Arthur W Apter

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5789514/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

759233 752698 109 685 12 20 citations h-index g-index papers 109 109 109 37 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Controlling the number of normal measures at successor cardinals. Mathematical Logic Quarterly, 2022, 68, 304-309.	0.2	O
2	More on HOD-supercompactness. Annals of Pure and Applied Logic, 2021, 172, 102901.	0.5	1
3	The Ultrapower Axiom UA and the number of normal measures over \$aleph_1\$ and \$aleph_2\$. Tbilisi Mathematical Journal, 2021, 14, .	0.3	1
4	Strongly compact cardinals and the continuum function. Annals of Pure and Applied Logic, 2021, 172, 103013.	0.5	2
5	On weak square, approachability, the tree property, and failures of SCH in a choiceless context. Mathematical Logic Quarterly, 2020, 66, 115-120.	0.2	0
6	NORMAL MEASURES ON A TALL CARDINAL. Journal of Symbolic Logic, 2019, 84, 178-204.	0.5	3
7	Normal measures and strongly compact cardinals. Bolletino Dell Unione Matematica Italiana, 2018, 11, 283-292.	1.0	0
8	Precisely controlling level by level behavior. Mathematical Logic Quarterly, 2017, 63, 77-84.	0.2	0
9	On the consistency strength of level by level inequivalence. Archive for Mathematical Logic, 2017, 56, 715-723.	0.3	O
10	All uncountable cardinals in the Gitik model are almost Ramsey and carry Rowbottom filters. Mathematical Logic Quarterly, 2016, 62, 225-231.	0.2	1
11	A note on tall cardinals and level by level equivalence. Mathematical Logic Quarterly, 2016, 62, 128-132.	0.2	0
12	Indestructibility and destructible measurable cardinals. Archive for Mathematical Logic, 2016, 55, 3-18.	0.3	3
13	A universal indestructibility theorem compatible with level by level equivalence. Archive for Mathematical Logic, 2015, 54, 463-470.	0.3	O
14	The first measurable cardinal can be the first uncountable regular cardinal at any successor height. Mathematical Logic Quarterly, 2014, 60, 471-486.	0.2	4
15	On tall cardinals and some related generalizations. Israel Journal of Mathematics, 2014, 202, 343-373.	0.8	3
16	Inaccessible Cardinals, Failures of GCH, and Level-by-Level Equivalence. Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic, 2014, 55, .	0.4	1
17	Singular cardinals and strong extenders. Open Mathematics, 2013, 11, .	1.0	2
18	Indestructible strong compactness and level by level inequivalence. Mathematical Logic Quarterly, 2013, 59, 371-377.	0.2	2

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	More Easton theorems for level by level equivalence. Colloquium Mathematicum, 2012, 128, 69-86.	0.3	4
20	Indestructible strong compactness but not supercompactness. Annals of Pure and Applied Logic, 2012, 163, 1237-1242.	0.5	4
21	On some questions concerning strong compactness. Archive for Mathematical Logic, 2012, 51, 819-829.	0.3	5
22	Inner models with large cardinal features usually obtained by forcing. Archive for Mathematical Logic, 2012, 51, 257-283.	0.3	6
23	Indestructibility, measurability, and degrees of supercompactness. Mathematical Logic Quarterly, 2012, 58, 75-82.	0.2	5
24	Level by Level Inequivalence, Strong Compactness, and GCH. Bulletin of the Polish Academy of Sciences Mathematics, 2012, 60, 201-209.	0.3	3
25	Indestructibility, measurability, and degrees of supercompactness. Mathematical Logic Quarterly, 2012, , n/a-n/a.	0.2	0
26	A remark on the tree property in a choiceless context. Archive for Mathematical Logic, 2011, 50, 585-590.	0.3	1
27	Level by level inequivalence beyond measurability. Archive for Mathematical Logic, 2011, 50, 707-712.	0.3	4
28	Indestructibility, HOD, and the Ground Axiom. Mathematical Logic Quarterly, 2011, 57, 261-265.	0.2	5
29	Coding into HOD via normal measures with some applications. Mathematical Logic Quarterly, 2011, 57, 366-372.	0.2	4
30	Indestructibility, instances of strong compactness, and level by level inequivalence. Archive for Mathematical Logic, 2010, 49, 725-741.	0.3	5
31	Tallness and level by level equivalence and inequivalence. Mathematical Logic Quarterly, 2010, 56, 4-12.	0.2	6
32	How many normal measures can â,,μΪ‰ ₁ +1 carry?. Mathematical Logic Quarterly, 2010, 56, 164-170	0.0.2	2
33	An equiconsistency for universal indestructibility. Journal of Symbolic Logic, 2010, 75, 314-322.	0.5	5
34	The consistency strength of choiceless failures of SCH. Journal of Symbolic Logic, 2010, 75, 1066-1080.	0.5	2
35	Indestructibility and stationary reflection. Mathematical Logic Quarterly, 2009, 55, 228-236.	0.2	7
36	Indestructibility under adding Cohen subsets and level by level equivalence. Mathematical Logic Quarterly, 2009, 55, 271-279.	0.2	2

