

Shaun Treweek

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5860319/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

195
papers

16,211
citations

44069

48
h-index

18130

120
g-index

210
all docs

210
docs citations

210
times ranked

22074
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Improving the reporting of pragmatic trials: an extension of the CONSORT statement. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2008, 337, a2390-a2390.	2.3	1,327
2	The PRECIS-2 tool: designing trials that are fit for purpose. <i>BMJ, The</i> , 2015, 350, h2147-h2147.	6.0	1,162
3	A pragmatic“explanatory continuum indicator summary (PRECIS): a tool to help trial designers. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2009, 62, 464-475.	5.0	1,013
4	Normalisation process theory: a framework for developing, evaluating and implementing complex interventions. <i>BMC Medicine</i> , 2010, 8, 63.	5.5	858
5	Development of a theory of implementation and integration: Normalization Process Theory. <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2009, 4, 29.	6.9	839
6	GRADE Evidence to Decision (EtD) frameworks: a systematic and transparent approach to making well informed healthcare choices. 1: Introduction. <i>BMJ, The</i> , 2016, 353, i2016.	6.0	658
7	GRADE Evidence to Decision (EtD) frameworks: a systematic and transparent approach to making well informed healthcare choices. 2: Clinical practice guidelines. <i>BMJ, The</i> , 2016, 353, i2089.	6.0	507
8	Understanding the implementation of complex interventions in health care: the normalization process model. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , 2007, 7, 148.	2.2	495
9	The GRADE Working Group clarifies the construct of certainty of evidence. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2017, 87, 4-13.	5.0	455
10	Guidelines 2.0: systematic development of a comprehensive checklist for a successful guideline enterprise. <i>Cmaj</i> , 2014, 186, E123-E142.	2.0	441
11	Methods to improve recruitment to randomised controlled trials: Cochrane systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2013, 3, e002360.	1.9	418
12	Making trials matter: pragmatic and explanatory trials and the problem of applicability. <i>Trials</i> , 2009, 10, 37.	1.6	361
13	Process evaluations for cluster-randomised trials of complex interventions: a proposed framework for design and reporting. <i>Trials</i> , 2013, 14, 15.	1.6	358
14	A pragmatic-explanatory continuum indicator summary (PRECIS): a tool to help trial designers. <i>Cmaj</i> , 2009, 180, E47-E57.	2.0	317
15	Achieving change in primary care“causes of the evidence to practice gap: systematic reviews of reviews. <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2015, 11, 40.	6.9	316
16	A gender-sensitised weight loss and healthy living programme for overweight and obese men delivered by Scottish Premier League football clubs (FFIT): a pragmatic randomised controlled trial. <i>Lancet, The</i> , 2014, 383, 1211-1221.	13.7	308
17	Strategies to improve recruitment to randomised trials. <i>The Cochrane Library</i> , 2018, 2018, MR000013.	2.8	280
18	Interventions to improve recruitment and retention in clinical trials: a survey and workshop to assess current practice and future priorities. <i>Trials</i> , 2014, 15, 399.	1.6	279

