

# Joann G Elmore

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5894670/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

169  
papers

9,540  
citations

50276

46  
h-index

43889

91  
g-index

174  
all docs

174  
docs citations

174  
times ranked

8733  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Ten-Year Risk of False Positive Screening Mammograms and Clinical Breast Examinations. <i>New England Journal of Medicine</i> , 1998, 338, 1089-1096.	27.0	977
2	Screening for Breast Cancer. <i>JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association</i> , 2005, 293, 1245.	7.4	718
3	Inviting Patients to Read Their Doctors' Notes: A Quasi-experimental Study and a Look Ahead. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2012, 157, 461.	3.9	575
4	Influence of Computer-Aided Detection on Performance of Screening Mammography. <i>New England Journal of Medicine</i> , 2007, 356, 1399-1409.	27.0	504
5	Diagnostic Concordance Among Pathologists Interpreting Breast Biopsy Specimens. <i>JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association</i> , 2015, 313, 1122.	7.4	499
6	Pathologistsâ€™ diagnosis of invasive melanoma and melanocytic proliferations: observer accuracy and reproducibility study. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2017, 357, j2813.	2.3	302
7	Variability in Interpretive Performance at Screening Mammography and Radiologistsâ€™ Characteristics Associated with Accuracy. <i>Radiology</i> , 2009, 253, 641-651.	7.3	219
8	OpenNotes After 7 Years: Patient Experiences With Ongoing Access to Their Cliniciansâ€™ Outpatient Visit Notes. <i>Journal of Medical Internet Research</i> , 2019, 21, e13876.	4.3	199
9	Inviting Patients to Read Their Doctors' Notes: Patients and Doctors Look Ahead. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2011, 155, 811.	3.9	185
10	Racial Inequities in the Timing of Breast Cancer Detection, Diagnosis, and Initiation of Treatment. <i>Medical Care</i> , 2005, 43, 141-148.	2.4	166
11	Predicting the Cumulative Risk of False-Positive Mammograms. <i>Journal of the National Cancer Institute</i> , 2000, 92, 1657-1666.	6.3	165
12	Decision-Making Processes for Breast, Colorectal, and Prostate Cancer Screening: The DECISIONS Survey. <i>Medical Decision Making</i> , 2010, 30, 53-64.	2.4	165
13	Journal reading habits of internists. <i>Journal of General Internal Medicine</i> , 2000, 15, 881-884.	2.6	160
14	International Variation in Screening Mammography Interpretations in Community-Based Programs. <i>Journal of the National Cancer Institute</i> , 2003, 95, 1384-1393.	6.3	157
15	When doctors share visit notes with patients: a study of patient and doctor perceptions of documentation errors, safety opportunities and the patientâ€“doctor relationship. <i>BMJ Quality and Safety</i> , 2017, 26, 262-270.	3.7	155
16	Screening Mammograms by Community Radiologists: Variability in False-Positive Rates. <i>Journal of the National Cancer Institute</i> , 2002, 94, 1373-1380.	6.3	134
17	Detection and classification of cancer in whole slide breast histopathology images using deep convolutional networks. <i>Pattern Recognition</i> , 2018, 84, 345-356.	8.1	130
18	Frequency and Types of Patient-Reported Errors in Electronic Health Record Ambulatory Care Notes. <i>JAMA Network Open</i> , 2020, 3, e205867.	5.9	120

