Ejmm Arts

List of Publications by Year
in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5696207/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

81 papers	1,557 citations	394421 19 h-index	345221 36 g-index
81 all docs	81 docs citations	81 times ranked	1064 citing authors

#	Article	IF	Citations
1	Towards sustainable infrastructure development through integrated contracts: Experiences with inclusiveness in Dutch infrastructure projects. International Journal of Project Management, 2013, 31, 615-627.	5.6	123
2	THE EFFECTIVENESS OF EIA AS AN INSTRUMENT FOR ENVIRONMENTAL GOVERNANCE: REFLECTING ON 25 YEARS OF EIA PRACTICE IN THE NETHERLANDS AND THE UK. Journal of Environmental Assessment Policy and Management, 2012, 14, 1250025.	7.9	103
3	Environmental impact assessment follow-up: good practice and future directions â€" findings from a workshop at the IAIA 2000 conference. Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal, 2001, 19, 175-185.	1.8	95
4	International principles for best practice EIA follow-up. Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal, 2005, 23, 175-181.	1.8	87
5	Conceptualizing social protest and the significance of protest actions to large projects. The Extractive Industries and Society, 2016, 3, 217-239.	1.2	87
6	Improving the effectiveness of impact assessment pertaining to Indigenous peoples in the Brazilian environmental licensing procedure. Environmental Impact Assessment Review, 2014, 46, 58-67.	9.2	72
7	Exploring the concept of strategic environmental assessment follow-up. Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal, 2005, 23, 246-257.	1.8	64
8	Lessons from practice: towards successful follow-up. Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal, 2003, 21, 43-56.	1.8	60
9	The importance of cultural aspects in impact assessment and project development: reflections from a case study of a hydroelectric dam in Brazil. Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal, 2016, 34, 306-318.	1.8	56
10	Environmental assessment in The Netherlands: Effectively governing environmental protection? A discourse analysis. Environmental Impact Assessment Review, 2013, 39, 13-25.	9.2	50
11	Roles and stakes in environmental impact assessment follow-up. Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal, 2001, 19, 289-296.	1.8	35
12	Integration in Dutch planning of motorways: From â€œlineâ€•towards â€œarea-orientedâ€•approaches. Transport Policy, 2012, 24, 148-158.	6.6	35
13	Learning from experience: emerging trends in environmental impact assessment follow-up. Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal, 2005, 23, 170-174.	1.8	32
14	PUBLICâ€“PRIVATE INTERACTION IN CONTRACTING: GOVERNANCE STRATEGIES IN THE COMPETITIVE DIALOGUE OF DUTCH INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS. Public Administration, 2013, 91, 928-946.	3.5	29
15	Residential satisfaction close to highways: The impact of accessibility, nuisances and highway adjustment projects. Transportation Research, Part A: Policy and Practice, 2014, 59, 106-121.	4.2	29
16	Is Bangkok becoming more resilient to flooding? A framing analysis of Bangkok's flood resilience policy combining insights from both insiders and outsiders. Cities, 2019, 90, 157-167.	5.6	28
17	Dealing with interrelatedness and fragmentation in road infrastructure planning: an analysis of integrated approaches throughout the planning process in the Netherlands. Planning Theory and Practice, 2016, 17, 421-443.	1.7	26
18	New Governance Approaches For Sustainable Project Delivery. Procedia, Social and Behavioral Sciences, 2012, 48, 3239-3250.	0.5	25