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Drug-disease and drug-drug interactions: systematic examination of recommendations in 12 UK national clinical guidelines. <i>BMJ, The</i> , 2015, 350, h949-h949.	6.0	247
20	Strategies to improve recruitment to randomised controlled trials. <i>The Cochrane Library</i> , 2010, , MRO00013.	2.8	224
21	Intervention description is not enough: evidence from an in-depth multiple case study on the untold role and impact of context in randomised controlled trials of seven complex interventions. <i>Trials</i> , 2012, 13, 95.	1.6	187
22	Supporting the delivery of cost-effective interventions in primary health-care systems in low-income and middle-income countries: an overview of systematic reviews. <i>Lancet, The</i> , 2008, 372, 928-939.	13.7	173
23	Evaluating complex interventions and health technologies using normalization process theory: development of a simplified approach and web-enabled toolkit. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , 2011, 11, 245.	2.2	173
24	Trial Forge Guidance 1: what is a Study Within A Trial (SWAT)? <i>Trials</i> , 2018, 19, 139.	1.6	168
25	Developing and evaluating communication strategies to support informed decisions and practice based on evidence (DECIDE): protocol and preliminary results. <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2013, 8, 6.	6.9	153
26	Achieving change in primary care—effectiveness of strategies for improving implementation of complex interventions: systematic review of reviews. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2015, 5, e009993.	1.9	153
27	Strategies to improve recruitment to randomised controlled trials. , 2010, , MRO00013.		150
28	Improving the normalization of complex interventions: part 2 - validation of the NoMAD instrument for assessing implementation work based on normalization process theory (NPT). <i>BMC Medical Research Methodology</i> , 2018, 18, 135.	3.1	139
29	Lower Limb Prosthetic Outcome Measures: A Review of the Literature 1995 to 2005. <i>Journal of Prosthetics and Orthotics</i> , 2006, 18, P13-P45.	0.4	126
30	Cluster randomised controlled trial of tailored interventions to improve the management of urinary tract infections in women and sore throat. <i>BMJ: British Medical Journal</i> , 2002, 325, 367-367.	2.3	124
31	Improving the normalization of complex interventions: measure development based on normalization process theory (NoMAD): study protocol. <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2013, 8, 43.	6.9	115
32	The selection of comparators for randomized controlled trials of health-related behavioral interventions: recommendations of an NIH expert panel. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2019, 110, 74-81.	5.0	114
33	Routinely collected data for randomized trials: promises, barriers, and implications. <i>Trials</i> , 2018, 19, 29.	1.6	98
34	Improving the normalization of complex interventions: part 1 - development of the NoMAD instrument for assessing implementation work based on normalization process theory (NPT). <i>BMC Medical Research Methodology</i> , 2018, 18, 133.	3.1	97
35	Cognitive behavioural therapy with optional graded exercise therapy in patients with severe fatigue with myotonic dystrophy type 1: a multicentre, single-blind, randomised trial. <i>Lancet Neurology, The</i> , 2018, 17, 671-680.	10.2	95
36	Creating Clinical Practice Guidelines We Can Trust, Use, and Share. <i>Chest</i> , 2013, 144, 381-389.	0.8	94

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Identifying trial recruitment uncertainties using a James Lind Alliance Priority Setting Partnership â€œ the PRioRiTy (Prioritising Recruitment in Randomised Trials) study. <i>Trials</i> , 2018, 19, 147.	1.6	92
38	The impact of a bodyweight and physical activity intervention (BeWEL) initiated through a national colorectal cancer screening programme: randomised controlled trial. <i>BMJ, The</i> , 2014, 348, g1823-g1823.	6.0	87
39	Factors that impact on recruitment to randomised trials in health care: a qualitative evidence synthesis. <i>The Cochrane Library</i> , 2020, 2020, MR000045.	2.8	74
40	Rational Prescribing in Primary Care (RaPP): A Cluster Randomized Trial of a Tailored Intervention. <i>PLoS Medicine</i> , 2006, 3, e134.	8.4	72
41	COVID-19 and ethnicity: who will research results apply to?. <i>Lancet, The</i> , 2020, 395, 1955-1957.	13.7	66
42	Improving GRADE evidence tables part 3: detailed guidance for explanatory footnotes supports creating and understanding GRADE certainty in the evidence judgments. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2016, 74, 28-39.	5.0	65
43	Football Fans in Training (FFIT): a randomised controlled trial of a gender-sensitised weight loss and healthy living programme for men â€œ end of study report. <i>Public Health Research</i> , 2015, 3, 1-130.	1.3	64
44	Systematic techniques for assisting recruitment to trials (START): study protocol for embedded, randomized controlled trials. <i>Trials</i> , 2014, 15, 407.	1.6	61
45	The effect of a programme to improve menâ€™s sedentary time and physical activity: The European Fans in Training (EuroFIT) randomised controlled trial. <i>PLoS Medicine</i> , 2019, 16, e1002736.	8.4	61
46	The Effect of Alternative Summary Statistics for Communicating Risk Reduction on Decisions about Taking Statins: A Randomized Trial. <i>PLoS Medicine</i> , 2009, 6, e1000134.	8.4	54
47	Using a business model approach and marketing techniques for recruitment to clinical trials. <i>Trials</i> , 2011, 12, 74.	1.6	53
48	Data feedback and behavioural change intervention to improve primary care prescribing safety (EFIPPS): multicentre, three arm, cluster randomised controlled trial. <i>BMJ, The</i> , 2016, 354, i4079.	6.0	52
49	What are the most important unanswered research questions in trial retention? A James Lind Alliance Priority Setting Partnership: the PRioRiTy II (Prioritising Retention in Randomised Trials) study. <i>Trials</i> , 2019, 20, 593.	1.6	51
50	Earlier diagnosis of lung cancer in a randomised trial of an autoantibody blood test followed by imaging. <i>European Respiratory Journal</i> , 2020, 57, 2000670.	6.7	50
51	What kind of randomized trials do we need?. <i>Cmaj</i> , 2009, 180, 998-1000.	2.0	48
52	Making clinical trials more relevant: improving and validating the PRECIS tool for matching trial design decisions to trial purpose. <i>Trials</i> , 2013, 14, 115.	1.6	48
53	The PRECIS-2 tool has good interrater reliability and modest discriminant validity. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2017, 88, 113-121.	5.0	48
54	Patient and public attitudes to and awareness of clinical practice guidelines: a systematic review with thematic and narrative syntheses. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , 2014, 14, 321.	2.2	46