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Patient portals and personal health information online: perception, access, and use by US adults. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association: JAMIA, 2017, 24, e173-e177.	4.4	115
20	When Radiologists Perform Best: The Learning Curve in Screening Mammogram Interpretation. Radiology, 2009, 253, 632-640.	7.3	106
21	The Road toward Fully Transparent Medical Records. New England Journal of Medicine, 2014, 370, 6-8.	27.0	102
22	The MPATH-Dx reporting schema for melanocytic proliferations and melanoma. Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology, 2014, 70, 131-141.	1.2	101
23	Y-Net: Joint Segmentation and Classification for Diagnosis of Breast Biopsy Images. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 2018, , 893-901.	1.3	101
24	Influence of Annual Interpretive Volume on Screening Mammography Performance in the United States. Radiology, 2011, 259, 72-84.	7.3	97
25	A review of eye tracking for understanding and improving diagnostic interpretation. Cognitive Research: Principles and Implications, 2019, 4, 7.	2.0	96
26	Online Access to Doctors' Notes: Patient Concerns About Privacy. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 2013, 15, e208.	4.3	92
27	Lack of Shared Decision Making in Cancer Screening Discussions. American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 2014, 47, 251-259.	3.0	87
28	Multi-Instance Multi-Label Learning for Multi-Class Classification of Whole Slide Breast Histopathology Images. IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging, 2018, 37, 316-325.	8.9	86
29	The Views and Experiences of Clinicians Sharing Medical Record Notes With Patients. JAMA Network Open, 2020, 3, e201753.	5.9	86
30	Breast carcinoma tumor characteristics in black and white women. , 1998, 83, 2509-2515.		85
31	Evaluating the impact of patients' online access to doctors' visit notes: designing and executing the OpenNotes project. BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, 2012, 12, 32.	3.0	85
32	Understanding diagnostic variability in breast pathology: lessons learned from an expert consensus review panel. Histopathology, 2014, 65, 240-251.	2.9	81
33	The Association Between Obesity and Screening Mammography Accuracy. Archives of Internal Medicine, 2004, 164, 1140.	3.8	80
34	Eye Movements as an Index of Pathologist Visual Expertise: A Pilot Study. PLoS ONE, 2014, 9, e103447.	2.5	77
35	Implications of Direct Patient Online Access to Radiology Reports Through Patient Web Portals. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2016, 13, 1608-1614.	1.8	74
36	Risk-based Breast Cancer Screening. Medical Clinics of North America, 2017, 101, 725-741.	2.5	72

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	An Assessment of Primary Care and Pulmonary Provider Perspectives on Lung Cancer Screening. <i>Annals of the American Thoracic Society</i> , 2018, 15, 69-75.	3.2	68
38	Patients Managing Medications and Reading Their Visit Notes: A Survey of OpenNotes Participants. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2019, 171, 69.	3.9	66
39	Patients'™ Attitudes Regarding Lung Cancer Screening and Decision Aids. A Survey and Focus Group Study. <i>Annals of the American Thoracic Society</i> , 2016, 13, 1992-2001.	3.2	65
40	Patient Access to Online Radiology Reports. <i>Academic Radiology</i> , 2016, 23, 1162-1169.	2.5	64
41	Accuracy is in the eyes of the pathologist: The visual interpretive process and diagnostic accuracy with digital whole slide images. <i>Journal of Biomedical Informatics</i> , 2017, 66, 171-179.	4.3	62
42	Efficacy of Breast Cancer Screening in the Community According to Risk Level. <i>Journal of the National Cancer Institute</i> , 2005, 97, 1035-1043.	6.3	60
43	Breast Cancer Screening. <i>Medical Clinics of North America</i> , 2015, 99, 451-468.	2.5	55
44	Your Patient Is Now Reading Your Note: Opportunities, Problems, and Prospects. <i>American Journal of Medicine</i> , 2016, 129, 1018-1021.	1.5	55
45	Localization of Diagnostically Relevant Regions of Interest in Whole Slide Images: a Comparative Study. <i>Journal of Digital Imaging</i> , 2016, 29, 496-506.	2.9	55
46	Assessment of Machine Learning of Breast Pathology Structures for Automated Differentiation of Breast Cancer and High-Risk Proliferative Lesions. <i>JAMA Network Open</i> , 2019, 2, e198777.	5.9	53
47	Variability in Pathologists' Interpretations of Individual Breast Biopsy Slides: A Population Perspective. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2016, 164, 649.	3.9	52
48	Population-Based Analysis of Histologically Confirmed Melanocytic Proliferations Using Natural Language Processing. <i>JAMA Dermatology</i> , 2018, 154, 24.	4.1	50
49	Words Matter: What Do Patients Find Judgmental or Offensive in Outpatient Notes?. <i>Journal of General Internal Medicine</i> , 2021, 36, 2571-2578.	2.6	48
50	Development of a diagnostic test set to assess agreement in breast pathology: practical application of the Guidelines for Reporting Reliability and Agreement Studies (GRRAS). <i>BMC Women's Health</i> , 2013, 13, 3.	2.0	42
51	Medical Malpractice Concerns and Defensive Medicine. <i>American Journal of Clinical Pathology</i> , 2015, 144, 916-922.	0.7	36
52	Achieving consensus for the histopathologic diagnosis of melanocytic lesions: use of the modified Delphi method. <i>Journal of Cutaneous Pathology</i> , 2016, 43, 830-837.	1.3	36
53	Automated Volumetric Analysis of Mammographic Density in a Screening Setting: Worse Outcomes for Women with Dense Breasts. <i>Radiology</i> , 2018, 288, 343-352.	7.3	35
54	How concerns and experiences with medical malpractice affect dermatopathologists' perceptions of their diagnostic practices when interpreting cutaneous melanocytic lesions. <i>Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology</i> , 2016, 74, 317-324.e8.	1.2	32

