Andrea Siebenhofer

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5736304/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

61 papers

1,458 citations

16 h-index 377752 34 g-index

71 all docs

71 docs citations

71 times ranked 2403 citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	Self-monitoring of oral anticoagulation: systematic review and meta-analysis of individual patient data. Lancet, The, 2012, 379, 322-334.	6.3	334
2	Short acting insulin analogues versus regular human insulin in patients with diabetes mellitus. The Cochrane Library, 2006, , CD003287.	1.5	154
3	Management of overweight and obesity in primary care—A systematic overview of international evidenceâ€based guidelines. Obesity Reviews, 2019, 20, 1218-1230.	3.1	152
4	Long-term effects of weight-reducing diets in hypertensive patients., 2011,, CD008274.		64
5	Long-term effects of weight-reducing diets in people with hypertension. The Cochrane Library, 2016, 3, CD008274.	1.5	61
6	Short-acting insulin analogues versus regular human insulin for adults with type 1 diabetes mellitus. The Cochrane Library, 2019, 2019, CD012161.	1.5	50
7	German general practitioners' views on their involvement and role in cancer care: a qualitative study. Family Practice, 2014, 31, 209-214.	0.8	48
8	Long-term effects of weight-reducing drugs in people with hypertension. The Cochrane Library, 2016, 3, CD007654.	1.5	48
9	Short-acting insulin analogues versus regular human insulin for adult, non-pregnant persons with type 2 diabetes mellitus. The Cochrane Library, 2018, 12, CD013228.	1.5	33
10	Comparing the diagnostic accuracy of point-of-care lateral flow antigen testing for SARS-CoV-2 with RT-PCR in primary care (REAP-2). EClinicalMedicine, 2021, 38, 101011.	3.2	33
11	Intravenous versus inhalational anesthesia for pediatric inpatient surgery – A systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of Clinical Anesthesia, 2018, 49, 19-25.	0.7	30
12	Long-term effects of weight-reducing drugs in hypertensive patients., 2013,, CD007654.		26
13	Self-management of oral anticoagulation reduces major outcomes in the elderly. A randomized controlled trial. Thrombosis and Haemostasis, 2008, 100, 1089-98.	1.8	26
14	(Ultra-)long-acting insulin analogues versus NPH insulin (human isophane insulin) for adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus. The Cochrane Library, 2020, 2020, CD005613.	1.5	25
15	Evaluating Guidelines. Deutsches Ärzteblatt International, 2015, 112, 471-8.	0.6	25
16	Management of non-specific low back pain in primary care – A systematic overview of recommendations from international evidence-based guidelines. Primary Health Care Research and Development, 2020, 21, e64.	0.5	23
17	COVI-Prim survey: Challenges for Austrian and German general practitioners during initial phase of COVID-19. PLoS ONE, 2021, 16, e0251736.	1.1	22
18	Long-term effects of weight-reducing drugs in hypertensive patients., 2009,, CD007654.		18

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Does a complex intervention increase patient knowledge about oral anticoagulation? - a cluster-randomised controlled trial. BMC Family Practice, 2017, 18, 15.	2.9	17
20	Long-term effects of weight-reducing diets in people with hypertension. The Cochrane Library, 2021, 2021, CD008274.	1.5	15
21	Self-management of oral anticoagulation in elderly patients $\hat{a} \in \text{Effects}$ on treatment-related Quality of Life. Thrombosis Research, 2012, 130, e60-e66.	0.8	13
22	Choosing Wisely: assessment of current US top five list recommendations' trustworthiness using a pragmatic approach. BMJ Open, 2016, 6, e012366.	0.8	13
23	Self-management of Oral Anticoagulation. Deutsches Ärzteblatt International, 2014, 111, 83-91.	0.6	13
24	Self-management of oral anticoagulation in the elderly: rationale, design, baselines and oral anticoagulation control after one year of follow-up. A randomized controlled trial. Thrombosis and Haemostasis, 2007, 97, 408-16.	1.8	13
25	Anticoagulant treatment in German family practices – screening results from a cluster randomized controlled trial. BMC Family Practice, 2014, 15, 170.	2.9	12
26	Primary care management for optimized antithrombotic treatment [PICANT]: study protocol for a cluster-randomized controlled trial. Implementation Science, 2012, 7, 79.	2.5	11
27	Cluster-randomized controlled trials evaluating complex interventions in general practices are mostly ineffective: a systematic review. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 2018, 94, 85-96.	2.4	11
28	Validation and reliability of a guideline appraisal mini-checklist for daily practice use. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2016, 16, 39.	1.4	10
29	Frequency of a positive family history of colorectal cancer in general practice: a cross-sectional study. Family Practice, 2017, 34, 30-35.	0.8	10
30	Written patient information materials used in general practices fail to meet acceptable quality standards. BMC Family Practice, 2020, 21, 23.	2.9	10
31	Long-term effects of weight-reducing drugs in people with hypertension. The Cochrane Library, 2021, 1, CD007654.	1.5	10
32	Complementary Medicine for Cancer Patients in General Practice: Qualitative Interviews with German General Practitioners. Complementary Medicine Research, 2015, 22, 36-41.	0.5	9
33	Attitudes of medical students to general practice: a multinational cross-sectional survey. Family Practice, 2021, 38, 265-271.	0.8	7
34	Primary care management for patients receiving long-term antithrombotic treatment: A cluster-randomized controlled trial. PLoS ONE, 2019, 14, e0209366.	1.1	6
35	COVI-Prim Longitudinal Survey: Experiences of Primary Care Physicians During the Early Phase of the COVID-19 Pandemic. Frontiers in Medicine, 2022, 9, 761283.	1.2	6
36	Positive family history of colorectal cancer in a general practice setting [FRIDA.Frankfurt]: study protocol of a of a cross-sectional study. BMC Cancer, 2015, 15, 605.	1.1	5