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Interventions in randomised controlled trials in surgery: issues to consider during trial design. <i>Trials</i> , 2015, 16, 392.	1.6	46
56	Designing and using incentives to support recruitment and retention in clinical trials: a scoping review and a checklist for design. <i>Trials</i> , 2019, 20, 624.	1.6	46
57	Strategies to improve retention in randomised trials. <i>The Cochrane Library</i> , 2021, 2021, MR000032.	2.8	46
58	Factors that impact on recruitment to randomised trials in health care: a qualitative evidence synthesis. <i>The Cochrane Library</i> , 0, , .	2.8	45
59	Detection in blood of autoantibodies to tumour antigens as a case-finding method in lung cancer using the EarlyCDT [®] -Lung Test (ECLS): study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. <i>BMC Cancer</i> , 2017, 17, 187.	2.6	43
60	Weight management for overweight and obese men delivered through professional football clubs: a pilot randomized trial. <i>International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity</i> , 2013, 10, 121.	4.6	42
61	Preparing for what the reporting checklists will not tell you: the PREPARE Trial guide for planning clinical research to avoid research waste. <i>British Journal of Sports Medicine</i> , 2017, 51, 1494-1501.	6.7	39
62	Developing the INCLUDE Ethnicity Framework—a tool to help trialists design trials that better reflect the communities they serve. <i>Trials</i> , 2021, 22, 337.	1.6	39
63	A cluster randomised stepped wedge trial to evaluate the effectiveness of a multifaceted information technology-based intervention in reducing high-risk prescribing of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and antiplatelets in primary medical care: The DQIP study protocol. <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2012, 7, 24.	6.9	37
64	Public attitudes to the storage of blood left over from routine general practice tests and its use in research. <i>Journal of Health Services Research and Policy</i> , 2009, 14, 13-19.	1.7	36
65	Rating of Included Trials on the Efficacy–Effectiveness Spectrum: development of a new tool for systematic reviews. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2017, 84, 95-104.	5.0	36
66	What do patients and the public know about clinical practice guidelines and what do they want from them? A qualitative study. <i>BMC Health Services Research</i> , 2016, 16, 74.	2.2	35
67	Why we will remain pragmatists: four problems with the impractical mechanistic framework and a better solution. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2009, 62, 485-488.	5.0	34
68	Development of an online resource for recruitment research in clinical trials to organise and map current literature. <i>Clinical Trials</i> , 2018, 15, 533-542.	1.6	34
69	Patient-, organization-, and system-level barriers and facilitators to preventive oral health care: a convergent mixed-methods study in primary dental care. <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2015, 11, 5.	6.9	32
70	PRECIS-2 helps researchers design more applicable RCTs while CONSORT Extension for Pragmatic Trials helps knowledge users decide whether to apply them. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2017, 84, 27-29.	5.0	32
71	What kind of randomised trials do patients and clinicians need?. <i>Evidence-Based Medicine</i> , 2009, 14, 101-103.	0.6	31
72	The translation research in a dental setting (TRiADS) programme protocol. <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2010, 5, 57.	6.9	31