#	Article	IF	Citations
37	An L-like model containing very large cardinals. Archive for Mathematical Logic, 2008, 47, 65-78.	0.3	5
38	Universal indestructibility for degrees of supercompactness and strongly compact cardinals. Archive for Mathematical Logic, 2008, 47, 133-142.	0.3	3
39	Indestructibility and measurable cardinals with few and many measures. Archive for Mathematical Logic, 2008, 47, 101-110.	0.3	8
40	Making all cardinals almost Ramsey. Archive for Mathematical Logic, 2008, 47, 769-783.	0.3	5
41	Reducing the consistency strength of an indestructibility theorem. Mathematical Logic Quarterly, 2008, 54, 288-293.	0.2	3
42	On the number of normal measures \$aleph_1\$ and \$aleph_2\$ can carry. Tbilisi Mathematical Journal, 2008, 1, .	0.3	1
43	Large cardinals with few measures. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 2007, 135, 2291-2301.	0.8	14
44	Indestructibility and level by level equivalence and inequivalence. Mathematical Logic Quarterly, 2007, 53, 78-85.	0.2	11
45	Supercompactness and level by level equivalence are compatible with indestructibility for strong compactness. Archive for Mathematical Logic, 2007, 46, 155-163.	0.3	5
46	Level by level equivalence and the number of normal measures over P _{\hat{l}^{2}} (\hat{l}^{8}) . Fundamenta Mathematicae, 2007, 194, 253-265.	0.5	1
47	The least strongly compact can be the least strong and indestructible. Annals of Pure and Applied Logic, 2006, 144, 33-42.	0.5	7
48	Identity crises and strong compactness III: Woodin cardinals. Archive for Mathematical Logic, 2006, 45, 307-322.	0.3	4
49	The Consistency Strength of \$\$aleph_{omega}\$\$ and \$\$aleph_{omega}_1}\$\$ Being Rowbottom Cardinals Without the Axiom of Choice. Archive for Mathematical Logic, 2006, 45, 721-737.	0.3	4
50	Failures of SCH and Level by Level Equivalence. Archive for Mathematical Logic, 2006, 45, 831-838.	0.3	6
51	Supercompactness and measurable limits of strong cardinals II: Applications to level by level equivalence. Mathematical Logic Quarterly, 2006, 52, 457-463.	0.2	5
52	How many normal measures can aleph _{ï‰+ 1} carry?. Fundamenta Mathematicae, 2006, 191, 57-66.	0.5	4
53	Removing Laver functions from supercompactness arguments. Mathematical Logic Quarterly, 2005, 51, 154-156.	0.2	2
54	Universal partial indestructibility and strong compactness. Mathematical Logic Quarterly, 2005, 51, 524-531.	0.2	1

