

Chris A Reed

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5673689/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

71
papers

2,144
citations

394286

19
h-index

289141

40
g-index

71
all docs

71
docs citations

71
times ranked

811
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	ARAUCARIA: SOFTWARE FOR ARGUMENT ANALYSIS, DIAGRAMMING AND REPRESENTATION. International Journal on Artificial Intelligence Tools, 2004, 13, 961-979.	0.7	274
2	Towards an argument interchange format. Knowledge Engineering Review, 2006, 21, 293-316.	2.1	211
3	Argument Mining: A Survey. Computational Linguistics, 2020, 45, 765-818.	2.5	158
4	Automatic detection of arguments in legal texts. , 2007, , .		149
5	Towards a Formal Account of Reasoning about Evidence: Argumentation Schemes and Generalisations. Artificial Intelligence and Law, 2003, 11, 125-165.	3.0	139
6	Laying the foundations for a World Wide Argument Web. Artificial Intelligence, 2007, 171, 897-921.	3.9	130
7	Toward Artificial Argumentation. AI Magazine, 2017, 38, 25-36.	1.4	87
8	Argument diagramming in logic, law and artificial intelligence. Knowledge Engineering Review, 2007, 22, 87-109.	2.1	75
9	Implementing the argument web. Communications of the ACM, 2013, 56, 66-73.	3.3	59
10	Argumentation schemes and generalisations in reasoning about evidence. , 2003, , .		43
11	Dialogues about the burden of proof. , 2005, , .		41
12	Towards a Formal and Implemented Model of Argumentation Schemes in Agent Communication. Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems, 2005, 11, 173-188.	1.3	39
13	The Argument Interchange Format. , 2009, , 383-402.		39
14	Recent advances in computational models of natural argument. International Journal of Intelligent Systems, 2007, 22, 1-15.	3.3	38
15	The Added Value of Argumentation. Law, Governance and Technology Series, 2013, , 357-403.	0.3	38
16	Combining Argument Mining Techniques. , 2015, , .		37
17	Representing and classifying arguments on the Semantic Web. Knowledge Engineering Review, 2011, 26, 487-511.	2.1	34
18	A logic of delegation. Artificial Intelligence, 2010, 174, 51-71.	3.9	31

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Representing dialogic argumentation. Knowledge-Based Systems, 2006, 19, 22-31.	4.0	29
20	Mining Arguments From 19th Century Philosophical Texts Using Topic Based Modelling. , 2014, , .		25
21	Negotiating the Semantics of Agent Communication Languages. Computational Intelligence, 2002, 18, 229-252.	2.1	22
22	Translating Toulmin Diagrams: Theory Neutrality in Argument Representation. Argumentation, 2005, 19, 267-286.	0.7	22
23	Lakatos-style collaborative mathematics through dialectical, structured and abstract argumentation. Artificial Intelligence, 2017, 246, 181-219.	3.9	22
24	Informal logic dialogue games in human-computer dialogue. Knowledge Engineering Review, 2011, 26, 159-174.	2.1	21
25	Reason-checking fake news. Communications of the ACM, 2020, 63, 38-40.	3.3	20
26	The Argument Web: an Online Ecosystem of Tools, Systems and Services for Argumentation. Philosophy and Technology, 2017, 30, 137-160.	2.6	19
27	Group delegation and responsibility. , 2002, , .		18
28	Argumentation in the 2016 US presidential elections: annotated corpora of television debates and social media reaction. Language Resources and Evaluation, 2020, 54, 123-154.	1.8	18
29	Dialogical Argument as an Interface to Complex Debates. IEEE Intelligent Systems, 2007, 22, 60-65.	4.0	16
30	Theoretical Steps Towards Modelling Resilience in Complex Systems. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 2006, , 644-653.	1.0	15
31	Annotating Argument Schemes. Argumentation, 2021, 35, 101-139.	0.7	15
32	Mining Argumentative Structure from Natural Language text using Automatically Generated Premise-Conclusion Topic Models. , 2017, , .		15
33	Using Argumentative Structure to Interpret Debates in Online Deliberative Democracy and eRulemaking. ACM Transactions on Internet Technology, 2017, 17, 1-22.	3.0	14
34	Representing and applying knowledge for argumentation in a social context. AI and Society, 1997, 11, 138-154.	3.1	13
35	A pluralist approach to argument diagramming. Law, Probability and Risk, 2007, 6, 59-85.	1.2	13
36	Theoretical foundations for illocutionary structure parsing ¹ . Argument and Computation, 2016, 7, 91-108.	0.7	13