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
73	Study protocol of European Fans in Training (EuroFIT): a four-country randomised controlled trial of a lifestyle program for men delivered in elite football clubs. BMC Public Health, 2016, 16, 598.	2.9	31
74	Trial Forge Guidance 2: how to decide if a further Study Within A Trial (SWAT) is needed. Trials, 2020, 21, 33.	1.6	29
75	What kind of randomized trials do we need?. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2009, 62, 461-463.	5.0	28
76	Breast cancer risk reduction - is it feasible to initiate a randomised controlled trial of a lifestyle intervention programme (ActWell) within a national breast screening programme?. International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity, 2014, 11, 156.	4.6	28
77	The feasibility and acceptability of trial procedures for a pragmatic randomised controlled trial of a structured physical activity intervention for people diagnosed with colorectal cancer: findings from a pilot trial of cardiac rehabilitation versus usual care (no rehabilitation) with an embedded qualitative study. Pilot and Feasibility Studies, 2016, 2, 51.	1.2	28
78	Low risk pragmatic trials do not always require participants' informed consent. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2019, 364, l1092.	2.3	28
79	Study protocol for BeWEL: The impact of a BodyWEight and physical activity intervention on adults at risk of developing colorectal adenomas. BMC Public Health, 2011, 11, 184.	2.9	27
80	Tolerating bad health research: the continuing scandal. Trials, 2022, 23, .	1.6	27
81	It's trying to manage the work: a qualitative evaluation of recruitment processes within a UK multicentre trial. BMJ Open, 2017, 7, e016475.	1.9	25
82	Rational Prescribing in Primary Care (RaPP-trial). A randomised trial of a tailored intervention to improve prescribing of antihypertensive and cholesterol-lowering drugs in general practice [ISRCTN48751230]. BMC Health Services Research, 2003, 3, 5.	2.2	24
83	How Should the Impact of Different Presentations of Treatment Effects on Patient Choice Be Evaluated? A Pilot Randomized Trial. PLoS ONE, 2008, 3, e3693.	2.5	24
84	Development of a Novel, Multilayered Presentation Format for Clinical Practice Guidelines. Chest, 2015, 147, 754-763.	0.8	23
85	Dissemination of Clinical Practice Guidelines. Medical Decision Making, 2016, 36, 692-702.	2.4	23
86	Reducing research waste by promoting informed responses to invitations to participate in clinical trials. Trials, 2019, 20, 613.	1.6	23
87	Patient and public involvement (PPI) in UK surgical trials: a survey and focus groups with stakeholders to identify practices, views, and experiences. Trials, 2019, 20, 119.	1.6	22
88	Predictors of recruitment and retention in randomized controlled trials of behavioural smoking cessation interventions: a systematic review and meta-regression analysis. Addiction, 2022, 117, 299-311.	3.3	22
89	The potential of electronic medical record systems to support quality improvement work and research in Norwegian general practice. BMC Health Services Research, 2003, 3, 10.	2.2	21
90	Evaluation of interventions for informed consent for randomised controlled trials (ELICIT): protocol for a systematic review of the literature and identification of a core outcome set using a Delphi survey. Trials, 2015, 16, 484.	1.6	21

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
91	Desktop software to identify patients eligible for recruitment into a clinical trial: using SARMA to recruit to the ROAD feasibility trial. <i>Journal of Innovation in Health Informatics</i> , 2010, 18, 51-58.	0.9	21
92	Meeting the challenges of recruitment to multicentre, community-based, lifestyle-change trials: a case study of the BeWEL trial. <i>Trials</i> , 2013, 14, 436.	1.6	20
93	Primary care research network progress in Scotland. <i>European Journal of General Practice</i> , 2014, 20, 337-342.	2.0	20
94	Abdominal massage plus advice, compared with advice only, for neurogenic bowel dysfunction in MS: a RCT. <i>Health Technology Assessment</i> , 2018, 22, 1-134.	2.8	20
95	Understanding the applicability of results from primary care trials: lessons learned from applying PRECIS-2. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2017, 90, 119-126.	5.0	18
96	The effect of optimised patient information materials on recruitment in a lung cancer screening trial: an embedded randomised recruitment trial. <i>Trials</i> , 2018, 19, 503.	1.6	18
97	Better guidelines for better care: accounting for multimorbidity in clinical guidelines – structured examination of exemplar guidelines and health economic modelling. <i>Health Services and Delivery Research</i> , 2017, 5, 1-150.	1.4	18
98	A pragmatic resolution. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2009, 62, 495-498.	5.0	17
99	Addressing the evidence to practice gap for complex interventions in primary care: a systematic review of reviews protocol. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2014, 4, e005548-e005548.	1.9	17
100	Randomised controlled trial to assess the impact of a lifestyle intervention (ActWELL) in women invited to NHS breast screening. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2018, 8, e024136.	1.9	17
101	NorthStar, a support tool for the design and evaluation of quality improvement interventions in healthcare. <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2007, 2, 19.	6.9	16
102	Disclosure of Investigators' Recruitment Performance in Multicenter Clinical Trials: A Further Step for Research Transparency. <i>PLoS Medicine</i> , 2011, 8, e1001149.	8.4	16
103	E-mail invitations to general practitioners were as effective as postal invitations and were more efficient. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2012, 65, 793-797.	5.0	16
104	PRECIS-2 for retrospective assessment of RCTs in systematic reviews. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2020, 126, 202-206.	5.0	16
105	Why trials lose participants: A multitrail investigation of participants' perspectives using the theoretical domains framework. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2021, 137, 1-13.	5.0	16
106	Advance telephone calls ahead of reminder questionnaires increase response rate in non-responders compared to questionnaire reminders only: The RECORD phone trial. <i>Trials</i> , 2014, 15, 13.	1.6	15
107	Do participants in adjuvant breast cancer trials reflect the breast cancer patient population?. <i>European Journal of Cancer</i> , 2015, 51, 907-914.	2.8	15
108	A protocol for a systematic review of non-randomised evaluations of strategies to improve participant recruitment to randomised controlled trials. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2016, 5, 131.	5.3	15