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	The diagnostic challenge of low-grade ductal carcinoma in situ. <i>European Journal of Cancer</i> , 2017, 80, 39-47.	2.8	32
56	Characteristics associated with nonadherence to medications for hypertension, diabetes, and dyslipidemia among breast cancer survivors. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2017, 161, 161-172.	2.5	32
57	Patients Who Share Transparent Visit Notes With Others: Characteristics, Risks, and Benefits. <i>Journal of Medical Internet Research</i> , 2014, 16, e247.	4.3	32
58	Disclosing Harmful Mammography Errors to Patients. <i>Radiology</i> , 2009, 253, 443-452.	7.3	30
59	Evaluation of the Melanocytic Pathology Assessment Tool and Hierarchy for Diagnosis (MPATH-Dx) classification scheme for diagnosis of cutaneous melanocytic neoplasms: Results from the International Melanoma Pathology Study Group. <i>Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology</i> , 2016, 75, 356-363.	1.2	30
60	Second opinion in breast pathology: policy, practice and perception. <i>Journal of Clinical Pathology</i> , 2014, 67, 955-960.	2.0	29
61	A Randomized Study Comparing Digital Imaging to Traditional Glass Slide Microscopy for Breast Biopsy and Cancer Diagnosis. <i>Journal of Pathology Informatics</i> , 2017, 8, 12.	1.7	28
62	Pathologist characteristics associated with accuracy and reproducibility of melanocytic skin lesion interpretation. <i>Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology</i> , 2018, 79, 52-59.e5.	1.2	27
63	Concordance and Reproducibility of Melanoma Staging According to the 7th vs 8th Edition of the AJCC Cancer Staging Manual. <i>JAMA Network Open</i> , 2018, 1, e180083.	5.9	27
64	Breast cancer screening use by African Americans and whites in an HMO. <i>Journal of General Internal Medicine</i> , 2000, 15, 229-234.	2.6	26
65	Assessment of Second-Opinion Strategies for Diagnoses of Cutaneous Melanocytic Lesions. <i>JAMA Network Open</i> , 2019, 2, e1912597.	5.9	26
66	Variability in mitotic figures in serial sections of thin melanomas. <i>Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology</i> , 2014, 71, 1204-1211.	1.2	24
67	Evaluation of 12 strategies for obtaining second opinions to improve interpretation of breast histopathology: simulation study. <i>BMJ, The</i> , 2016, 353, i3069.	6.0	24
68	Characterizing Diagnostic Search Patterns in Digital Breast Pathology: Scanners and Drillers. <i>Journal of Digital Imaging</i> , 2018, 31, 32-41.	2.9	24
69	Second opinion strategies in breast pathology: a decision analysis addressing over-treatment, under-treatment, and care costs. <i>Breast Cancer Research and Treatment</i> , 2018, 167, 195-203.	2.5	24
70	Perceptions of Primary Care Notes by Patients With Mental Health Diagnoses. <i>Annals of Family Medicine</i> , 2018, 16, 343-345.	1.9	24
71	Localization of Diagnostically Relevant Regions of Interest in Whole Slide Images. , 2014, , .		23
72	Use of Digital Whole Slide Imaging in Dermatopathology. <i>Journal of Digital Imaging</i> , 2016, 29, 243-253.	2.9	23

