. <i>Medical Decision Making</i> , 2011, 31, E45-E74.	1.2	131
8	Patient and public involvement in clinical guidelines: international experiences and future perspectives. <i>BMJ Quality and Safety</i> , 2010, 19, e22-e22.	1.8	128
9	Shared decision making in 2017: International accomplishments in policy, research and implementation. <i>Zeitschrift Fur Evidenz, Fortbildung Und Qualitat Im Gesundheitswesen</i> , 2017, 123-124, 1-5.	0.7	119
10	The Development of a Mobile Monitoring and Feedback Tool to Stimulate Physical Activity of People With a Chronic Disease in Primary Care: A User-Centered Design. <i>JMIR MHealth and UHealth</i> , 2013, 1, e8.	1.8	114
11	Dutch Translation and Psychometric Testing of the 9-Item Shared Decision Making Questionnaire (SDM-Q-9) and Shared Decision Making Questionnaire-Physician Version (SDM-Q-Doc) in Primary and Secondary Care. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2015, 10, e0132158.	1.1	109
12	Sustainability of professionals’ adherence to clinical practice guidelines in medical care: a systematic review. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2015, 5, e008073.	0.8	105
13	It’s LiFe! Mobile and Web-Based Monitoring and Feedback Tool Embedded in Primary Care Increases Physical Activity: A Cluster Randomized Controlled Trial. <i>Journal of Medical Internet Research</i> , 2015, 17, e184.	2.1	97
14	How to integrate individual patient values and preferences in clinical practice guidelines? A research protocol. <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2010, 5, 10.	2.5	96
15	Clinical practice guidelines and patient decision aids. An inevitable relationship. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2012, 65, 584-589.	2.4	92
16	Understanding laboratory testing in diagnostic uncertainty: a qualitative study in general practice. <i>British Journal of General Practice</i> , 2002, 52, 974-80.	0.7	88
17	Interprofessional collaboration regarding patients’ care plans in primary care: a focus group study into influential factors. <i>BMC Family Practice</i> , 2016, 17, 58.	2.9	83
18	What Works in Implementing Patient Decision Aids in Routine Clinical Settings? A Rapid Realist Review and Update from the International Patient Decision Aid Standards Collaboration. <i>Medical Decision Making</i> , 2021, 41, 907-937.	1.2	77

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	How can clinical practice guidelines be adapted to facilitate shared decision making? A qualitative key-informant study. <i>BMJ Quality and Safety</i> , 2013, 22, 855-863.	1.8	76
20	Unexplained Complaints in General Practice: Prevalence, Patients' Expectations, and Professionals' Test-Ordering Behavior. <i>Medical Decision Making</i> , 2003, 23, 226-231.	1.2	75
21	Disentangling self-management goal setting and action planning: A scoping review. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2017, 12, e0188822.	1.1	73
22	Shared decision making in chronic care in the context of evidence based practice in nursing. <i>International Journal of Nursing Studies</i> , 2015, 52, 393-402.	2.5	62
23	Shared decision making, a buzz-word in the Netherlands, the pace quickens towards nationwide implementation. <i>Zeitschrift Fur Evidenz, Fortbildung Und Qualitat Im Gesundheitswesen</i> , 2017, 123-124, 69-74.	0.7	56
24	A pilot study of a tool to stimulate physical activity in patients with COPD or type 2 diabetes in primary care. <i>Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare</i> , 2014, 20, 29-34.	1.4	54
25	Identification of promising strategies to sustain improvements in hospital practice: a qualitative case study. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , 2014, 14, 641.	0.9	53
26	OPTION5 versus OPTION12 instruments to appreciate the extent to which healthcare providers involve patients in decision-making. <i>Patient Education and Counseling</i> , 2016, 99, 1062-1068.	1.0	52
27	A randomized controlled trial evaluating the effectiveness of a web-based, computer-tailored self-management intervention for people with or at risk for COPD. <i>International Journal of COPD</i> , 2015, 10, 1061.	0.9	46
28	Implementation of multiple-domain covering computerized decision support systems in primary care: a focus group study on perceived barriers. <i>BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making</i> , 2015, 15, 82.	1.5	43