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
109	The Trial Protocol Tool: the PRACTIHC software tool that supported the writing of protocols for pragmatic randomized controlled trials. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2006, 59, 1127-1133.	5.0	14
110	Study protocol of a mixed-methods evaluation of a cluster randomized trial to improve the safety of NSAID and antiplatelet prescribing: data-driven quality improvement in primary care. <i>Trials</i> , 2012, 13, 154.	1.6	14
111	Patients, health information, and guidelines: A focus-group study. <i>Scandinavian Journal of Primary Health Care</i> , 2015, 33, 212-219.	1.5	14
112	Trial managementâ€œ building the evidence base for decision-making. <i>Trials</i> , 2018, 19, 11.	1.6	14
113	A conceptual framework for patient-directed knowledge tools to support patient-centred care: Results from an evidence-informed consensus meeting. <i>Patient Education and Counseling</i> , 2019, 102, 1898-1904.	2.2	14
114	Estimating Site Performance (ESP): can trial managers predict recruitment success at trial sites? An exploratory study. <i>Trials</i> , 2019, 20, 192.	1.6	14
115	Non-randomised evaluations of strategies to increase participant retention in randomised controlled trials: a systematic review. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2020, 9, 224.	5.3	13
116	Getting it wrong most of the time? Comparing trialistsâ€™ choice of primary outcome with what patients and health professionals want. <i>Trials</i> , 2022, 23, .	1.6	13
117	Recruiting and retaining postpartum women from areas of social disadvantage in a weight loss trial â€œ an assessment of strategies employed in the WeighWell feasibility study. <i>Maternal and Child Nutrition</i> , 2013, 9, 322-331.	3.0	12
118	CRIBâ€œthe use of cardiac rehabilitation services to aid the recovery of patients with bowel cancer: a pilot randomised controlled trial (RCT) with embedded feasibility study. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2014, 4, e004684.	1.9	12
119	A protocol for a systematic review of non-randomised evaluations of strategies to increase participant retention to randomised controlled trials. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2018, 7, 30.	5.3	12
120	SWATted away: the challenging experience of setting up a programme of SWATs in paediatric trials. <i>Trials</i> , 2019, 20, 141.	1.6	12
121	Can we achieve better recruitment by providing better information? Meta-analysis of â€œ studies within a trialâ€™ (SWATs) of optimised participant information sheets. <i>BMC Medicine</i> , 2021, 19, 218.	5.5	12
122	A systematic review of non-randomised evaluations of strategies to improve participant recruitment to randomised controlled trials. <i>F1000Research</i> , 2020, 9, 86.	1.6	12
123	Effective Feedback to Improve Primary Care Prescribing Safety (EFIPPS) a pragmatic three-arm cluster randomised trial: designing the intervention (ClinicalTrials.gov registration NCT01602705). <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2014, 9, 133.	6.9	11
124	Experiences of recruiting to a pilot trial of Cardiac Rehabilitation In patients with Bowel cancer (CRIB) with an embedded process evaluation: lessons learned to improve recruitment. <i>Pilot and Feasibility Studies</i> , 2015, 1, 15.	1.2	11
125	Summary of findings tables for communicating key findings of systematic reviews. <i>The Cochrane Library</i> , 2017, , .	2.8	11
126	A novel approach to increasing community capacity for weight management a volunteer-delivered programme (ActWELL) initiated within breast screening clinics: a randomised controlled trial. <i>International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity</i> , 2021, 18, 34.	4.6	11

