Andrew D Beswick

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5761494/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

63 4,788 29 61
papers citations h-index g-index

67 67 67 6519 all docs docs citations times ranked citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Complex interventions to improve physical function and maintain independent living in elderly people: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet, The, 2008, 371, 725-735.	6.3	628
2	The Polygenic and Monogenic Basis of Blood Traits and Diseases. Cell, 2020, 182, 1214-1231.e11.	13.5	388
3	Trans-ethnic and Ancestry-Specific Blood-Cell Genetics in 746,667 Individuals from 5 Global Populations. Cell, 2020, 182, 1198-1213.e14.	13.5	353
4	Patient-Related Risk Factors for Periprosthetic Joint Infection after Total Joint Arthroplasty: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0150866.	1.1	312
5	Re-Infection Outcomes Following One- And Two-Stage Surgical Revision of Infected Knee Prosthesis: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0151537.	1.1	216
6	Effectiveness of physiotherapy exercise following total knee replacement: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders, 2015, 16, 15.	0.8	178
7	Chronic pain after total knee arthroplasty. EFORT Open Reviews, 2018, 3, 461-470.	1.8	157
8	Improving uptake and adherence in cardiac rehabilitation: literature review. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 2005, 49, 538-555.	1.5	149
9	Risk factors associated with revision for prosthetic joint infection after hip replacement: a prospective observational cohort study. Lancet Infectious Diseases, The, 2018, 18, 1004-1014.	4.6	144
10	Risk factors associated with revision for prosthetic joint infection following knee replacement: an observational cohort study from England and Wales. Lancet Infectious Diseases, The, 2019, 19, 589-600.	4.6	141
11	Promoting patient uptake and adherence in cardiac rehabilitation. , 2010, , CD007131.		138
12	Re-Infection Outcomes following One- and Two-Stage Surgical Revision of Infected Hip Prosthesis: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0139166.	1.1	124
13	Promoting patient uptake and adherence in cardiac rehabilitation. The Cochrane Library, 2014, , CD007131.	1.5	122
14	What is the evidence base to guide surgical treatment of infected hip prostheses? systematic review of longitudinal studies in unselected patients. BMC Medicine, 2012, 10, 18.	2.3	100
15	Debridement, antibiotics and implant retention for periprosthetic joint infections: A systematic review and meta-analysis of treatment outcomes. Journal of Infection, 2018, 77, 479-488.	1.7	97
16	Trajectories of Pain and Function after Primary Hip and Knee Arthroplasty: The ADAPT Cohort Study. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11, e0149306.	1.1	93
17	Application of scaffolds for bone regeneration strategies: Current trends and future directions. Injury, 2013, 44, S28-S33.	0.7	81
18	Risk factors for dislocation after primary total hip replacement: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 125 studies involving approximately five million hip replacements. Lancet Rheumatology, The, 2019, 1, e111-e121.	2.2	81

#	Article	IF	Citations
19	Description of the rates, trends and surgical burden associated with revision for prosthetic joint infection following primary and revision knee replacements in England and Wales: an analysis of the National Joint Registry for England, Wales, Northern Ireland and the Isle of Man. BMJ Open, 2017, 7, e014056.	0.8	77
20	Physiotherapy Provision Following Discharge after Total Hip and Total Knee Replacement: A Survey of Current Practice at Highâ€Volume NHS Hospitals in England and Wales. Musculoskeletal Care, 2013, 11, 31-38.	0.6	70
21	One- and two-stage surgical revision of peri-prosthetic joint infection of the hip: a pooled individual participant data analysis of 44 cohort studies. European Journal of Epidemiology, 2018, 33, 933-946.	2.5	69
22	One-stage or two-stage revision surgery for prosthetic hip joint infection – the INFORM trial: a study protocol for a randomised controlled trial. Trials, 2016, 17, 90.	0.7	66
23	Post-operative patient-related risk factors for chronic pain after total knee replacement: a systematic review. BMJ Open, 2017, 7, e018105.	0.8	65
24	Choice of implant combinations in total hip replacement: systematic review and network meta-analysis. BMJ: British Medical Journal, 2017, 359, j4651.	2.4	64
25	Mortality After Total Knee Arthroplasty. Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery - Series A, 2018, 100, 1064-1070.	1.4	62
26	Common elective orthopaedic procedures and their clinical effectiveness: umbrella review of level 1 evidence. BMJ, The, 2021, 374, n1511.	3.0	59
27	Risk scoring in the assessment of cardiovascular risk. Current Opinion in Lipidology, 2006, 17, 375-386.	1.2	46
28	A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of the Standard Versus Mini-Incision Posterior Approach to Total Hip Arthroplasty. Journal of Arthroplasty, 2014, 29, 1970-1982.	1.5	43
29	Health Care Needs and Support for Patients Undergoing Treatment for Prosthetic Joint Infection following Hip or Knee Arthroplasty: A Systematic Review. PLoS ONE, 2017, 12, e0169068.	1.1	43
30	Interventions for the prediction and management of chronic postsurgical pain after total knee replacement: systematic review of randomised controlled trials. BMJ Open, 2015, 5, e007387-e007387.	0.8	34
31	One- and two-stage surgical revision of infected shoulder prostheses following arthroplasty surgery: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Scientific Reports, 2019, 9, 232.	1.6	31
32	Incidence, temporal trends and potential risk factors for prosthetic joint infection after primary total shoulder and elbow replacement: Systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of Infection, 2020, 80, 426-436.	1.7	27
33	Outcomes following hip and knee replacement in diabetic versus nondiabetic patients and well versus poorly controlled diabetic patients: a prospective cohort study. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters, 2018, 89, 399-405.	1.2	26
34	Choice of Prosthetic Implant Combinations in Total Hip Replacement: Cost-Effectiveness Analysis Using UK and Swedish Hip Joint Registries Data. Value in Health, 2019, 22, 303-312.	0.1	26
35	Does vitamin E highly-crosslinked polyethylene convey an advantage in primary total hip replacement? A systematic review and meta-analysis. HIP International, 2020, 30, 598-608.	0.9	26
36	Bone graft substitutes in hip revision surgery: A comprehensive overview. Injury, 2011, 42, S40-S46.	0.7	25