29	Setting goals in chronic care: Shared decision making as self-management support by the family physician. <i>European Journal of General Practice</i> , 2015, 21, 1-7.	0.9	41
30	Factors associated with sustainability of 2 quality improvement programs after achieving early implementation success. A qualitative case study. <i>Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice</i> , 2017, 23, 1135-1143.	0.9	41
31	Usability Evaluation of an Online, Tailored Self-Management Intervention for Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Patients Incorporating Behavior Change Techniques. <i>JMIR Research Protocols</i> , 2013, 2, e3.	0.5	41
32	Primary care patients' recognition of their own risk for cardiovascular disease: implications for risk communication in practice. <i>Current Opinion in Cardiology</i> , 2008, 23, 471-476.	0.8	39
33	Accelerating implementation of shared decision-making in the Netherlands: An exploratory investigation. <i>Patient Education and Counseling</i> , 2018, 101, 2097-2104.	1.0	36
34	Shared decision making in oncology: A model based on patients', health care professionals', and researchers' views. <i>Psycho-Oncology</i> , 2019, 28, 139-146.	1.0	36
35	Developing interprofessional care plans in chronic care: a scoping review. <i>BMC Family Practice</i> , 2016, 17, 137.	2.9	33
36	What makes it so difficult for nurses to coach patients in shared decision making? A process evaluation. <i>International Journal of Nursing Studies</i> , 2018, 80, 1-11.	2.5	32

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Identification and Prioritization of Important Attributes of Disease-Modifying Drugs in Decision Making among Patients with Multiple Sclerosis: A Nominal Group Technique and Best-Worst Scaling. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0164862.	1.1	30
38	Integrating a tailored e-health self-management application for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients into primary care: a pilot study. BMC Family Practice, 2014, 15, 4.	2.9	29
39	Relationships of work characteristics to job satisfaction, turnover intention, and burnout among doctors in the district public-private mixed health system of Bangladesh. BMC Health Services Research, 2017, 17, 421.	0.9	27
40	Shared decision making in the Netherlands, is the time ripe for nationwide, structural implementation?. Zeitschrift Fur Evidenz, Fortbildung Und Qualitat Im Gesundheitswesen, 2011, 105, 283-288.	0.7	26
41	How doctors move from generic goals to specific communicative behavior in real practice consultations. Patient Education and Counseling, 2013, 90, 170-176.	1.0	26
42	A monitoring and feedback tool embedded in a counselling protocol to increase physical activity of patients with COPD or type 2 diabetes in primary care: study protocol of a three-arm cluster randomised controlled trial. BMC Family Practice, 2014, 15, 93.	2.9	25
43	Exposure to and experiences with a computerized decision support intervention in primary care: results from a process evaluation. BMC Family Practice, 2015, 16, 141.	2.9	25
44	Ready for goal setting? Process evaluation of a patient-specific goal-setting method in physiotherapy. BMC Health Services Research, 2017, 17, 618.	0.9	25
45	How to integrate research evidence on patient preferences in pharmaceutical coverage decisions and clinical practice guidelines: A qualitative study among Dutch stakeholders. Health Policy, 2016, 120, 120-128.	1.4	24
46	How to support a co-creative research approach in order to foster impact. The development of a Co-creation Impact Compass for healthcare researchers. PLoS ONE, 2020, 15, e0240543.	1.1	24
47	“Doctor, please tell me it’s nothing serious” an exploration of patients’ worrying and reassuring cognitions using stimulated recall interviews. BMC Family Practice, 2014, 15, 73.	2.9	23
48	Development of a conversation approach for practice nurses aimed at making shared decisions on goals and action plans with primary care patients. BMC Health Services Research, 2018, 18, 891.	0.9	23
49	Developing skilled doctor-patient communication in the workplace: a qualitative study of the experiences of trainees and clinical supervisors. Advances in Health Sciences Education, 2017, 22, 1263-1278.	1.7	22
50	The development of a patient-specific method for physiotherapy goal setting: a user-centered design. Disability and Rehabilitation, 2018, 40, 2048-2055.	0.9	21