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
127	Developing and evaluating interventions to reduce inappropriate prescribing by general practitioners of antibiotics for upper respiratory tract infections: A randomised controlled trial to compare paper-based and web-based modelling experiments. <i>Implementation Science</i> , 2011, 6, 16.	6.9	10
128	The Trans-femoral Fitting Predictor: A Functional Measure to Predict Prosthetic Fitting in Transfemoral Amputeesâ€“Validity and Reliability. <i>Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation</i> , 2011, 92, 1293-1297.	0.9	10
129	Paper-based and web-based intervention modeling experiments identifiedÂthe same predictors of general practitioners' antibiotic-prescribing behavior. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2014, 67, 296-304.	5.0	10
130	A theory-informed approach to developing visually mediated interventions to change behaviour using an asthma and physical activity intervention exemplar. <i>Pilot and Feasibility Studies</i> , 2016, 2, 46.	1.2	10
131	Reducing delay in patients with acute coronary syndrome and other time-critical conditions: a systematic review to identify the behaviour change techniques associated with effective interventions. <i>Open Heart</i> , 2019, 6, e000975.	2.3	10
132	Prediction of RECRUITment In randomized clinical Trials (RECRUIT-IT)â€”rationale and design for an international collaborative study. <i>Trials</i> , 2020, 21, 731.	1.6	10
133	Using systematic data categorisation to quantify the types of data collected in clinical trials: the DataCat project. <i>Trials</i> , 2020, 21, 535.	1.6	10
134	Trial forge: a systematic approach to making trials more efficient. <i>Trials</i> , 2013, 14, .	1.6	9
135	Using evidence when planning for trial recruitment: An international perspective from time-poor trialists. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2019, 14, e0226081.	2.5	9
136	Complex interventions and the chamber of secrets: understanding why they work and why they do not. <i>Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine</i> , 2005, 98, 553-553.	2.0	8
137	What kind of randomized trials do patients and clinicians need?. <i>Annals of Internal Medicine</i> , 2009, 150, JC5.	3.9	8
138	Protocol for the Effective Feedback to Improve Primary Care Prescribing Safety (EFIPPS) study: a cluster randomised controlled trial using ePrescribing data. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2012, 2, e002359.	1.9	8
139	Make it REAL: four simple points to increase clinical relevance in sport and exercise medicine research. <i>British Journal of Sports Medicine</i> , 2018, 52, 1407-1408.	6.7	8
140	Incomplete reporting of recruitment information in breast cancer trials published between 2003 and 2008. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2011, 64, 1216-1222.	5.0	7
141	Developing a patient and public involvement intervention to enhance recruitment and retention in surgical trials (PIRRIST): study protocol. <i>Trials</i> , 2015, 16, .	1.6	7
142	A primary care Web-based Intervention Modeling Experiment replicated behavior changes seen in earlier paper-based experiment. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2016, 80, 116-122.	5.0	7
143	How much is the lack of retention evidence costing trial teams in Ireland and the UK?. <i>Trials</i> , 2022, 23, 396.	1.6	7
144	The PROMoting the USE of SWATs (PROMETHEUS) programme: Lessons learnt and future developments for SWATs. <i>Research Methods in Medicine & Health Sciences</i> , 2022, 3, 100-106.	1.2	7

