
## MarÃ-lia Prada

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5672092/publications.pdf Version: 2024-02-01



Μλαδιιλ Ραληλ

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	â€~Would you eat cultured meat?': Consumers' reactions and attitude formation in Belgium, Portugal and the United Kingdom. Meat Science, 2015, 102, 49-58.	5.5	263
2	Beyond positive or negative: Qualitative sentiment analysis of social media reactions to unexpected stressful events. Computers in Human Behavior, 2016, 56, 179-191.	8.5	160
3	Lisbon Emoji and Emoticon Database (LEED): Norms for emoji and emoticons in seven evaluative dimensions. Behavior Research Methods, 2018, 50, 392-405.	4.0	127
4	Motives, frequency and attitudes toward emoji and emoticon use. Telematics and Informatics, 2018, 35, 1925-1934.	5.8	111
5	Lost in processing? Perceived healthfulness, taste and caloric content of whole and processed organic food. Appetite, 2017, 114, 175-186.	3.7	73
6	A frown emoji can be worth a thousand words: Perceptions of emoji use in text messages exchanged between romantic partners. Telematics and Informatics, 2017, 34, 1532-1543.	5.8	51
7	Consumer perceptions of conventional and alternative protein sources: A mixed-methods approach with meal and product framing. Appetite, 2021, 156, 104860.	3.7	50
8	KDEF-PT: Valence, Emotional Intensity, Familiarity and Attractiveness Ratings of Angry, Neutral, and Happy Faces. Frontiers in Psychology, 2017, 8, 2181.	2.1	40
9	Lisbon Symbol Database (LSD): Subjective norms for 600 symbols. Behavior Research Methods, 2016, 48, 1370-1382.	4.0	34
10	The many faces of a face: Comparing stills and videos of facial expressions in eight dimensions (SAVE) Tj ETQq0	0 0 rgBT /0 4.0	Overlock 10 T
11	The impact of a gluten-free claim on the perceived healthfulness, calories, level of processing and expected taste of food products. Food Quality and Preference, 2019, 73, 284-287.	4.6	33
12	Food-pics-PT: Portuguese validation of food images in 10 subjective evaluative dimensions. Food Quality and Preference, 2017, 61, 15-25.	4.6	27
13	Animal Images Database: Validation of 120 Images for Human-Animal Studies. Animals, 2019, 9, 475.	2.3	27
14	Under Pressure: An Integrative Perspective of Time Pressure Impact on Consumer Decision-Making. Journal of International Consumer Marketing, 2016, 28, 251-273.	3.7	26
15	Motivations for Sexual Behavior and Intentions to Use Condoms: Development of the Regulatory Focus in Sexuality Scale. Archives of Sexual Behavior, 2019, 48, 557-575.	1.9	19
16	Knowledge about Sugar Sources and Sugar Intake Guidelines in Portuguese Consumers. Nutrients, 2020, 12, 3888.	4.1	18
17	Deliberate choices or strong motives: Exploring the mechanisms underlying the bias of organic claims on leniency judgments. Appetite, 2016, 103, 8-16.	3.7	17

18The impact of sugar-related claims on perceived healthfulness, caloric value and expected taste of<br/>food products. Food Quality and Preference, 2021, 94, 104331.4.617

