## Iina R Hellsten

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5838655/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

41 papers

1,357 citations

304368
22
h-index

35 g-index

42 all docs 42 docs citations

times ranked

42

1220 citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Climate Change on Twitter: Topics, Communities and Conversations about the 2013 IPCC Working Group 1 Report. PLoS ONE, 2014, 9, e94785.	1.1	184
2	Metaphors and Diaphors in Science Communication. Science Communication, 2005, 27, 64-99.	1.8	97
3	Measuring the meaning of words in contexts: An automated analysis of controversies about 'Monarch butterflies,' 'Frankenfoods,' and 'stem cells'. Scientometrics, 2006, 67, 231-258.	1.6	96
4	Implicit media frames: Automated analysis of public debate on artificial sweeteners. Public Understanding of Science, 2010, 19, 590-608.	1.6	73
5	Self-citations, co-authorships and keywords: A new approach to scientists' field mobility?. Scientometrics, 2007, 72, 469-486.	1.6	63
6	Gender differences in the climate change communication on Twitter. Internet Research, 2015, 25, 811-828.	2.7	55
7	Dolly: Scientific Breakthrough or Frankenstein's Monster? Journalistic and Scientific Metaphors of Cloning. Metaphor and Symbol, 2000, 15, 213-221.	0.4	53
8	Genomics: shifts in metaphorical landscape between 2000 and 2003. New Genetics and Society, 2004, 23, 255-268.	0.7	46
9	Does the public discuss other topics on climate change than researchers? A comparison of explorative networks based on author keywords and hashtags. Journal of Informetrics, 2019, 13, 695-707.	1.4	46
10	Synthetic biology: building the language for a new science brick by metaphorical brick. New Genetics and Society, 2011, 30, 375-397.	0.7	42
11	Tipping Points and Climate Change: Metaphor Between Science and the Media. Environmental Communication, 2018, 12, 605-620.	1.2	40
12	From "Burning Library―to "Green Medicine― Science Communication, 2002, 24, 229-245.	1.8	39
13	Beyond the human genome: microbes, metaphors and what it means to be human in an interconnected post-genomic world. New Genetics and Society, 2009, 28, 19-36.	0.7	38
14	When fragments link: a bibliometric perspective on the development of fragment-based drug discovery. Drug Discovery Today, 2018, 23, 1596-1609.	3.2	36
15	Automated analysis of actor–topic networks on twitter: New approaches to the analysis of socioâ€semantic networks. Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 2020, 71, 3-15.	1.5	34
16	Hashtag activism and the configuration of counterpublics: Dutch animal welfare debates on Twitter. Information, Communication and Society, 2021, 24, 1694-1711.	2.6	34
17	Cited references and Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) as two different knowledge representations: clustering and mappings at the paper level. Scientometrics, 2016, 109, 2077-2091.	1.6	31
18	Active and passive stakeholders in issue arenas: A communication network approach to the bird flu debate on Twitter. Public Relations Review, 2019, 45, 35-48.	1.9	31

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Multiple presents: how search engines rewrite the past. New Media and Society, 2006, 8, 901-924.	3.1	28
20	Capturing Online Presence: Hyperlinks and Semantic Networks in Activist Group Websites on Corporate Social Responsibility. Journal of Business Ethics, 2013, 118, 807-823.	3.7	28
21	Climate Change Communication and the Internet: Challenges and Opportunities for Research. Environmental Communication, 2015, 9, 149-152.	1.2	26
22	Imagining the Future at the Global and National Scale: A Comparative Study of British and Dutch Press Coverage of Rio 1992 and Rio 2012. Environmental Communication, 2014, 8, 468-488.	1.2	23
23	Focus On Metaphors: The Case Of "Frankenfood―On The Web. Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication, 0, 8, 0-0.	1.7	23
24	From sequencing to annotating: extending the metaphor of the book of life from genetics to genomics. New Genetics and Society, 2005, 24, 283-297.	0.7	20
25	Popular Metaphors of Biosciences: Bridges over Time?. Configurations, 2008, 16, 11-32.	0.2	20
26	Internet time and the reliability of search engines. First Monday, 2004, 9, .	0.6	19
27	Mapping discursive dynamics of the financial crisis: a structural perspective of concept roles in semantic networks. Computational Social Networks, 2015, 2, .	2.1	18
28	Evolving debates in online communication: a graph analytical approach. Internet Research, 2008, 18, 520-540.	2.7	15
29	Back to the Roots? The Applications of Communication Science Theories in Strategic Communication Research. International Journal of Strategic Communication, 2020, 14, 1-24.	0.9	14
30	Selling the Life Sciences: Promises of a Better Future in Biotechnology Advertisements. Science As Culture, 2002, 11, 459-479.	2.4	13
31	Bird flu hype. Journal of Language and Politics, 2010, 9, 393-408.	1.0	13
32	Socio-semantic and other dualities. Poetics, 2020, 78, 101433.	0.6	12
33	The creation of the climategate hype in blogs and newspapers: mixed methods approach. Internet Research, 2015, 25, 589-609.	2.7	11
34	ANDRZEJ PÈ"KALSKI NETWORKS OF SCIENTIFIC INTERESTS WITH INTERNAL DEGREES OF FREEDOM THROUGH SELF-CITATION ANALYSIS. International Journal of Modern Physics C, 2008, 19, 371-384.	0.8	10
35	Twitter Campaigns Around the Fifth IPCC Report. SAGE Open, 2016, 6, 215824401665911.	0.8	10
36	N-mode network approach for socio-semantic analysis of scientific publications. Poetics, 2020, 78, 101427.	0.6	5

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Promises of a Healthier Future. Nordicom Review, 2003, 24, 33-39.	0.8	3
38	Exploring Ideation: Knowledge Development in Science through the Lens of Semantic and Social Networks. , $2013,  ,  .$		2
39	Organizational Communication on Twitter: Differences Between Non-Profit and For-Profit Organizations in the Context of Climate Change., 2016,, 305-313.		2
40	Using semantics to reveal knowledge divides in Dutch development cooperation: the case of the Millennium Development Goals. Knowledge Management for Development Journal, 2010, 6, 70-84.	0.4	1
41	Content or Connections? Socio-Semantic Analysis of Leaders' Communication Styles in a Creative Collective. Advances in Strategic Management, 2020, , 85-102.	0.1	0