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
145	Pragmatic trials are randomized and may use a placebo. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2010, 63, 694-695.	5.0	6
146	COVID-19 randomised trial protocols: rapid publication without barriers. <i>Trials</i> , 2020, 21, 327.	1.6	6
147	Bah humbug! Association between sending Christmas cards to trial participants and trial retention: randomised study within a trial conducted simultaneously across eight host trials. <i>BMJ, The</i> , 2021, 375, e067742.	6.0	6
148	Complex Interventions and the Chamber of Secrets: Understanding why they Work and Why they do not. <i>Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine</i> , 2005, 98, 553-553.	2.0	5
149	How much does pre-trial testing influence complex intervention trials and would more testing make any difference? An email survey. <i>BMC Medical Research Methodology</i> , 2006, 6, 28.	3.1	5
150	Protocolsâ€™ more structure, less â€™Wuthering Heightsâ€™™. <i>Trials</i> , 2019, 20, 649.	1.6	5
151	Digital tools for trial recruitment and retentionâ€™ plenty of tools but rigorous evaluation is in short supply. <i>Trials</i> , 2020, 21, 476.	1.6	5
152	Optimisation of the ActWELL lifestyle intervention programme for women attending routine NHS breast screening clinics. <i>Trials</i> , 2020, 21, 484.	1.6	5
153	Five-year cost-effectiveness analysis of the European Fans in Training (EuroFIT) physical activity intervention for men versus no intervention. <i>International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity</i> , 2020, 17, 30.	4.6	5
154	Tibial nerve stimulation compared with sham to reduce incontinence in care home residents: ELECTRIC RCT. <i>Health Technology Assessment</i> , 2021, 25, 1-110.	2.8	5
155	An international core outcome set for evaluating interventions to improve informed consent to clinical trials: The ELICIT Study. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2021, 137, 14-22.	5.0	5
156	Does reading a book in bed make a difference to sleep in comparison to not reading a book in bed? The Peopleâ€™s Trialâ€™ an online, pragmatic, randomised trial. <i>Trials</i> , 2021, 22, 873.	1.6	5
157	Joining the mobile revolution. <i>Scandinavian Journal of Primary Health Care</i> , 2003, 21, 75-76.	1.5	4
158	A multimedia intervention to enhance recruitment to clinical trials in primary care and community settings: process of development and evaluation. <i>Trials</i> , 2013, 14, .	1.6	4
159	Reducing patient delay with symptoms of acute coronary syndrome: a research protocol for a systematic review of previous interventions to investigate which behaviour change techniques are associated with effective interventions. <i>Open Heart</i> , 2014, 1, e000079.	2.3	4
160	Development of an online resource for recruitment research in clinical trials (ORRCA). <i>Trials</i> , 2015, 16, .	1.6	4
161	Improving the relevance of randomised trials to primary care: a qualitative study investigating views towards pragmatic trials and the PRECIS-2 tool. <i>Trials</i> , 2019, 20, 711.	1.6	4
162	Letter on â€™Sharing trial results directly with trial participants and other stakeholders after the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic hit the UK â€™ experience from the ActWELL trialâ€™. <i>Trials</i> , 2021, 22, 381.	1.6	4

