

# Uri Landes

## List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5875206/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

58  
papers

1,484  
citations

304743

22  
h-index

330143

37  
g-index

59  
all docs

59  
docs citations

59  
times ranked

1667  
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Bicuspid Aortic Valve Morphology and Outcomes After Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement. <i>Journal of the American College of Cardiology</i> , 2020, 76, 1018-1030.	2.8	143
2	Repeat Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement for Transcatheter Prosthesis Dysfunction. <i>Journal of the American College of Cardiology</i> , 2020, 75, 1882-1893.	2.8	140
3	Bicuspid Aortic Valve Anatomy and Relationship With Devices: The BAVARD Multicenter Registry. <i>Circulation: Cardiovascular Interventions</i> , 2019, 12, e007107.	3.9	125
4	Incidence and outcomes of emergent cardiac surgery during transfemoral transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI): insights from the European Registry on Emergent Cardiac Surgery during TAVI (EuRECS-TAVI). <i>European Heart Journal</i> , 2018, 39, 676-684.	2.2	91
5	Coronary Access After TAVR-in-TAVR as Evaluated by Multidetector Computed Tomography. <i>JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions</i> , 2020, 13, 2528-2538.	2.9	65
6	Transcatheter Replacement of Transcatheter Versus Surgically Implanted Aortic Valve Bioprostheses. <i>Journal of the American College of Cardiology</i> , 2021, 77, 1-14.	2.8	64
7	Balloon Versus Self-Expandable Valve for the Treatment of Bicuspid Aortic Valve Stenosis. <i>Circulation: Cardiovascular Interventions</i> , 2020, 13, e008714.	3.9	62
8	Long-term outcomes after percutaneous coronary interventions in cancer survivors. <i>Coronary Artery Disease</i> , 2017, 28, 5-10.	0.7	54
9	Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement in Oncology Patients With Severe Aortic Stenosis. <i>JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions</i> , 2019, 12, 78-86.	2.9	53
10	In vitro evaluation of implantation depth in valve-in-valve using different transcatheter heart valves. <i>EuroIntervention</i> , 2016, 12, 909-917.	3.2	49
11	Urgent Transcatheter Aortic Valve Implantation in Patients With Severe Aortic Stenosis and Acute Heart Failure: Procedural and 30-Day Outcomes. <i>Canadian Journal of Cardiology</i> , 2016, 32, 726-731.	1.7	41
12	Type 2 myocardial infarction: A descriptive analysis and comparison with type 1 myocardial infarction. <i>Journal of Cardiology</i> , 2016, 67, 51-56.	1.9	39
13	Balloon-Expandable Valve for Treatment of Evolut Valve Failure. <i>JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions</i> , 2022, 15, 368-377.	2.9	37
14	ST-Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction Following Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement. <i>Journal of the American College of Cardiology</i> , 2021, 77, 2187-2199.	2.8	35
15	Effect of Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement on Concomitant Mitral Regurgitation and Its Impact on Mortality. <i>JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions</i> , 2021, 14, 1181-1192.	2.9	31
16	Temporal trends in transcatheter aortic valve implantation, 2008–2014: patient characteristics, procedural issues, and clinical outcome. <i>Clinical Cardiology</i> , 2017, 40, 82-88.	1.8	29
17	Transcatheter Treatment of Residual Significant Mitral Regurgitation Following TAVR. <i>JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions</i> , 2020, 13, 2782-2791.	2.9	29
18	Temporal trends in percutaneous coronary interventions thru the drug eluting stent era: Insights from 18,641 procedures performed over 12-year period. <i>Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions</i> , 2018, 92, E262-E270.	1.7	26

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Long-Term Durability of Transcatheter Heart Valves. JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2020, 13, 235-249.	2.9	26
20	Nationally Representative Repeat Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement Outcomes. JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2021, 14, 1717-1726.	2.9	26
21	Transcatheter aortic valve-in-valve implantation in degenerative rapid deployment bioprostheses. EuroIntervention, 2019, 15, 37-43.	3.2	26
22	Predictors of Long Term Outcomes in 11,441 Consecutive Patients Following Percutaneous Coronary Interventions. American Journal of Cardiology, 2015, 115, 855-859.	1.6	24
23	Leaflet and Neoskirt Height in Transcatheter Heart Valves. JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2021, 14, 2298-2300.	2.9	24
24	Procedural and clinical outcomes of type 0 versus type 1 bicuspid aortic valve stenosis undergoing trans-catheter valve replacement with new generation devices: Insight from the BEAT international collaborative registry. International Journal of Cardiology, 2021, 325, 109-114.	1.7	19
25	Usefulness of the CHA <sub>2</sub> DS <sub>2</sub> -VASc Score to Predict Outcome in Patients Who Underwent Transcatheter Aortic Valve Implantation. American Journal of Cardiology, 2018, 121, 241-248.	1.6	18
26	Feasibility of Coronary Access in Patients With Acute Coronary Syndrome and Previous TAVR. JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2021, 14, 1578-1590.	2.9	18
27	Long-Term Outcomes of 560 Consecutive Patients Treated With Transcatheter Aortic Valve Implantation and Propensity Score-Matched Analysis of Early- Versus New-Generation Valves. American Journal of Cardiology, 2017, 119, 1821-1831.	1.6	17
28	Outcomes following percutaneous coronary intervention in patients with cancer. International Journal of Cardiology, 2020, 300, 106-112.	1.7	16
29	Transcatheter Aortic Valve Implantation Futility Risk Model Development and Validation Among Treated Patients With Aortic Stenosis. American Journal of Cardiology, 2017, 120, 2241-2246.	1.6	15
30	Transcatheter aortic valve implantation in degenerative sutureless pericardial aortic bioprosthesis. Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions, 2018, 91, 1000-1004.	1.7	15
31	Overexpansion of older generation balloon expandable transcatheter heart valves: An <i>ex vivo</i> bench study. Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions, 2019, 94, 806-811.	1.7	9
32	Transcatheter aortic valve implantation with the new repositionable self-expandable Medtronic Evolut R vs. CoreValve system. Journal of Cardiovascular Medicine, 2019, 20, 226-236.	1.5	9
33	Percutaneous mechanical circulatory support from the collaborative multicenter Mechanical Unusual Support in Transcatheter Aortic Valve Implantation (MUST) Registry. Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions, 2021, 98, E862-E869.	1.7	9
34	Predictors of high residual gradient after transcatheter aortic valve replacement in bicuspid aortic valve stenosis. Clinical Research in Cardiology, 2021, 110, 667-675.	3.3	8
35	Impact of implant depth on hydrodynamic function of the ALLEGRA bioprosthesis in valve-in-valve interventions. EuroIntervention, 2020, 15, e1335-e1342.	3.2	8
36	Bioprosthetic Valve Leaflet Displacement During Valve-in-Valve Intervention. JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions, 2020, 13, 667-678.	2.9	7