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
73	Learning to Segment Breast Biopsy Whole Slide Images. , 2018, , .		23
74	Communication Between Patients and Providers and Informed Decision Making. Journal of the National Cancer Institute Monographs, 2010, 204-209.	2.1	22
75	Breast Cancer Screening. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2014, 311, 1298.	7.4	22
76	Machine learning techniques for mitoses classification. Computerized Medical Imaging and Graphics, 2021, 87, 101832.	5.8	21
77	Radiologists' Performance and Their Enjoyment of Interpreting Screening Mammograms. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2009, 192, 361-369.	2.2	20
78	Patient Access to Online Visit Notes. Journal of the International Association of Providers of AIDS Care, 2015, 14, 306-312.	1.5	20
79	Patients Typing Their Own Visit Agendas Into an Electronic Medical Record: Pilot in a Safety-Net Clinic. Annals of Family Medicine, 2017, 15, 158-161.	1.9	20
80	Accuracy of Digital Pathologic Analysis vs Traditional Microscopy in the Interpretation of Melanocytic Lesions. JAMA Dermatology, 2018, 154, 1159.	4.1	20
81	Mammographersâ€™ Perception of Womenâ€™s Breast Cancer Risk. Medical Decision Making, 2005, 25, 283-289.	2.4	19
82	Diagnostic Reproducibility: What Happens When the Same Pathologist Interprets the Same Breast Biopsy Specimen at Two Points in Time?. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 24, 1234-1241.	1.5	19
83	Breast cancer prognostic factors in the digital era: Comparison of Nottingham grade using whole slide images and glass slides. Journal of Pathology Informatics, 2019, 10, 11.	1.7	19
84	Independent External Validation of Artificial Intelligence Algorithms for Automated Interpretation of Screening Mammography: A Systematic Review. Journal of the American College of Radiology, 2022, 19, 259-273.	1.8	19
85	Will use of patient portals help to educate and communicate with patients with diabetes?. Patient Education and Counseling, 2018, 101, 956-959.	2.2	18
86	Patients' perceptions of their doctors' notes and afterâ€visit summaries: A mixed methods study of patients at safetyâ€net clinics. Health Expectations, 2018, 21, 485-493.	2.6	18
87	Eye-tracking for assessing medical image interpretation: A&nbsp;pilot feasibility study comparing novice vs expert cardiologists. Perspectives on Medical Education, 2022, 8, 65-73.	3.5	18
88	Projecting the Benefits and Harms of Mammography Using Statistical Models: Proof or Proofiness?. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2015, 107, djv145-djv145.	6.3	17
89	Comparative safety of diabetes medications and risk of incident invasive breast cancer: a population-based cohort study. Cancer Causes and Control, 2016, 27, 709-720.	1.8	17
90	Solving the Problem of Overdiagnosis. New England Journal of Medicine, 2016, 375, 1483-1486.	27.0	17