51	Developing quality criteria for patient-directed knowledge tools related to clinical practice guidelines. A development and consensus study. Health Expectations, 2019, 22, 201-208.	1.1	20
52	What does it take to set goals for self-management in primary care? A qualitative study: Table 1.. Family Practice, 2016, 33, 698-703.	0.8	18
53	Interprofessional primary care team meetings: a qualitative approach comparing observations with personal opinions. Family Practice, 2017, 34, 98-106.	0.8	18
54	Challenges of Research on Person-Centered Care in General Practice: A Scoping Review. Frontiers in Medicine, 2021, 8, 669491.	1.2	18

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Lessons learnt from a cluster-randomised trial evaluating the effectiveness of Self-Management Support (SMS) delivered by practice nurses in routine diabetes care. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2015, 5, e007014.	0.8	17
56	“No need to worry”: an exploration of general practitioners’ reassuring strategies. <i>BMC Family Practice</i> , 2014, 15, 133.	2.9	16
57	A stepped strategy that aims at the nationwide implementation of the Enhanced Recovery After Surgery programme in major gynaecological surgery: study protocol of a cluster randomised controlled trial. <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2015, 10, 106.	2.5	16
58	The use and perceived usefulness of a patient-specific measurement instrument in physiotherapy goal setting. A qualitative study. <i>Musculoskeletal Science and Practice</i> , 2017, 27, 23-31.	0.6	16
59	Development of a Customizable Programme for Improving Interprofessional Team Meetings: An Action Research Approach. <i>International Journal of Integrated Care</i> , 2018, 18, 8.	0.1	16
60	A randomised controlled trial testing a web-based, computer-tailored self-management intervention for people with or at risk for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a study protocol. <i>BMC Public Health</i> , 2013, 13, 557.	1.2	15
61	Protocol for a pre-implementation and post-implementation study on shared decision-making in the surgical treatment of women with early-stage breast cancer. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2015, 5, e007698-e007698.	0.8	14
62	A conceptual framework for patient-directed knowledge tools to support patient-centred care: Results from an evidence-informed consensus meeting. <i>Patient Education and Counseling</i> , 2019, 102, 1898-1904.	1.0	14
63	The clinical practice guideline palliative care for children and other strategies to enhance shared decision-making in pediatric palliative care; pediatricians’ critical reflections. <i>BMC Pediatrics</i> , 2019, 19, 467.	0.7	14
64	Online decision support for persons having a genetic predisposition to cancer and their partners during reproductive decision-making. <i>Journal of Genetic Counseling</i> , 2019, 28, 533-542.	0.9	14
65	A multidisciplinary guideline for the acute phase of stroke: barriers perceived by Dutch neurologists. <i>Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice</i> , 2004, 10, 241-246.	0.9	13
66	Describing patients’ needs in the context of research priorities in patients with multiple myeloma or Waldenstrom’s disease: A truly patient-driven study. <i>Zeitschrift Fur Evidenz, Fortbildung Und Qualitat Im Gesundheitswesen</i> , 2016, 112, 11-18.	0.7	13
67	Identifying Entrustable Professional Activities for Shared Decision Making in Postgraduate Medical Education: A National Delphi Study. <i>Academic Medicine</i> , 2021, 96, 126-133.	0.8	13
68	Shared decision-making in the Netherlands: Progress is made, but not for all. Time to become inclusive to patients. <i>Zeitschrift Fur Evidenz, Fortbildung Und Qualitat Im Gesundheitswesen</i> , 2022, 171, 98-104.	0.7	13
69	Upgrading physical activity counselling in primary care in the Netherlands. <i>Health Promotion International</i> , 2016, 31, 344-354.	0.9	12
70	Developing a patient portal for haematology patients requires involvement of all stakeholders and a customised design, tailored to the individual needs. <i>BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making</i> , 2019, 19, 129.	1.5	12
71	A qualitative exploration of clinicians’ strategies to communicate risks to patients in the complex reality of clinical practice. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2020, 15, e0236751.	1.1	12