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	An Easton theorem for level by level equivalence. Mathematical Logic Quarterly, 2005, 51, 247-253.	0.2	5
56	Diamond, square, and level by level equivalence. Archive for Mathematical Logic, 2005, 44, 387-395.	0.3	10
57	On a problem of Foreman and Magidor. Archive for Mathematical Logic, 2005, 44, 493-498.	0.3	2
58	Universal Indestructibility is Consistent with Two Strongly Compact Cardinals. Bulletin of the Polish Academy of Sciences Mathematics, 2005, 53, 131-135.	0.3	2
59	Jonsson-like partition relations and j: V → V. Journal of Symbolic Logic, 2004, 69, 1267-1281.	0.5	2
60	Level by level equivalence and strong compactness. Mathematical Logic Quarterly, 2004, 50, 51-64.	0.2	1
61	Some remarks on indestructibility and Hamkins? lottery preparation. Archive for Mathematical Logic, 2003, 42, 717-735.	0.3	8
62	Characterizing strong compactness via strongness. Mathematical Logic Quarterly, 2003, 49, 375-384.	0.2	2
63	Failures of GCH and the level by level equivalence between strong compactness and supercompactness. Mathematical Logic Quarterly, 2003, 49, 587-597.	0.2	7
64	Exactly controlling the non-supercompact strongly compact cardinals. Journal of Symbolic Logic, 2003, 68, 669-688.	0.5	12
65	Indestructibility, strongness, and level by level equivalence. Fundamenta Mathematicae, 2003, 177, 45-54.	0.5	4
66	Blowing up the power set of the least measurable. Journal of Symbolic Logic, 2002, 67, 915-923.	0.5	0
67	Indestructibility and the level-by-level agreement between strong compactness and supercompactness. Journal of Symbolic Logic, 2002, 67, 820-840. James Cummings. <i>A model in which GCH holds at successors but fails at limits </i>	0.5	26
68	of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 329 (1992), pp. 1–39 James Cummings. <i>Strong ultrapowers and long core models</i> . The journal of symbolic logic, vol. 58 (1993), pp. 240–248 James Cummings. <i>Coherent sequences versus Radin sequences</i> . Annals of pure and applied logic, vol. 70 (1994), pp. 223–241 James Cummings, Matthew Foreman, and Menache. Bulletin of Symbolic	0.2	0
69	Logic, 2002, 8, 550-552. Strong Cardinals can be Fully Laver Indestructible. Mathematical Logic Quarterly, 2002, 48, 499-507.	0.2	5
70	Aspects of strong compactness, measurability, and indestructibility. Archive for Mathematical Logic, 2002, 41, 705-719.	0.3	3
71	On level by level equivalence and inequivalence between strong compactness and supercompactness. Fundamenta Mathematicae, 2002, 171, 77-92.	0.5	12
72	On the non-extendibility of strongness and supercompactness through strong compactness. Fundamenta Mathematicae, 2002, 174, 87-96.	0.5	6

