## Rene Ziegler

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5836482/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

687363 642732 1,152 23 13 23 h-index citations g-index papers 24 24 24 1155 times ranked docs citations citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	The interactive role of subjective attitudinal ambivalence, knowledge, and certainty for attitude stability: The case of driving electric vehicles. Journal of Theoretical Social Psychology, 2021, 5, 238-248.	1.9	O
2	Group Polarization Revisited: A Processing Effort Account. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 2019, 45, 1482-1498.	3.0	8
3	An Attitude Strength and Self-Perception Framework Regarding the Bi-directional Relationship of Job Satisfaction with Extra-Role and In-Role Behavior: The Doubly Moderating Role of Work Centrality. Frontiers in Psychology, 2016, 7, 235.	2.1	23
4	Mood and Processing Effort. Advances in Experimental Social Psychology, 2014, 49, 287-355.	3.3	4
5	Job emotions and job cognitions as determinants of job satisfaction: The moderating role of individual differences in need for affect. Journal of Vocational Behavior, 2014, 84, 74-89.	3.4	26
6	Mood and Threat to Attitudinal Freedom. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 2013, 39, 1083-1096.	3.0	6
7	Mood and Processing of Proattitudinal and Counterattitudinal Messages. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 2013, 39, 482-495.	3.0	13
8	Relationship Between Job Satisfaction and Job Performance: Job Ambivalence as a Moderator. Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 2012, 42, 2019-2040.	2.0	59
9	The Role of Job Satisfaction, Job Ambivalence, and Emotions at Work in Predicting Organizational Citizenship Behavior. Journal of Personnel Psychology, 2012, 11, 176-190.	1.4	39
10	Mood and the impact of individuating information on the evaluation of ingroup and outgroup members: The role of mood-based expectancies. Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 2011, 47, 1000-1006.	2.2	10
11	Mood and Multiple Source Characteristics: Mood Congruency of Source Consensus Status and Source Trustworthiness as Determinants of Message Scrutiny. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 2011, 37, 1016-1030.	3.0	9
12	Ambiguitäund Ambivalenz in der Psychologie. Lili - Zeitschrift Fur Literaturwissenschaft Und Linguistik, 2010, 40, 125-171.	0.5	9
13	Mood, source characteristics, and message processing: A mood-congruent expectancies approach. Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 2010, 46, 743-752.	2.2	21
14	Stereotype content model across cultures: Towards universal similarities and some differences. British Journal of Social Psychology, 2009, 48, 1-33.	2.8	670
15	Communication Modality and Biased Processing: A Study on the Occasion of the German 2002 Election TV Debate. Basic and Applied Social Psychology, 2007, 29, 175-184.	2.1	6
16	Does Matching Versus Mismatching Message Content to Attitude Functions Lead to Biased Processing? The Role of Message Ambiguity. Basic and Applied Social Psychology, 2007, 29, 269-278.	2.1	11
17	Self-ambivalence and reactions to success versus failure. European Journal of Social Psychology, 2007, 37, 547-560.	2.4	26
18	Self-ambivalence and self-esteem. Current Psychology, 2006, 25, 192-211.	0.4	18

## RENE ZIEGLER

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Matching the message source to attitude functions: Implications for biased processing. Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 2005, 41, 645-653.	2.2	15
20	Source Consistency, Distinctiveness, and Consensus: The Three Dimensions of the Kelley ANOVA Model in Persuasion. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 2004, 30, 352-364.	3.0	20
21	Is politician A or politician B more persuasive? recipients' source preference and the direction of biased message processing. European Journal of Social Psychology, 2003, 33, 623-637.	2.4	15
22	Multiple Source Characteristics and Persuasion: Source Inconsistency as a Determinant of Message Scrutiny. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 2002, 28, 496-508.	3.0	56
23	Idea Production in Nominal and Virtual Groups: Does Computer-Mediated Communication Improve Group Brainstorming?. Group Processes and Intergroup Relations, 2000, 3, 141-158.	3.9	81