Mary A Peterson

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5740341/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

566801 454577 1,114 36 15 30 citations h-index g-index papers 37 37 37 470 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Semantic Expectation Effects on Object Detection: Using Figure Assignment to Elucidate Mechanisms. Vision (Switzerland), 2022, 6, 19.	0.5	3
2	Investigating the flexibility of attentional orienting in multiple modalities: Are spatial and temporal cues used in the context of spatiotemporal probabilities?. Visual Cognition, 2021, 29, 105-117.	0.9	1
3	Task set and instructions influence the weight of figural priors: A psychophysical study with extremal edges and familiar configuration. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2021, 83, 2709-2727.	0.7	3
4	Nadel special issue introduction. Hippocampus, 2020, 30, 773-775.	0.9	O
5	Prior Experience Alters the Appearance of Blurry Object Borders. Scientific Reports, 2020, 10, 5821.	1.6	3
6	Normative data for an expanded set of stimuli for testing high-level influences on object perception: OMEFA-II. PLoS ONE, 2020, 15, e0224471.	1.1	5
7	Past experience and meaning affect object detection: A hierarchical Bayesian approach. Psychology of Learning and Motivation - Advances in Research and Theory, 2019, 70, 223-257.	0.5	9
8	Limitations of Hoerl and McCormack's dual systems model of temporal consciousness. Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 2019, 42, e256.	0.4	0
9	Does Semantic Activation Affect Human Object Detection in Natural Scenes?. Journal of Vision, 2019, 19, 58a.	0.1	O
10	The Influence of Semantics on Figure Assignment: Unmasked Primes, Masked Primes, and Context. Journal of Vision, 2019, 19, 35b.	0.1	1
11	An investigation on the influence of prior experience on working memory representations. Journal of Vision, 2019, 19, 38.	0.1	O
12	Semantic category priming from the groundside of objects shown in nontarget locations and at unpredictable times. Journal of Vision, 2018, 18, 3.	0.1	1
13	Figural properties are prioritized for search under conditions of uncertainty: Setting boundary conditions on claims that figures automatically attract attention. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2017, 79, 180-199.	0.7	7
14	A behavioral task sets an upper bound on the time required to access object memories before object segregation. Journal of Vision, 2016, 16, 26.	0.1	6
15	Increased alpha band activity indexes inhibitory competition across a border during figure assignment. Vision Research, 2016, 126, 120-130.	0.7	15
16	Seeing Can Be Remembering. Clinical Psychological Science, 2016, 4, 254-271.	2.4	6
17	Neural evidence for competition-mediated suppression in the perception of a single object. Cortex, 2015, 72, 124-139.	1.1	16
18	Spatially rearranged object parts can facilitate perception of intact whole objects. Frontiers in Psychology, 2014, 5, 482.	1.1	8

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Semantic access occurs outside of awareness for the ground side of a figure. Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics, 2014, 76, 2531-2547.	0.7	20
20	The Ground Side of an Object. Psychological Science, 2014, 25, 256-264.	1.8	30
21	Borders, contours, and mechanism. Cognitive Neuroscience, 2013, 4, 52-53.	0.6	0
22	Interactions of Memory and Perception in Amnesia: The Figure–Ground Perspective. Cerebral Cortex, 2012, 22, 2680-2691.	1.6	57
23	The perirhinal cortex modulates V2 activity in response to the agreement between part familiarity and configuration familiarity. Hippocampus, 2012, 22, 1965-1977.	0.9	29
24	Neurophysiological evidence for the influence of past experience on figure–ground perception. Journal of Vision, 2010, 10, 1-21.	0.1	49
25	Attention and competition in figure-ground perception. Progress in Brain Research, 2009, 176, 1-13.	0.9	3
26	Inhibitory competition in figure-ground perception: Context and convexity. Journal of Vision, 2008, 8, 4-4.	0.1	53
27	Inhibitory competition between shape properties in figure-ground perception Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2008, 34, 251-267.	0.7	67
28	The edge complex: Implicit memory for figure assignment in shape perception. Perception & Psychophysics, 2005, 67, 727-740.	2.3	53
29	Implicit Memory for Novel Figure-Ground Displays Includes a History of Cross-Border Competition Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 2003, 29, 808-822.	0.7	43
30	Object memory effects on figure assignment: conscious object recognition is not necessary or sufficient. Vision Research, 2000, 40, 1549-1567.	0.7	55
31	Knowledge and intention can penetrate early vision. Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 1999, 22, 389-390.	0.4	3
32	Must Figure-Ground Organization Precede Object Recognition? An Assumption in Peril. Psychological Science, 1994, 5, 253-259.	1.8	248
33	The proper placement of uniform connectedness. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 1994, 1, 509-514.	1.4	30
34	Does orientation-independent object recognition precede orientation-dependent recognition? Evidence from a cuing paradigm Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 1994, 20, 299-316.	0.7	87
35	The initial identification of figure-ground relationships: Contributions from shape recognition processes. Bulletin of the Psychonomic Society, 1991, 29, 199-202.	0.2	56
36	Shape recognition contributions to figure-ground reversal: Which route counts?. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 1991, 17, 1075-1089.	0.7	147

3