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
163	SWAT 76 evaluation: randomised evaluation of sending pre-notification cards to trial participants before a face-to-face primary outcome measurement to increase attendance. <i>F1000Research</i> , 2021, 10, 84.	1.6	4
164	The use of cardiac rehabilitation services to aid the recovery of patients with bowel cancer: a pilot randomised controlled trial with embedded feasibility study. <i>Health Services and Delivery Research</i> , 2016, 4, 1-232.	1.4	4
165	Systematic techniques for assisting recruitment to trials (START): developing the science of recruitment. <i>Trials</i> , 2013, 14, .	1.6	3
166	Why is so much clinical research ignored and what can we do about it?. <i>British Journal of Hospital Medicine (London, England: 2005)</i> , 2016, 77, 554-555.	0.5	3
167	Reducing patient delay in Acute Coronary Syndrome (<scp>RAP</scp>iD): research protocol for a web-based randomized controlled trial examining the effect of a behaviour change intervention. <i>Journal of Advanced Nursing</i> , 2017, 73, 1220-1234.	3.3	3
168	“Better” clinical decisions do not necessarily require more time to make. <i>Journal of Clinical Epidemiology</i> , 2017, 82, 173-174.	5.0	3
169	The People’s Trial: supporting the public’s understanding of randomised trials. <i>Trials</i> , 2022, 23, 205.	1.6	3
170	The development of theory-informed participant-centred interventions to maximise participant retention in randomised controlled trials. <i>Trials</i> , 2022, 23, 268.	1.6	3
171	Using qualitative methods in pilot and feasibility trials to inform recruitment and retention processes in full-scale randomised trials: a qualitative evidence synthesis. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 2022, 12, e055521.	1.9	3
172	Recommendations from Cochrane reviews for improving future trials on anesthesia and pain: a meta-research study. <i>Journal of Comparative Effectiveness Research</i> , 2022, 11, 669-677.	1.4	3
173	Studies within a trial priorities to improve the evidence to inform recruitment and retention practice in clinical trials. <i>Research Methods in Medicine & Health Sciences</i> , 2022, 3, 121-126.	1.2	3
174	Staff training to improve participant recruitment into surgical randomised controlled trials: A feasibility study within a trial (SWAT) across four host trials simultaneously. <i>Research Methods in Medicine & Health Sciences</i> , 0, , 263208432211069.	1.2	3
175	Weight loss intervention for football fans – Authors' reply. <i>Lancet, The</i> , 2014, 383, 2122.	13.7	2
176	Risk of bias assessment of sequence generation: a study of 100 systematic reviews of trials. <i>Systematic Reviews</i> , 2019, 8, 13.	5.3	2
177	Feasibility study protocol of a pragmatic, randomised controlled pilot trial: membrane sweeping to prevent post-term pregnancy – the MILO Study. <i>Trials</i> , 2021, 22, 113.	1.6	2
178	Can a Sports Team-based Lifestyle Program (Hockey Fans In Training) Improve Weight In Overweight Men?. <i>Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise</i> , 2016, 48, 604.	0.4	2
179	A concept analysis of “trial recruitment” using the hybrid model. <i>HRB Open Research</i> , 0, 3, 92.	0.6	2
180	Response to a novel, weight self-awareness plan used in a multi-component lifestyle intervention programme to reduce breast cancer risk factors in older women – Secondary analysis from the ActWELL trial. <i>Journal of Human Nutrition and Dietetics</i> , 2023, 36, 266-276.	2.5	2

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
181	Do pragmatic trials trade-off internal validity for external validity?. <i>Trials</i> , 2015, 16, .	1.6	1
182	SWAT 76 evaluation: randomised evaluation of sending pre-notification cards to trial participants before a face-to-face primary outcome measurement to increase attendance. <i>F1000Research</i> , 2021, 10, 84.	1.6	1
183	Learning from Cochrane systematic reviews: what improvements do these suggest for the design of trials?. <i>F1000Research</i> , 2020, 9, 178.	1.6	1
184	A concept analysis of "trial recruitment"™ using the hybrid model " Phase 1 findings. <i>HRB Open Research</i> , 2020, 3, 92.	0.6	1
185	How best to design a clinical trial that is relevant to practice? Applying PRECIS-2, a trial design tool, to primary care trials. <i>Trials</i> , 2015, 16, .	1.6	0
186	Do trial managers know when sites will fail to recruit? Preliminary results from the estimating site performance (ESP) study. <i>Trials</i> , 2015, 16, .	1.6	0
187	Matching trial design decisions to the needs of those you hope will use the results: the PRECIS-2 tool. <i>Trials</i> , 2015, 16, .	1.6	0
188	Trial forge " working together to make trials more efficient. <i>Trials</i> , 2015, 16, .	1.6	0
189	Evaluation of interventions for informed consent for randomised controlled trials (ELICIT): developing a core outcome set. <i>Trials</i> , 2015, 16, .	1.6	0
190	Testing the effectiveness of user-tested patient information on recruitment rates across multiple trials: meta-analysis of data from the start programme. <i>Trials</i> , 2015, 16, .	1.6	0
191	Impact of Hockey Fans in Training Program on Steps and Self-rated Health in Overweight Men. <i>Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise</i> , 2016, 48, 601.	0.4	0
192	Prioritising key motivators and challenges influencing informal carers™ decisions for participating in randomised trials: An embedded Study Within A before and after Trial (SWAT 55). <i>HRB Open Research</i> , 0, 3, 71.	0.6	0
193	Abstract P4-18-01: Do participants in adjuvant breast cancer trials reflect the breast cancer patient population?. , 2015, , .		0
194	What improvements do Cochrane systematic reviewers suggest for the design of trials?. <i>F1000Research</i> , 2020, 9, 178.	1.6	0
195	Prioritising key motivators and challenges influencing informal carers™ decisions for participating in randomised trials: An embedded Study Within A before and after Trial (SWAT 55). <i>HRB Open Research</i> , 0, 3, 71.	0.6	0