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Transcatheter aortic valve replacement with Lotus and Sapien 3 prosthetic valves: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Journal of Thoracic Disease</i> , 2020, 12, 893-906.	1.4	7
38	Incidence, Causes, and Outcomes Associated With Urgent Implantation of a Supplementary Valve During Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement. <i>JAMA Cardiology</i> , 2021, 6, 936.	6.1	7
39	Impact of Self-Reported Family History of Premature Cardiovascular Disease on the Outcomes of Patients Hospitalized for Acute Coronary Syndrome (from the Acute Coronary Syndrome Israel Survey) <i>TJ ETQq1 1 0.7843146gBT /Ov</i>		
40	Iliofemoral artery lumen volume assessment with three dimensional multi-detector computed tomography and vascular complication risk in transfemoral transcatheter aortic valve replacement. <i>Journal of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography</i> , 2019, 13, 68-74.	1.3	6
41	Single-center prospective study examining use of the Wattson temporary pacing guidewire for transcatheter aortic valve replacement. <i>Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions</i> , 2020, 96, 968-971.	1.7	6
42	Bioprosthetic valve fracture: Predictors of outcome and follow-up. Results from a multicenter study. <i>Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions</i> , 2021, 98, 756-764.	1.7	6
43	Mechanical vs Bioprosthetic Aortic Valve Replacement in Patients Younger Than 70 Years of Age: A Hazard Ratio Meta-analysis. <i>Canadian Journal of Cardiology</i> , 2022, 38, 355-364.	1.7	6
44	Transcatheter Valve Implantation in Degenerated Bioprosthetic Surgical Valves (ViV) in Aortic, Mitral, and Tricuspid Positions: A Review. <i>Structural Heart</i> , 2017, 1, 225-235.	0.6	4
45	Predicting the risk of late futile outcome after transcatheter aortic valve implantation. <i>Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions</i> , 2020, 96, E695-E702.	1.7	4
46	Bioprosthetic Valve Fracture to Facilitate Valve-in-Valve Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement. <i>Structural Heart</i> , 2021, 5, 24-38.	0.6	4
47	Frailty Assessment of Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement Patients: Contemporary Practice and Future Directions. <i>Structural Heart</i> , 2021, 5, 357-366.	0.6	4
48	Annular size and interaction with trans-catheter aortic valves for treatment of severe bicuspid aortic valve stenosis: Insights from the BEAT registry. <i>International Journal of Cardiology</i> , 2022, 349, 31-38.	1.7	4
49	BIOFLOW-III satellite One-year clinical outcomes of diabetic patients treated with a biodegradable polymer sirolimus-eluting stent and comprehensive medical surveillance. <i>Cardiovascular Revascularization Medicine</i> , 2017, 18, 338-343.	0.8	3
50	The final meta-analysis?. <i>European Heart Journal</i> , 2019, 40, 3154-3155.	2.2	3
51	Implications of hydrodynamic testing to guide sizing of self-expanding transcatheter heart valves for valve-in-valve procedures. <i>Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions</i> , 2020, 96, E332-E340.	1.7	3
52	Is Aiming High Always Best?. <i>Structural Heart</i> , 2020, 4, 433-434.	0.6	2
53	The double jeopardy of aortic stenosis in cancer patients. <i>European Heart Journal Quality of Care &amp; Clinical Outcomes</i> , 2018, 4, 150-151.	4.0	1
54	Same day discharge: How much less is more for TAVR patients?. <i>Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions</i> , 2021, 97, 948-949.	1.7	1

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement in the Presence of Mitral Prosthesis or Ring. Structural Heart, 2019, 3, 134-137.	0.6	0
56	A risk score based on simple angiographic characteristics to aid in choosing the optimal revascularization strategy for patients with multivessel disease presenting with ST-elevation myocardial infarction. Coronary Artery Disease, 2020, 31, 597-605.	0.7	0
57	5 Year Outcomes of Patients With Aortic Structural Valve Deterioration Treated With Transcatheter Valve in Valve " A Single Center Prospective Registry. Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine, 2021, 8, 713341.	2.4	0
58	90 Annular size and interaction with trans-catheter aortic valves for the treatment of severe bicuspid aortic valve stenosis: insights from the beat registry. European Heart Journal Supplements, 2021, 23, .	0.1	0