72	Shared decision-making in the Netherlands – current state and future perspectives. <i>Zeitschrift Für Ärztliche Fortbildung Und Qualitätssicherung</i> , 2007, 101, 241-246.	0.2	11

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
73	Patients' readiness to receive psychosocial care during nurse-led routine diabetes consultations in primary care: A mixed methods study. <i>International Journal of Nursing Studies</i> , 2016, 63, 58-64.	2.5	11
74	Ascribing patients a passive role: Conversation analysis of practice nurses' and patients' goal setting and action planning talk. <i>Research in Nursing and Health</i> , 2018, 41, 389-397.	0.8	11
75	Suitability of a Programme for Improving Interprofessional Primary Care Team Meetings. <i>International Journal of Integrated Care</i> , 2018, 18, 12.	0.1	11
76	The patient's perspective of the feasibility of a patient-specific instrument in physiotherapy goal setting: a qualitative study. <i>Patient Preference and Adherence</i> , 2016, 10, 425.	0.8	10
77	Lost in definitions: Reducing duplication and clarifying definitions of knowledge and decision support tools. A RAND-modified Delphi consensus study. <i>Health Policy</i> , 2020, 124, 531-539.	1.4	9
78	Measuring shared decision making in oncology: Development and first testing of the iSHAREpatient and iSHAREphysician questionnaires. <i>Health Expectations</i> , 2020, 23, 496-508.	1.1	9
79	Get moving: the practice nurse is watching you!. <i>Journal of Innovation in Health Informatics</i> , 2013, 20, 289-298.	0.9	9
80	Encouraging post-stroke patients to be active seems possible: results of an intervention study with knowledge brokers. <i>Disability and Rehabilitation</i> , 2016, 38, 1748-1755.	0.9	8
81	The Perception of Shared Decision-Making in Hematology by Patients and Physicians Seems Satisfactory, but Important Steps are Still Ahead of Us. <i>HemaSphere</i> , 2020, 4, e417.	1.2	8
82	The Next Step Toward Patient-Centeredness in Multidisciplinary Cancer Team Meetings: An Interview Study with Professionals. <i>Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare</i> , 2021, Volume 14, 1311-1324.	1.1	8
83	Improvement of osteoporosis Care Organized by Nurses: ICON study - Protocol of a quasi-experimental study to assess the (cost)-effectiveness of combining a decision aid with motivational interviewing for improving medication persistence in patients with a recent fracture being treated at the fracture liaison service. <i>BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders</i> . 2021, 22, 913.	0.8	8
84	"I still have no idea why this patient was here": An exploration of the difficulties GP trainees experience when gathering information. <i>Patient Education and Counseling</i> , 2015, 98, 837-842.	1.0	7
85	Quality indicators to assess quality of pain clinic care from the perspective of patients with chronic pain: development, usability, comprehensibility, and psychometric quality of the QiPPP questionnaire. <i>Pain</i> , 2018, 159, 2593-2605.	2.0	7
86	What matters to me – a web-based preference elicitation tool for clients in long-term care: a user-centred design. <i>BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making</i> , 2020, 20, 57.	1.5	7
87	Implementing a breast cancer patient decision aid: Process evaluation using medical files and the patients' perspective. <i>European Journal of Cancer Care</i> , 2021, 30, e13387.	0.7	7
88	Predictors and consequences of rural clients' satisfaction level in the district public-private mixed health system of Bangladesh. <i>Global Health Research and Policy</i> , 2017, 2, 31.	1.4	6
89	Reproductive decision-making in the context of hereditary cancer: the effects of an online decision aid on informed decision-making. <i>Journal of Community Genetics</i> , 2021, 12, 101-110.	0.5	6
90	Patient and physician shared decision-making behaviors in oncology: Evidence on adequate measurement properties of the iSHARE questionnaires. <i>Patient Education and Counseling</i> , 2022, 105, 1089-1100.	1.0	6

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
91	A detailed report of the resource use and costs associated with implementation of a short stay programme for breast cancer surgery. <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2015, 10, 78.	2.5	5
