Youngbin Baek

List of Publications by Year
in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5865614/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

33	1,752	361045 20	395343 33
papers	citations	h-index	g-index

33	33	33	2491
all docs	docs citations	times ranked	citing authors

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	Effects of Impurities from Sugar Excipient on Filtrate Flux during Ultrafiltration and Diafiltration Process. Membranes, 2021, 11, 775.	1.4	2
2	Relationships among Permeability, Membrane Roughness, and Eukaryote Inhabitation during Submerged Gravity-Driven Membrane (GDM) Filtration. Applied Sciences (Switzerland), 2020, 10, 8111.	1.3	6
3	Relationship between surface hydrophobicity and flux for membrane separation. RSC Advances, 2020, 10, 40043-40046.	1.7	7
4	Evaluation of long-term stability in capacitive deionization using activated carbon electrodes coated with ion exchange polymers. Korean Journal of Chemical Engineering, 2020, 37, 1199-1205.	1.2	8
5	Stereospecific interactions between histidine and monoclonal antibodies. Biotechnology and Bioengineering, 2019, 116, 2632-2639.	1.7	6
6	Mass Balance Model with Donnan Equilibrium Accurately Describes Unusual pH and Excipient Profiles during Diafiltration of Monoclonal Antibodies. Biotechnology Journal, 2019, 14, 1800517.	1.8	17
7	Intermolecular interactions in highly concentrated formulations of recombinant therapeutic proteins. Current Opinion in Biotechnology, 2018, 53, 59-64.	3.3	24
8	Development of a Hydrodynamic Cleaning Cycle for Ultrafiltration/Diafiltration Processes Used for Monoclonal Antibody Formulation. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 2018, 57, 16110-16115.	1.8	4
9	Ultrafiltration behavior of an Fc-fusion protein: Filtrate flux data and modeling. Journal of Membrane Science, 2017, 528, 171-177.	4.1	15
10	Effects of Histidine and Sucrose on the Biophysical Properties of a Monoclonal Antibody. Pharmaceutical Research, 2017, 34, 629-639.	1.7	28
11	Ultrafiltration behavior of monoclonal antibodies and Fcâ€fusion proteins: Effects of physical properties. Biotechnology and Bioengineering, 2017, 114, 2057-2065.	1.7	31
12	pH variations during diafiltration due to buffer nonidealities. Biotechnology Progress, 2017, 33, 1555-1560.	1.3	9
13	Evaluation of carbon nanotube-polyamide thin-film nanocomposite reverse osmosis membrane: Surface properties, performance characteristics and fouling behavior. Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry, 2017, 56, 327-334.	2.9	50
14	A high-performance and fouling resistant thin-film composite membrane prepared via coating TiO2 nanoparticles by sol-gel-derived spray method for PRO applications. Desalination, 2016, 397, 157-164.	4.0	38
15	A Carbonaceous Membrane based on a Polymer of Intrinsic Microporosity (PIM-1) for Water Treatment. Scientific Reports, 2016, 6, 36078.	1.6	39
16	Autonomous Graphene Vessel for Suctioning and Storing Liquid Body of Spilled Oil. Scientific Reports, 2016, 6, 22339.	1.6	23
17	Improvement of vertically aligned carbon nanotube membranes: desalination potential, flux enhancement and scale-up. Desalination and Water Treatment, 2016, 57, 28133-28140.	1.0	15
18	Evaluation of thin-film nanocomposite RO membranes using TiO₂nanotubes and TiO₂nanoparticles: a comparative study. Desalination and Water Treatment, 2016, 57, 24674-24681.	1.0	6

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Carbon nanotube-bonded graphene hybrid aerogels and their application to water purification. Nanoscale, 2015, 7, 6782-6789.	2.8	77
20	A carbon nanotube wall membrane for water treatment. Nature Communications, 2015, 6, 7109.	5.8	178
21	High performance and antifouling vertically aligned carbon nanotube membrane for water purification. Journal of Membrane Science, 2014, 460, 171-177.	4.1	142
22	Fouling and rejection behavior of carbon nanotube membranes. Desalination, 2014, 343, 180-186.	4.0	34
23	The improvement of antibiofouling properties of a reverse osmosis membrane by oxidized CNTs. RSC Advances, 2014, 4, 32802.	1.7	74
24	Electroconductive Feed Spacer as a Tool for Biofouling Control in a Membrane System for Water Treatment. Environmental Science and Technology Letters, 2014, 1, 179-184.	3.9	37
25	High-Performance Reverse Osmosis CNT/Polyamide Nanocomposite Membrane by Controlled Interfacial Interactions. ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces, 2014, 6, 2819-2829.	4.0	261
26	Biofouling occurrence process and its control in the forward osmosis. Desalination, 2013, 325, 30-36.	4.0	101
27	Experimental analysis of transport characteristics for vertically aligned carbon nanotube membranes. Desalination and Water Treatment, 2013, 51, 5349-5354.	1.0	4
28	New disinfectant to control biofouling of polyamide reverse osmosis membrane. Journal of Membrane Science, 2013, 427, 30-36.	4.1	30
29	Measuring hydrophilicity of RO membranes by contact angles via sessile drop and captive bubble method: A comparative study. Desalination, 2012, 303, 23-28.	4.0	132
30	Feasibility of supercritical CO₂ treatment for controlling biofouling in the reverse osmosis process. Biofouling, 2012, 28, 627-633.	0.8	6
31	Carbon nanotube-based membranes: Fabrication and application to desalination. Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry, 2012, 18, 1551-1559.	2.9	165
32	Effect of surface properties of reverse osmosis membranes on biofouling occurrence under filtration conditions. Journal of Membrane Science, 2011, 382, 91-99.	4.1	71
33	Evaluation of surface properties of reverse osmosis membranes on the initial biofouling stages under no filtration condition. Journal of Membrane Science, 2010, 351, 112-122.	4.1	112