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
91	Region of interest identification and diagnostic agreement in breast pathology. <i>Modern Pathology</i> , 2016, 29, 1004-1011.	5.5	17
92	The utilization of spitzâ€related nomenclature in the histological interpretation of cutaneous melanocytic lesions by practicing pathologists: results from the Mâ€Path study. <i>Journal of Cutaneous Pathology</i> , 2017, 44, 5-14.	1.3	17
93	Malpractice Concerns, Defensive Medicine, and the Histopathology Diagnosis of Melanocytic Skin Lesions. <i>American Journal of Clinical Pathology</i> , 2018, 150, 338-345.	0.7	17
94	Self-referral for screening mammography. <i>Journal of General Internal Medicine</i> , 1998, 13, 710-713.	2.6	16
95	Pathways to breast cancer screening artificial intelligence algorithm validation. <i>Breast</i> , 2020, 52, 146-149.	2.2	16
96	Scale-Aware Transformers for Diagnosing Melanocytic Lesions. <i>IEEE Access</i> , 2021, 9, 163526-163541.	4.2	16
97	Radiologists' Attitudes and Use of Mammography Audit Reports. <i>Academic Radiology</i> , 2010, 17, 752-760.	2.5	15
98	Histological features associated with diagnostic agreement in atypical ductal hyperplasia of the breast: illustrative cases from the Bâ€Path study. <i>Histopathology</i> , 2016, 69, 1028-1046.	2.9	15
99	Pupil diameter changes reflect difficulty and diagnostic accuracy during medical image interpretation. <i>BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making</i> , 2016, 16, 77.	3.0	15
100	Study protocol for the Innovative Support for Patients with SARS-COV-2 Infections Registry (INSPIRE): A longitudinal study of the medium and long-term sequelae of SARS-CoV-2 infection. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2022, 17, e0264260.	2.5	15
101	Are Physicians Influenced by Their Own Specialty Society's Guidelines Regarding Mammography Screening? An Analysis of Nationally Representative Data. <i>American Journal of Roentgenology</i> , 2016, 207, 959-964.	2.2	14
102	Surgical implications and variability in the use of the flat epithelial atypia diagnosis on breast biopsy specimens. <i>Breast</i> , 2017, 34, 34-43.	2.2	14
103	The invisible breast cancer: Experience does not protect against inattentive blindness to clinically relevant findings in radiology. <i>Psychonomic Bulletin and Review</i> , 2021, 28, 503-511.	2.8	14
104	Excess Patient Visits for Cough and Pulmonary Disease at a Large US Health System in the Months Prior to the COVID-19 Pandemic: Time-Series Analysis. <i>Journal of Medical Internet Research</i> , 2020, 22, e21562.	4.3	14
105	Is Confidence of Mammographic Assessment a Good Predictor of Accuracy?. <i>American Journal of Roentgenology</i> , 2012, 199, W134-W141.	2.2	13
106	Eye tracking reveals expertise-related differences in the time-course of medical image inspection and diagnosis. <i>Journal of Medical Imaging</i> , 2020, 7, .	1.5	13
107	Knowledge and values for cancer screening decisions: Results from a national survey. <i>Patient Education and Counseling</i> , 2016, 99, 624-630.	2.2	12
108	Patients Contributing to Visit Notes: Mixed Methods Evaluation of OurNotes. <i>Journal of Medical Internet Research</i> , 2021, 23, e29951.	4.3	12

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
109	Artificial Intelligence in Medical Imaging—Learning From Past Mistakes in Mammography. JAMA Health Forum, 2022, 3, e215207.	2.2	12
110	End-to-End diagnosis of breast biopsy images with transformers. Medical Image Analysis, 2022, 79, 102466.	11.6	12
111	The harms and benefits of modern screening mammography. BMJ, The, 2014, 348, g3824-g3824.	6.0	11
112	Characteristics of Patients Who Report Confusion After Reading Their Primary Care Clinic Notes Online. Health Communication, 2016, 31, 778-781.	3.1	11
113	Pathologists' Use of Second Opinions in Interpretation of Melanocytic Cutaneous Lesions: Policies, Practices, and Perceptions. Dermatologic Surgery, 2018, 44, 177-185.	0.8	11
114	Unmet Medical Needs Among Adults Who Move due to Unaffordable Housing: California Health Interview Survey, 2011–2017. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2021, 36, 2259-2266.	2.6	11
115	Dermatology in an Age of Fully Transparent Electronic Medical Records. JAMA Dermatology, 2015, 151, 477.	4.1	10
116	Identifying and processing the gap between perceived and actual agreement in breast pathology interpretation. Modern Pathology, 2016, 29, 717-726.	5.5	10
117	The self-reported use of immunostains and cytogenetic testing in the diagnosis of melanoma by practicing U.S. pathologists of 10 selected states. Journal of Cutaneous Pathology, 2016, 43, 492-497.	1.3	10
118	Ophthalmology patients' interest in online access to clinic notes at three US clinics. Ophthalmic and Physiological Optics, 2017, 37, 420-427.	2.0	10
119	Data Quality, Data Sharing, and Moving Artificial Intelligence Forward. JAMA Network Open, 2021, 4, e2119345.	5.9	10
120	Discordant Interpretations of Breast Biopsy Specimens by Pathologists—Reply. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association, 2015, 314, 83.	7.4	9
121	Effect of Screening Mammography on Cancer Incidence and Mortality. JAMA Internal Medicine, 2015, 175, 1490.	5.1	9
122	Shining Light Into the Black Box of Machine Learning. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2019, 111, 877-879.	6.3	9
123	Dermatopathologists' Experience With and Perceptions of Patient Online Access to Pathologic Test Result Reports. JAMA Dermatology, 2020, 156, 320.	4.1	9
124	Deep Feature Representations for Variable-Sized Regions of Interest in Breast Histopathology. IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics, 2021, 25, 2041-2049.	6.3	9
125	Toward Unbiased Evaluation of Postacute Sequelae of SARS-CoV-2 Infection: Challenges and Solutions for the Long Haul Ahead. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2022, 175, 740-743.	3.9	9
126	Development of an electronic breast pathology database in a community health system. Journal of Pathology Informatics, 2014, 5, 26.	1.7	8