92	Sustaining knowledge translation interventions for chronic disease management in older adults: protocol for a systematic review and network meta-analysis. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2018, 7, 140.	2.5	5
93	A conversation approach based on shared goal-setting and shared decision-making for nurses in cancer aftercare: A developmental study. <i>European Journal of Oncology Nursing</i> , 2018, 35, 107-116.	0.9	5
94	The Dutch chaos case: A scoping review of knowledge and decision support tools available to clinicians in the Netherlands. <i>Health Policy</i> , 2019, 123, 1288-1297.	1.4	5
95	Disappointing evaluation of a shared decision-making intervention for residents and orthopaedic surgeons. <i>Patient Education and Counseling</i> , 2022, 105, 1066-1074.	1.0	5
96	Educating consumers in self-testing: The development of an online decision aid. <i>Health Education Journal</i> , 2015, 74, 485-495.	0.6	4
97	Interdepartmental Spread of Innovations: A Multicentre Study of the Enhanced Recovery After Surgery Programme. <i>World Journal of Surgery</i> , 2018, 42, 2348-2355.	0.8	4
98	Getting to grips with the process of decision-making in long-term care. Descriptive cases illustrate the chaotic reality of the construction of preferences. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2019, 14, e0217338.	1.1	4
99	The Impact of Participant Characteristics on Use and Satisfaction of a Web-Based Computer-Tailored Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Self-Management Intervention: A Process Evaluation. <i>JMIR Formative Research</i> , 2017, 1, e1.	0.7	4
100	Evaluating the uptake and effects of the computerized decision support system NHGDoc on quality of primary care: protocol for a large-scale cluster randomized controlled trial. <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2014, 9, 145.	2.5	3
101	How doctors recognise that their patients are worried: A qualitative study of patient cues. <i>Patient Education and Counseling</i> , 2020, 103, 220-225.	1.0	3
102	The balanced incomplete block design is not suitable for the evaluation of complex interventions. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2014, 67, 1295-1298.	2.4	2
103	<p>Proposal for a Framework to Enable Elicitation of Preferences for Clients in Need of Long-Term Care</p>. <i>Patient Preference and Adherence</i> , 2020, Volume 14, 1553-1566.	0.8	2
104	Applying GRADE for diagnosis revealed methodological challenges: an illustrative example for guideline developers. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2021, 131, 123-132.	2.4	2
105	Do clinical practice guidelines consider evidence about diagnostic test consequences on patientâ€™relevant outcomes? A critical document analysis. <i>Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice</i> , 2021, , .	0.9	2
106	Trends in cholesterol testing in general practice. <i>European Journal of General Practice</i> , 1996, 2, 148-152.	0.9	1
107	Clients and professionals elicit longâ€™term care preferences by using â€™What matters to meâ€™™: A process evaluation in the Netherlands. <i>Health and Social Care in the Community</i> , 2022, 30, .	0.7	1
108	Quality improvement strategies for organizational change: a multiphase observational study to increase insight into nonparticipating organizations. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , 2018, 18, 1011.	0.9	0

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
109	An observational study on the process of collaborative deliberation in arranging long-term care: The perception of clients and professionals. <i>Clinical Ethics</i> , 0, , 147775092110366.	0.5	0
110	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0240543.		0
111	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0240543.		0
112	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0240543.		0
113	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0240543.		0
114	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0240543.		0
115	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0240543.		0
116	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0236751.		0
117	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0236751.		0
118	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0236751.		0
119	Title is missing!. , 2020, 15, e0236751.		0