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Early contractor involvement in dutch infrastructure development: Initial experiences with parallel procedures for planning and procurement. Journal of Public Procurement, 2012, 12, 4-42.	2.0	23
20	Understanding Added Value in Integrated Transport Planning: Exploring the Framework of Intelligence, Design and Choice. Journal of Environmental Assessment Policy and Management, 2019, 21, 1950011.	7.9	22
21	Understanding the ongoing struggle for land use and transport integration: Institutional incongruence in the Dutch national planning process. Transport Policy, 2019, 73, 84-100.	6.6	21
22	Do We Need to Rethink Our Waterways? Values of Ageing Waterways in Current and Future Society. Water Resources Management, 2014, 28, 2599-2613.	3.9	20
23	Extending the Scope of Highway Planning: Accessibility, Negative Externalities and the Residential Context. Transport Reviews, 2012, 32, 745-759.	8.8	19
24	Examining the Social Outcomes from Urban Transport Infrastructure: Long-Term Consequences of Spatial Changes and Varied Interests at Multiple Levels. Sustainability, 2020, 12, 5907.	3.2	19
25	Metro infrastructure planning in Amsterdam: how are social issues managed in the absence of environmental and social impact assessment?. Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal, 2020, 38, 320-335.	1.8	19
26	Residential moving intentions at highway locations: The trade-off between nuisances and accessibility in the Netherlands. Transportation Research, Part D: Transport and Environment, 2015, 35, 130-141.	6.8	17
27	In search of sustainable road infrastructure planning: How can we build on historical policy shifts?. Transport Policy, 2015, 42, 42-51.	6.6	16
28	Reflecting on, and revising, international best practice principles for EIA follow-up. Environmental Impact Assessment Review, 2021, 89, 106596.	9.2	16
29	Institutional harmonization for spatial integration of renewable energy: Developing an analytical approach. Journal of Cleaner Production, 2019, 209, 1593-1603.	9.3	15
30	Benchmarking Integrated Infrastructure Planning Across Europe â€“ Moving Forward to Vital Infrastructure Networks and Urban Regions. Transportation Research Procedia, 2016, 14, 303-312.	1.5	14
31	BEFORE EIA: DEFINING THE SCOPE OF INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS IN THE NETHERLANDS. Journal of Environmental Assessment Policy and Management, 2005, 07, 51-80.	7.9	13
32	Stakeholder views about Land Use and Transport Integration in a rapidly-growing megacity: Social outcomes and integrated planning issues in Seoul. Sustainable Cities and Society, 2021, 67, 102759.	10.4	13
33	The development of highway nuisance perception. Land Use Policy, 2017, 61, 553-563.	5.6	12
34	Limitations of Technical Approaches to Transport Planning Practice in Two Cases: Social Issues as a Critical Component of Urban Projects. Planning Theory and Practice, 2020, 21, 39-57.	1.7	12
35	Revisiting a programmatic planning approach: managing linkages between transport and land use planning. Planning Theory and Practice, 2013, 14, 492-508.	1.7	10
36	Co-creating value through renewing waterway networks: A transaction-cost perspective. Journal of Transport Geography, 2018, 69, 26-35.	5.0	10

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Modifying social impact assessment to enhance the effectiveness of company social investment strategies in contributing to local community development. Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal, 2020, 38, 382-396.	1.8	10
38	Reflecting on How Social Impacts are Considered in Transport Infrastructure Project Planning: Looking beyond the Claimed Success of Sydneyâ€™s South West Rail Link. Urban Policy and Research, 2020, 38, 185-198.	1.3	10
39	The importance of policy design fit for effectiveness: a qualitative comparative analysis of policy integration in regional transport planning. Policy Sciences, 2021, 54, 629-662.	2.8	9
40	Bridging Gaps: Governing Conflicts between Transport and Environmental Policies. Environment and Planning A, 2014, 46, 666-681.	3.6	8
41	Publicâ€“Private Plan Development: Can Early Private Involvement Strengthen Infrastructure Planning?. European Planning Studies, 2014, 22, 323-344.	2.9	8
42	The changing role of decision support instruments in integrated infrastructure planning: lessons from the Sustainability Check. Transportation Planning and Technology, 2018, 41, 679-705.	2.0	8
43	Building Adaptive Capacity through Learning in Project-Oriented Organisations in Infrastructure Planning. Urban Planning, 2020, 5, 33-45.	1.3	8
44	Impact assessments in Dutch infrastructure planning: towards better timing and integration. Project Appraisal, 1996, 11, 237-246.	0.2	7
45	Troubled waters: An institutional analysis of ageing Dutch and American waterway infrastructure. Transport Policy, 2015, 42, 64-74.	6.6	7
46	New highway development in the Netherlands: A residentsâ€™ perspective. Transportation Research, Part D: Transport and Environment, 2017, 51, 326-339.	6.8	7
47	A common ground? Constructing and exploring scenarios for infrastructure network-of-networks. Futures, 2020, 124, 102649.	2.5	7
48	Finding the right tools for the job: Instrument mixes for land use and transport integration in the Netherlands. Journal of Transport and Land Use, 2021, 14, .	1.2	7
49	Functional-Spatial Sustainability Potentials of Integrated Infrastructure Planning. Procedia, Social and Behavioral Sciences, 2012, 48, 2533-2544.	0.5	6
50	Value creation in capital waterway projects: Application of a transaction cost and transaction benefit framework for the Miami River and the New Orleans Inner Harbour Navigation Canal. Land Use Policy, 2014, 38, 91-103.	5.6	6
51	Renewing Infrastructure Networks: New Challenge, New Approach?. Transportation Research Procedia, 2016, 14, 2497-2506.	1.5	6
52	Dutch and American waterway development: identification and classification of instruments for value creation. International Planning Studies, 2018, 23, 278-291.	2.0	6
53	Building Local Institutional Capacities for Urban Flood Adaptation: Lessons from the Water as Leverage Program in Semarang, Indonesia. Sustainability, 2020, 12, 10104.	3.2	6
54	Learning across teams in project-oriented organisations: the role of programme management. Learning Organization, 2022, 29, 6-20.	1.4	6