#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
127	Patient and Radiologist Characteristics Associated With Accuracy of Two Types of Diagnostic Mammograms. American Journal of Roentgenology, 2015, 205, 456-463.	2.2	8
128	Imaging-based screening: maximizing benefits and minimizing harms. Clinical Imaging, 2016, 40, 339-343.	1.5	8
129	The Influence of Disease Severity of Preceding Clinical Cases on Pathologists' Medical Decision Making. Medical Decision Making, 2017, 37, 91-100.	2.4	8
130	Influence of variability in assessment of Breslow thickness, mitotic rate and ulceration among US pathologists interpreting invasive melanoma, for the purpose of AJCC staging. Journal of Cutaneous Pathology, 2018, 45, 588-596.	1.3	8
131	Pathology Trainees' Experience and Attitudes on Use of Digital Whole Slide Images. Academic Pathology, 2020, 7, 2374289520951922.	1.1	8
132	Malpractice and Patient Safety Concerns. American Journal of Clinical Pathology, 2020, 154, 700-707.	0.7	8
133	Terminology for melanocytic skin lesions and the MPATH classification schema: A survey of dermatopathologists. Journal of Cutaneous Pathology, 2021, 48, 733-738.	1.3	8
134	Care partners reading patients' visit notes via patient portals: Characteristics and perceptions. Patient Education and Counseling, 2022, 105, 290-296.	2.2	8
135	From patch-level to ROI-level deep feature representations for breast histopathology classification. , 2019, , .		8
136	Variation among pathologists' treatment suggestions for melanocytic lesions: A survey of pathologists. Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology, 2017, 76, 121-128.	1.2	7
137	More scanning, but not zooming, is associated with diagnostic accuracy in evaluating digital breast pathology slides. Journal of Vision, 2021, 21, 7.	0.3	7
138	Dermatopathologist Perceptions of Overdiagnosis of Melanocytic Skin Lesions and Association With Diagnostic Behaviors. JAMA Dermatology, 2022, 158, 675.	4.1	7
139	Automated identification and assignment of colonoscopy surveillance recommendations for individuals with colorectal polyps. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2021, 94, 978-987.	1.0	6
140	Radiologists' interpretive skills in screening vs. diagnostic mammography: are they related?. Clinical Imaging, 2016, 40, 1096-1103.	1.5	5
141	Factors associated with use of immunohistochemical markers in the histopathological diagnosis of cutaneous melanocytic lesions. Journal of Cutaneous Pathology, 2020, 47, 896-902.	1.3	5
142	Histopathologic synoptic reporting of invasive melanoma: How reliable are the data?. Cancer, 2021, 127, 3125-3136.	4.1	5
143	Improving the Diagnosis of Skin Biopsies Using Tissue Segmentation. Diagnostics, 2022, 12, 1713.	2.6	5
144	Characteristics associated with requests by pathologists for second opinions on breast biopsies. Journal of Clinical Pathology, 2017, 70, 947-953.	2.0	4