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	Towards a Theory of Close Analysis for Dispute Mediation Discourse. <i>Argumentation</i> , 2017, 31, 45-82.	0.7	13
38	Towards a Formal and Implemented Model of Argumentation Schemes in Agent Communication. <i>Lecture Notes in Computer Science</i> , 2005, , 19-30.	1.0	11
39	Evaluating Corroborative Evidence. <i>Argumentation</i> , 2008, 22, 531-553.	0.7	11
40	ArguBlogging: An application for the Argument Web. <i>Web Semantics</i> , 2014, 25, 9-15.	2.2	11
41	Argument Diagramming: The Araucaria Project. <i>Advanced Information and Knowledge Processing</i> , 2008, , 164-181.	0.2	11
42	Computational Models of Rhetorical Argument. <i>Argumentation Library</i> , 2003, , 175-209.	0.1	11
43	Debating Technology for Dialogical Argument. <i>ACM Transactions on Internet Technology</i> , 2017, 17, 1-23.	3.0	10
44	Strategic Argumentation in Rigorous Persuasion Dialogue. <i>Lecture Notes in Computer Science</i> , 2010, , 94-113.	1.0	10
45	Harnessing rhetorical figures for Argument Mining. <i>Argument and Computation</i> , 2017, 8, 289-310.	0.7	9
46	Decompositional Argument Mining: A General Purpose Approach for Argument Graph Construction. , 2019, , .		9
47	Using Complex Argumentative Interactions to Reconstruct the Argumentative Structure of Large-Scale Debates. , 2017, , .		8
48	Formal Dialectic Specification. <i>Lecture Notes in Computer Science</i> , 2005, , 31-43.	1.0	7
49	The CASS Technique for Evaluating the Performance of Argument Mining. , 2016, , .		7
50	Modelling argument recognition and reconstruction. <i>Journal of Pragmatics</i> , 2008, 40, 155-172.	0.8	6
51	From fallacies to semi-fake news: Improving the identification of misinformation triggers across digital media. <i>Discourse and Society</i> , 2022, 33, 349-370.	1.5	6
52	A drosophila for computational dialectics. , 2005, , .		5
53	A Formal Characterisation of Hamblin's Action-State Semantics. <i>Journal of Philosophical Logic</i> , 2007, 36, 415-448.	0.6	5
54	Argument technology for debating with humans. <i>Nature</i> , 2021, 591, 373-374.	13.7	5

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
55	Questions in argumentative dialogue. <i>Journal of Pragmatics</i> , 2022, 188, 56-79.	0.8	5
56	Introducing <i>Argument & Computation</i> . <i>Argument and Computation</i> , 2010, 1, 1-5.	0.7	4
57	Multi-agent Patient Representation in Primary Care. <i>Lecture Notes in Computer Science</i> , 2005, , 375-384.	1.0	4
58	Using an Argument Ontology to Develop Pedagogical Tool Suites. <i>Lecture Notes in Computer Science</i> , 2011, , 207-214.	1.0	4
59	Coordination, Organisation and Model-driven Approaches for Dynamic, Flexible, Robust Software and Services Engineering. , 2011, , 85-115.		4
60	Multi-level computational methods for interdisciplinary research in the HathiTrust Digital Library. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2017, 12, e0184188.	1.1	3
61	Classifying Argumentative Relations Using Logical Mechanisms and Argumentation Schemes. <i>Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics</i> , 2021, 9, 721-739.	3.2	3
62	Testing Formal Dialectic. <i>Lecture Notes in Computer Science</i> , 2006, , 74-87.	1.0	3
63	Translating Toulmin Diagrams: Theory Neutrality in Argument Representation. , 2006, , 341-358.		2
64	Argument Revision. <i>Journal of Logic and Computation</i> , 2016, , exw028.	0.5	2
65	INSPIRE: An Integrated Agent Based System for Hypothesis Generation within Cancer Datasets. , 2008, , .		1
66	Recommendations to support interaction with broadcast debates: a study on older adultsâ€™ interaction with The Moral Maze. <i>AI and Society</i> , 2016, 31, 109-120.	3.1	1
67	Argublogging: An Application for the Argument Web. <i>SSRN Electronic Journal</i> , 0, , .	0.4	1
68	Using dialogical argument as an interface to complex debates. <i>IEEE Potentials</i> , 2008, 27, 26-30.	0.2	0
69	Structured Arguments and Their Aggregation: A Reply to Selinger. <i>Argumentation</i> , 2014, 28, 395-399.	0.7	0
70	Introduction: Theoretical and Technological Perspectives on Online Arguments. <i>Philosophy and Technology</i> , 2017, 30, 131-135.	2.6	0
71	Argument Diagramming: The Araucaria Project. <i>Advanced Information and Knowledge Processing</i> , 2014, , 173-191.	0.2	0