
Richard F Potthoff

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5861112/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Can one assess whether missing data are missing at random in medical studies?. Statistical Methods in Medical Research, 2006, 15, 213-234.	0.7	100
2	Detecting treatment-by-centre interaction in multi-centre clinical trials. Statistics in Medicine, 2001, 20, 193-213.	0.8	17
3	Condorcet Polling. Public Choice, 2011, 148, 67-86.	1.0	15
4	Multiwinner approval voting: an apportionment approach. Public Choice, 2019, 178, 67-93.	1.0	13
5	Simple manipulation-resistant voting systems designed to elect Condorcet candidates and suitable for large-scale public elections. Social Choice and Welfare, 2013, 40, 101-122.	0.4	10
6	Title is missing!. Public Choice, 2003, 117, 163-175.	1.0	9
7	Flexible Phase I Clinical Trials: Allowing for Nonbinary Toxicity Response and Removal of Other Common Limitations. Statistics in Biopharmaceutical Research, 2009, 1, 213-228.	0.6	8
8	Title is missing!. Group Decision and Negotiation, 2002, 11, 405-414.	2.0	7
9	Voter Uncertainty Can Produce Preferences with More Than One Peak, but Not Preference Cycles: A Clue to the Fate of Ross Perot?. Journal of Politics, 2005, 67, 429-453.	1.4	7
10	Prediction markets, Bayesian priors, and clinical trials. Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference, 2007, 137, 3706-3721.	0.4	7
11	Scheduling of panels by integer programming: Results for the 2005 and 2006 New Orleans meetings. Public Choice, 2007, 131, 465-468.	1.0	7
12	Flexible Frames and Control Sampling in Case-Control Studies. American Statistician, 2008, 62, 307-313.	0.9	5
13	The paradox of grading systems. Public Choice, 2015, 165, 193-210.	1.0	5
14	Estimating Ideal Points from Roll-Call Data: Explore Principal Components Analysis, Especially for More Than One Dimension?. Social Sciences, 2018, 7, 12.	0.7	5
15	Condorcet Completion Methods that Inhibit Manipulation through Exploiting Knowledge of Electorate Preferences. Games, 2014, 5, 204-233.	0.4	4
16	Condorcet polling can yield serendipitous clues about voter views. Public Choice, 2015, 165, 1-12.	1.0	4
17	AN UNDERRATED 1911 RELIC CAN MODIFY DIVISOR METHODS TO PREVENT QUOTA VIOLATION IN PROPORTIONAL REPRESENTATION AND U.S. HOUSE APPORTIONMENT. Representation, 2014, 50, 193-215.	0.8	3
18	Three Bizarre Presidential-Election Scenarios: The Perils of Simplism. Social Sciences, 2019, 8, 134.	0.7	3

RICHARD F POTTHOFF

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Condorcet Loser in 2016: Apparently Trump; Condorcet Winner: Not Clinton?. American Politics Research, 0, , 1532673X2110094.	0.9	3
20	Differential losses to follow-up that are outcome-dependent can vitiate a clinical trial: Simulation results. Journal of Biopharmaceutical Statistics, 2018, 28, 633-644.	0.4	1
21	Multiwinner approval voting: an apportionment approach. , 2019, 178, 67.		1
22	Radial Symmetry Does Not Preclude Condorcet Cycles If Different Voters Weight the Issues Differently. Economies, 2022, 10, 166.	1.2	1
23	Numbers Rule: The Vexing Mathematics of Democracy, from Plato to the Present by George G. Szpiro. Mathematical Intelligencer, 2013, 35, 76-79.	0.1	0
24	A novel test to compare two treatments based on endpoints involving both nonfatal and fatal events. Pharmaceutical Statistics, 2015, 14, 273-283.	0.7	0
25	Tests of whether candidate A or B would fare better against C—and other polling enrichments to open up party strategy. Party Politics, 2019, 25, 828-840.	1.8	0
26	Clashes Involving National Popular Vote, Hare ("RCVâ€) , Maine, Alaska. PS - Political Science and Politics, 0, , 1-6.	0.3	0
27	<i>Spot It!</i> and balanced block designs: keys to better debate architecture for a plethora of candidates in presidential primaries?. Journal of Applied Statistics, 0, , 1-20.	0.6	ο