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Unravelling institutional work patterns: Planning offshore wind farms in contested space. Environmental Innovation and Societal Transitions, 2021, 40, 249-261.	5.5	6
56	EIA decision-making and administrative justice: an empirical analysis. Journal of Environmental Planning and Management, 0, , 1-18.	4.5	6
57	GETTING EA RESEARCH OUT OF THE COMFORT ZONE: CRITICAL REFLECTIONS FROM THE NETHERLANDS. Journal of Environmental Assessment Policy and Management, 2015, 17, 1550011.	7.9	5
58	Residentsâ€™ responses to proposed highway projects: Exploring the role of governmental information provision. Transport Policy, 2016, 49, 56-67.	6.6	5
59	Beyond financial value capturing? Interactions between value capturing and cooperation at the interface of road infrastructure and land use planning. Town Planning Review, 2016, 87, 179-204.	1.2	5
60	Conditions for Co-Creation in Infrastructure Projects: Experiences from the Overdiepse Polder Project (The Netherlands). Sustainability, 2020, 12, 7736.	3.2	5
61	Going Dutch in the Mekong Delta: a framing perspective on water policy translation. Journal of Environmental Policy and Planning, 2021, 23, 16-33.	2.8	5
62	Coping with functional interrelatedness and stakeholder fragmentation in planning at the infrastructure-land use interface: The potential merits of a design approach. Journal of Transport and Land Use, 2017, 10, .	1.2	5
63	Living Labs: A Creative and Collaborative Planning Approach. , 2022, , 457-491.		5
64	How Can Procurement Contribute to Network Performance? Streamlining Network, Project and Procurement Objectives. Procedia, Social and Behavioral Sciences, 2012, 48, 2950-2966.	0.5	4
65	Generating Spatial Quality through Co-creation: Experiences from the Blankenburgverbinding (The) Tj ETQq1 1 0.784314 rgBT /Overlo	1.5	4
66	Anticipating water infrastructure renewal: A framing perspective on organizational learning in public agencies. Environment and Planning C: Politics and Space, 2018, 36, 1088-1108.	1.9	4
67	Challenges in meeting international standards in undertaking social impact assessment in Russia. Environmental Impact Assessment Review, 2020, 83, 106410.	9.2	4
68	Road Infrastructure: Planning, Impact and Management. , 2021, , 360-372.		4
69	How rule directions influence actors to achieve collective action: an analysis of Dutch collective infrastructure decision-making. European Planning Studies, 2023, 31, 1612-1633.	2.9	4
70	Sustainable Market Involvement in Transport Infrastructure Management. Transportation Research Procedia, 2016, 14, 2936-2945.	1.5	3
71	Learning in the face of change: The Dutch National Collaboration Programme on Air Quality. Environment and Planning C: Politics and Space, 2019, 37, 929-945.	1.9	3
72	Identifying Citizens' Place Values for Integrated Planning of Road Infrastructure Projects. Tijdschrift Voor Economische En Sociale Geografie, 2022, 113, 35-56.	2.1	3

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
73	Understanding resident satisfaction with involvement in highway planning: in-depth interviews during a highway planning process in the Netherlands. Journal of Environmental Planning and Management, 2018, 61, 1224-1249.	4.5	3
74	The Effectiveness of EIA as an Instrument for Environmental Governance: Reflecting on 25 Years of EIA Practice in the Netherlands and the UK. , 2016, , 171-210.		3
75	Enhancing the Use of Flood Resilient Spatial Planning in Dutch Water Management. A Study of Barriers and Opportunities in Practice. Planning Theory and Practice, 2022, 23, 212-232.	1.7	3
76	Planning for Waterway Renewal: Balancing Institutional Reproduction and Institutional Change. Planning Theory and Practice, 2018, 19, 678-697.	1.7	2
77	Investigating institutional barriers and opportunities to an integrated approach for transport and spatial development: Mega urban transport development in a rapidly developing city, Seoul. Journal of Urban Affairs, 2024, 46, 40-62.	1.7	2
78	EIA decision-making and administrative justice: the substance of just decisions. Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal, 2022, 40, 296-304.	1.8	2
79	Co-Evolution of Organizations in Infrastructure Planning: The Role of Communities of Practice as Windows for Collective Learning Across Project-Oriented Organizations. Administration and Society, 2022, 54, 1328-1356.	2.1	2
80	Institutional Conditions for Inclusive, Flood Resilient Urban Deltas: A Comparative Institutional Analysis of Two International Resilience Programs in Southeast Asia. Water (Switzerland), 2021, 13, 2478.	2.7	1
81	The Communication and Management of Social Risks and Their Relevance to Corporate-Community Relationships. , 2016, , 171-188.		0