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Oncologists' views on the importance of general practitioners for cancer patients: a qualitative interview study from Germany. Family Practice, 2017, 34, 730-734.	0.8	5
38	GPs' assessment of cooperation with other health care providers involved in cancer care-a cross-sectional study. European Journal of Cancer Care, 2018, 27, e12751.	0.7	5
39	Colorectal cancer stage at diagnosis in migrants and non-migrants: aÂcross-sectional analysis of the KoMigra Study in Germany. Zeitschrift Fur Gastroenterologie, 2018, 56, 1499-1506.	0.2	5
40	How many women take oral supplementation in pregnancy in Austria?. Wiener Klinische Wochenschrift, 2019, 131, 462-467.	1.0	5
41	Comprehensive catalogue of international measures aimed at preventing general practitioner shortages. Family Practice, 2021, 38, 793-801.	0.8	5
42	MAPPinfo, mapping quality of health information: study protocol for a validation study of an assessment instrument. BMJ Open, 2020, 10, e040572.	0.8	5
43	General practitioners in Styria – who is willing to take part in research projects and why?. Wiener Klinische Wochenschrift, 2017, 129, 823-834.	1.0	4
44	Prevalence of anemia in pregnant women in Styria, Austriaâ€"A retrospective analysis of mother-child examinations 2006â€"2014. PLoS ONE, 2019, 14, e0219703.	1.1	4
45	Insulin analogues: too much noise about small benefits. Cmaj, 2009, 180, 369-370.	0.9	3
46	Relevance to family practice of English-language guidelines on breast, colorectal and prostate cancer: a review. Family Practice, 2015, 32, 483-491.	0.8	3
47	Rapid, early and accurate SARS-CoV-2 detection using RT-qPCR in primary care: a prospective cohort study (REAP-1). BMJ Open, 2021, 11, e045225.	0.8	3
48	Preemptive local analgesia at vaginal hysterectomy: a systematic review. International Urogynecology Journal, 2022, 33, 2357-2366.	0.7	3
49	How often are interventions in cluster-randomised controlled trials of complex interventions in general practices effective and reasons for potential shortcomings? Protocol and results of a feasibility project for a systematic review. BMJ Open, 2016, 6, e009414.	0.8	2
50	The Choosing Wisely Initiative: A critical analysis with a special focus on primary care. Zeitschrift Fur Evidenz, Fortbildung Und Qualitat Im Gesundheitswesen, 2017, 129, 31-36.	0.7	2
51	Utilization of colonoscopy and colonoscopic findings among individuals aged 40–54 years with a positive family history of colorectal cancer: a cross-sectional study in general practice. European Journal of Cancer Prevention, 2018, 27, 539-545.	0.6	2
52	Differences in the quality of oral anticoagulation therapy with vitamin K antagonists in German GP practices – results of the cluster-randomized PICANT trial (Primary Care Management for Optimized) Tj ETQqC	0 0. ggBT	/Oværlock 10
53	Chronic heart failure patients' experiences of German healthcare services: a protocol for a scoping review. BMJ Open, 2019, 9, e025685.	0.8	2
54	Cost-effectiveness analysis of case management for optimized antithrombotic treatment in German general practices compared to usual care – results from the PICANT trial. Health Economics Review, 2019, 9, 4.	0.8	2

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	End-of-life decision making by Austrian physicians - a cross-sectional study. BMC Palliative Care, 2020, 19, 4.	0.8	2
56	Screening interval recommendations following a normalÂcolonoscopy in individuals with a familial risk ofÂcolorectal cancer. Zeitschrift Fur Gastroenterologie, 2018, 56, 361-364.	0.2	1
57	Preventive and healthâ€promoting activities in general practices in Germany: A scoping review. Health and Social Care in the Community, 2022, 30, 2025-2036.	0.7	1
58	Representation of patients with a migration background in studies on antithrombotic treatment. An analysis of recruitment data from a cluster randomized controlled trial. PLoS ONE, 2020, 15, e0230297.	1.1	0
59	Healthcare experiences of patients with chronic heart failure in Germany: a scoping review. BMJ Open, 2020, 10, e037158.	0.8	0
60	MAPPinfo, mapping quality of health information: study protocol for a validation study of an assessment instrument. BMJ Open, 2020, 10, e040572.	0.8	0
61	Healthcare experiences of patients with chronic heart failure in Germany: a scoping review. BMJ Open, 2020, 10, e037158.	0.8	0