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Effectiveness and reporting standards of psychological interventions for improving short-term and long-term pain outcomes after total knee replacement: a systematic review. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e029742.	0.8	25
38	Does the type of surgical drape (disposable versus non-disposable) affect the risk of subsequent surgical site infection?. Journal of Orthopaedics, 2018, 15, 566-570.	0.6	22
39	Implications of Introducing New Technology. Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery - Series A, 2018, 100, 189-196.	1.4	21
40	Comparison of group-based outpatient physiotherapy with usual care after total knee replacement: a feasibility study for a randomized controlled trial. Clinical Rehabilitation, 2017, 31, 487-499.	1.0	20
41	Clinical- and cost-effectiveness of the STAR care pathway compared to usual care for patients with chronic pain after total knee replacement: study protocol for a UK randomised controlled trial. Trials, 2018, 19, 132.	0.7	20
42	Obesity and revision surgery, mortality, and patient-reported outcomes after primary knee replacement surgery in the National Joint Registry: A UK cohort study. PLoS Medicine, 2021, 18, e1003704.	3.9	20
43	Implant Fixation and Risk of Prosthetic Joint Infection Following Primary Total Hip Replacement: Meta-Analysis of Observational Cohort and Randomised Intervention Studies. Journal of Clinical Medicine, 2019, 8, 722.	1.0	19
44	Effects of presurgical interventions on chronic pain after total knee replacement: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. BMJ Open, 2020, 10, e033248.	0.8	19
45	Improving patients' experience and outcome of total joint replacement: the RESTORE programme. Programme Grants for Applied Research, 2016, 4, 1-508.	0.4	18
46	The choice between hip prosthetic bearing surfaces in total hip replacement: a protocol for a systematic review and network meta-analysis. Systematic Reviews, 2016, 5, 19.	2.5	16
47	The STAR care pathway for patients with pain at 3 months after total knee replacement: a multicentre, pragmatic, randomised, controlled trial. Lancet Rheumatology, The, 2022, 4, e188-e197.	2.2	16
48	A UK national survey of care pathways and support offered to patients receiving revision surgery for prosthetic joint infection in the highest volume NHS orthopaedic centres. Musculoskeletal Care, 2017, 15, 379-385.	0.6	15
49	The use of silver coating in hip megaprostheses: a systematic review. HIP International, 2019, 29, 7-20.	0.9	15
50	Effect of Groupâ€Based Outpatient Physical Therapy on Function After Total Knee Replacement: Results From a Multicenter Randomized Controlled Trial. Arthritis Care and Research, 2020, 72, 768-777.	1.5	15
51	Influence of Fixation Methods on Prosthetic Joint Infection Following Primary Total Knee Replacement: Meta-Analysis of Observational Cohort and Randomised Intervention Studies. Journal of Clinical Medicine, 2019, 8, 828.	1.0	14
52	Are perioperative interventions effective in preventing chronic pain after primary total knee replacement? A systematic review. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e028093.	0.8	14
53	One- and two-stage surgical revision of infected elbow prostheses following total joint replacement: a systematic review. BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders, 2019, 20, 467.	0.8	13
54	The effectiveness of non-pharmacological sleep interventions for people with chronic pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders, 2022, 23, 440.	0.8	13

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Re-infection outcomes following one- and two-stage surgical revision of infected hip prosthesis in unselected patients: protocol for a systematic review and an individual participant data meta-analysis. Systematic Reviews, 2015, 4, 58.	2.5	12
56	Systematic review of risk prediction scores for venous thromboembolism following joint replacement. Thrombosis Research, 2018, 168, 148-155.	0.8	12
57	<p>Systematic review of the clinical effectiveness for long-term follow-up of total hip arthroplasty</p> . Orthopedic Research and Reviews, 2019, Volume 11, 69-78.	0.7	12
58	Outcomes following primary total hip arthroplasty with pre-existing spinal fusion surgery. Bone and Joint Journal, 2020, 102-B, 664-670.	1.9	12
59	Patients Receiving a Primary Unicompartmental Knee Replacement Have a Higher Risk of Revision but a Lower Risk of Mortality Than Predicted Had They Received a Total Knee Replacement: Data From the National Joint Registry for England, Wales, Northern Ireland, and the Isle of Man. Journal of Arthroplastv. 2021. 36. 471-477.e6.	1.5	10
60	Progression of chronic pain and associated health-related quality of life and healthcare resource use over 5 years after total knee replacement: evidence from a cohort study. BMJ Open, 2022, 12, e058044.	0.8	9
61	Effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of outpatient physiotherapy after knee replacement for osteoarthritis: study protocol for a randomised controlled trial. Trials, 2016, 17, 289.	0.7	8
62	Locomotor disability: Meaning, causes and effects of interventions. Journal of Health Services Research and Policy, 2008, 13, 38-46.	0.8	7
63	Choice between implants in knee replacement: protocol for a Bayesian network meta-analysis, analysis of joint registries and economic decision model to determine the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of knee implants for NHS patientsâ€"The KNee Implant Prostheses Study (KNIPS). BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e040205.	0.8	1