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
145	Pathologists' agreement on treatment suggestions for melanocytic skin lesions. <i>Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology</i> , 2020, 82, 1435-1444.	1.2	4
146	Retrospective Detection of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) in Symptomatic Patients Prior to Widespread Diagnostic Testing in Southern California. <i>Clinical Infectious Diseases</i> , 2022, 74, 271-277.	5.8	4
147	The influence of tumor regression, solar elastosis, and patient age on pathologists'™ interpretation of melanocytic skin lesions. <i>Laboratory Investigation</i> , 2017, 97, 187-193.	3.7	3
148	The Life and Death of Mammograms in Patients 75 Years and Older™To Screen or Not to Screen?. <i>JAMA Internal Medicine</i> , 2020, 180, 843.	5.1	3
149	Association of Second-Opinion Strategies in the Histopathologic Diagnosis of Cutaneous Melanocytic Lesions With Diagnostic Accuracy and Population-Level Costs. <i>JAMA Dermatology</i> , 2021, 157, 1102.	4.1	3
150	Health Records All Access Pass. Patient Portals That Allow Viewing of Clinical Notes and Hospital Discharge Summaries: The University of Washington Opennotes Implementation Experience. <i>Journal of the American Health Information Management Association</i> , 2016, 87, 36-9.	0.0	3
151	Clinical Use of an Electronic Pre-Visit Questionnaire Soliciting Patient Visit Goals and Interim History: A Retrospective Comparison Between Safety-net and Non-Safety-net Clinics. <i>Health Services Research and Managerial Epidemiology</i> , 2022, 9, 233339282210803.	0.9	3
152	Increasing Value by Increasing Volume: Call for Changes in US Breast Cancer Screening Practices. <i>Journal of the National Cancer Institute</i> , 2014, 106, dju028-dju028.	6.3	2
153	Demographic and practice characteristics of pathologists who enjoy breast tissue interpretation. <i>Breast</i> , 2015, 24, 107-111.	2.2	2
154	Complexities of perceived and actual performance in pathology interpretation: A comparison of cutaneous melanocytic skin and breast interpretations. <i>Journal of Cutaneous Pathology</i> , 2018, 45, 478-490.	1.3	2
155	Communicating with patients about diagnostic errors in breast cancer care: Providers'™ attitudes, experiences, and advice. <i>Patient Education and Counseling</i> , 2020, 103, 833-838.	2.2	2
156	Melanoma in the blink of an eye: Pathologists'™ rapid detection, classification, and localization of skin abnormalities. <i>Visual Cognition</i> , 2021, 29, 386-400.	1.6	2
157	Abstract SY01-03: The gold standard cancer diagnosis: Studies of physician variability, interpretive behavior, and the impact of AI. <i>Cancer Research</i> , 2021, 81, SY01-03-SY01-03.	0.9	2
158	Analysis of Regions of Interest and Distractor Regions in Breast Biopsy Images. , 2021, , .		2
159	Characterization of multiple diagnostic terms in melanocytic skin lesion pathology reports. <i>Journal of Cutaneous Pathology</i> , 2021, , .	1.3	2
160	Automated quantitative assessment of amorphous calcifications: Towards improved malignancy risk stratification. <i>Computers in Biology and Medicine</i> , 2022, 146, 105504.	7.0	2
161	Segmenting Skin Biopsy Images with Coarse and Sparse Annotations using U-Net. <i>Journal of Digital Imaging</i> , 2022, , 1.	2.9	2
162	RESPONSE: Re: Efficacy of Breast Cancer Screening in the Community According to Risk Level. <i>Journal of the National Cancer Institute</i> , 2005, 97, 1704-1705.	6.3	1

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
163	Generalist physiciansâ€™ challenges in understanding specialistsâ€™ clinic notes. Postgraduate Medical Journal, 2018, 94, 122-123.	1.8	1
164	What Do the European Breast Cancer Screening Guidelines Portend for U.S. Practice?. Annals of Internal Medicine, 2020, 172, 65.	3.9	1
165	Histopathological Diagnosis of Cutaneous Melanocytic Lesions: Blinded and Non-Blinded Second Opinions Offer Similar Improvement in Diagnostic Accuracy. Clinical and Experimental Dermatology, 2022, , .	1.3	1
166	The Reply. American Journal of Medicine, 2017, 130, e267.	1.5	0
167	Where there is smoke, there is not always fire. Cancer, 2018, 124, 2276-2277.	4.1	0
168	Keeping Pace With Technology Advances in Breast Cancer Screening: Synthetic 2D Images Outperform Digital Mammography. Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 2021, 113, 645-646.	6.3	0
169	Characteristics and diagnostic performance of pathologists who enjoy interpreting melanocytic lesions. Dermatology Online Journal, 2018, 24, .	0.5	0