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
73	Some structural results concerning supercompact cardinals. Journal of Symbolic Logic, 2001, 66, 1919-1927.	0.5	8
74	Supercompactness and measurable limits of strong cardinals. Journal of Symbolic Logic, 2001, 66, 629-639.	0.5	6
75	Uri Abraham. Aronszajn trees on â,,µ2 and â,,µ3 . Annals of pure and applied logic, vol. 24 (1983), pp. 213–230. James Cummings and Matthew Foreman. The tree property. Advances in mathematics, vol. 133 (1998), pp. 1–32 Menachem Magidor and Saharon Shelah. The tree property at successors of singular cardinals. Archive for mathematical logic. vol. 35 (1996), pp. 385–404 Bulletin of Symbolic Logic. 2001. 7. 283-285.	0.2	O
76	Identity crises and strong compactness. Archive for Mathematical Logic, 2001, 40, 25-38.	0.3	36
77	Some remarks on a question of D. H. Fremlin regarding Îμ-density. Archive for Mathematical Logic, 2001, 40, 531-540.	0.3	3
78	Some Remarks on Normal Measures and Measurable Cardinals. Mathematical Logic Quarterly, 2001, 47, 35-44.	0.2	6
79	Indestructible Weakly Compact Cardinals and the Necessity of Supercompactness for Certain Proof Schemata. Mathematical Logic Quarterly, 2001, 47, 563-571.	0.2	11
80	Strong compactness, measurability, and the class of supercompact cardinals. Fundamenta Mathematicae, 2001, 167, 65-78.	0.5	12
81	Strong Compactness and a Global Version of a Theorem of Ben-David and Magidor. Mathematical Logic Quarterly, 2000, 46, 453-459.	0.2	2
82	A new proof of a theorem of Magidor. Archive for Mathematical Logic, 2000, 39, 209-211.	0.3	3
83	On a problem of Woodin. Archive for Mathematical Logic, 2000, 39, 253-259.	0.3	5
84	Identity crises and strong compactness. Journal of Symbolic Logic, 2000, 65, 1895-1910.	0.5	22
85	On measurable limits of compact cardinals. Journal of Symbolic Logic, 1999, 64, 1675-1688.	0.5	O
86	Forcing the Least Measurable to Violate GCH. Mathematical Logic Quarterly, 1999, 45, 551-560.	0.2	1
87	Laver indestructibility and the class of compact cardinals. Journal of Symbolic Logic, 1998, 63, 149-157.	0.5	25
88	The least measurable can be strongly compact and indestructible. Journal of Symbolic Logic, 1998, 63, 1404-1412.	0.5	27
89	Patterns of compact cardinals. Annals of Pure and Applied Logic, 1997, 89, 101-115.	0.5	19
90	More on the Least Strongly Compact Cardinal. Mathematical Logic Quarterly, 1997, 43, 427-430.	0.2	5

#	Article	IF	Citations
91	On the strong equality between supercompactness and strong compactness. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 1997, 349, 103-128.	0.9	59
92	Menas' Result is Best Possible. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 1997, 349, 2007-2034.	0.9	23
93	A Cardinal Pattern Inspired by AD. Mathematical Logic Quarterly, 1996, 42, 211-218.	0.2	3
94	AD and patterns of singular cardinals below $\hat{\Gamma}$. Journal of Symbolic Logic, 1996, 61, 225-235.	0.5	8
95	Instances of dependent choice and the measurability of â,,μΪ‰ + 1. Annals of Pure and Applied Logic, 1995, 74, 203-219.	0.5	6
96	On Box, Weak Box and Strong Compactness. Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society, 1992, 24, 513-518.	0.8	5
97	On the class of measurable cardinals without the axiom of choice. Israel Journal of Mathematics, 1992, 79, 367-379.	0.8	4
98	Some new upper bounds in consistency strength for certain choiceless large cardinal patterns. Archive for Mathematical Logic, 1992, 31, 201-205.	0.3	11
99	A Note on Strong Compactness and Supercompactness. Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society, 1991, 23, 113-115.	0.8	3
100	Relative consistency results via strong compactness. Fundamenta Mathematicae, 1991, 139, 133-149.	0.5	11
101	Successors of singular cardinals and measurability revisited. Journal of Symbolic Logic, 1990, 55, 492-501.	0.5	2
102	On a problem inspired by determinacy. Israel Journal of Mathematics, 1988, 61, 256-270.	0.8	3
103	Large cardinal structures below â,,µï‰. Journal of Symbolic Logic, 1986, 51, 591-603.	0.5	7
104	Some results on consecutive large cardinals II: Applications of radin forcing. Israel Journal of Mathematics, 1985, 52, 273-292.	0.8	19
105	Successors of singular cardinals and measurability. Advances in Mathematics, 1985, 55, 228-241.	1.1	11
106	An AD-like model. Journal of Symbolic Logic, 1985, 50, 531-543.	0.5	5
107	Some results on consecutive large cardinals. Annals of Pure and Applied Logic, 1983, 25, 1-17.	0.5	21
108	On a Problem of Silver. Fundamenta Mathematicae, 1983, 116, 33-38.	0.5	4

 #	Article	IF	CITATIONS
109	Indestructibility when the First Two Measurable Cardinals are Strongly Compact. Journal of Symbolic Logic, 0, , 1-21.	0.5	O