MarÃlia Prada

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Perceived sexual self-control and condom use with primary and casual sex partners: age and relationship agreement differences in a Portuguese sample. Psychology and Health, 2019, 34, 1231-1249.	2.2	15
20	Perceived parent and friend support for romantic relationships in emerging adults. Personal Relationships, 2017, 24, 4-16.	1.5	14
21	Subjective ratings and emotional recognition of children's facial expressions from the CAFE set. PLoS ONE, 2018, 13, e0209644.	2.5	13
22	A qualitative study about college students' attitudes, knowledge and perceptions regarding sugar intake. Appetite, 2021, 159, 105059.	3.7	13
23	Perceived Associations between Excessive Sugar Intake and Health Conditions. Nutrients, 2022, 14, 640.	4.1	13
24	Crisis as seen by the individual: the Norm Deviation Approach / La crisis vista por el individuo: el Enfoque de la Desviación de la Norma. Psyecology, 2015, 6, 103-135.	0.5	12
25	Familiarity increases subjective positive affect even in non-affective and non-evaluative contexts. Motivation and Emotion, 2016, 40, 638-645.	1.3	12
26	Predictors of Condomless Sex and Sexual Health Behaviors in a Sample of Portuguese Single Adults. Journal of Sexual Medicine, 2020, 17, 26-36.	0.6	12
27	Evaluating the Adequacy of Emoji Use in Positive and Negative Messages from Close and Distant Senders. Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking, 2022, 25, 194-199.	3.9	11
28	Comparing the valence, emotionality and subjective familiarity of words in a first and a second language. International Journal of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism, 2021, 24, 275-291.	2.1	10
29	Emoji as Icebreakers? Emoji can signal distinct intentions in first time online interactions. Telematics and Informatics, 2022, 69, 101783.	5.8	9
30	The taste & affect music database: Subjective rating norms for a new set of musical stimuli. Behavior Research Methods, 2023, 55, 1121-1140.	4.0	9
31	Social presence and the composite face effect. Acta Psychologica, 2015, 158, 61-66.	1.5	8
32	Knowledge and acceptance of interventions aimed at reducing sugar intake in Portugal. Public Health Nutrition, 2020, 23, 3423-3434.	2.2	8
33	Continuação do FIM – Normas de valência e familiaridade das imagens do Ficheiro de Imagens Multicategoriais. Laboratâ^šâ‰¥rio De Psicologia, 2013, 8, .	0.2	8
34	Normas da valência das imagens do Ficheiro de Imagens Multicategoriais (FIM). Laboratâ^šâ‰¥rio De Psicologia, 2013, 4, .	0.2	7
35	Se correr o bicho pega Normas de avaliação de imagens de animais negativos. Laboratâ^šâ‰¥rio De Psicologia, 2014, 12, .	0.2	7
36	Examining the Relationship between Sugar Content, Packaging Features, and Food Claims of Breakfast Cereals. Nutrients, 2021, 13, 1841.	4.1	6

MarÃlia Prada

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	Parental perceptions and practices regarding sugar intake by school-aged children: A qualitative study with Portuguese parents. Appetite, 2021, 166, 105471.	3.7	6
38	Qual é coisa, qual é ela? Avaliação de valência e familiaridade de imagens de objectos desconhecidos. Laboratâ^šâ‰¥rio De Psicologia, 2013, 8, .	0.2	6
39	With or without Emoji? Perceptions about Emoji Use in Different Brand-Consumer Communication Contexts. Human Behavior and Emerging Technologies, 2022, 2022, 1-8.	4.4	6
40	Cohabitation and Romantic Relationship Quality Among Portuguese Lesbian, Gay, and Heterosexual Individuals. Sexuality Research and Social Policy, 2019, 16, 100-111.	2.3	5
41	The Impact of Stimuli Color in Lexical Decision and Semantic Word Categorization Tasks. Cognitive Science, 2019, 43, e12781.	1.7	5
42	Emotional interference in isolation and in others' presence. Current Psychology, 2021, 40, 5783-5792.	2.8	5
43	From Pets to Pests: Testing the Scope of the "Pets as Ambassadors―Hypothesis. Anthrozoos, 2021, 34, 707-722.	1.4	4
44	Seeing the Big Picture: Size Perception Is More Context Sensitive in the Presence of Others. PLoS ONE, 2015, 10, e0141992.	2.5	4
45	An appetite for meat? Disentangling the influence of animal resemblance and familiarity. Appetite, 2022, 170, 105875.	3.7	4
46	Using Emoji in Response to Customer Reservation Requests and Service Reviews. Human Behavior and Emerging Technologies, 2022, 2022, 1-10.	4.4	3
47	Relationship between objective and perceived sugar content on consumers perceptions about breakfast cereals. Food Quality and Preference, 2022, 96, 104387.	4.6	2
48	Influência e interferência: cruzando dois paradigmas de primação afectiva. Psicologia, 2014, 22, 87.	0.3	2
49	Injustice impairs selfâ€regulation and affects food choice. Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 2021, 51, 1109-1115.	2.0	1
50	De triunfante a terrÃvel: Avaliação de valência e familiaridade de adjectivos em lÃngua portuguesa. Laboratâ^šâ‰¥rio De Psicologia, 2013, 6, .	0.2	1
51	Conhecer as regras do jogo: Uma introdução Ãs normas para escrita cientÃfica da American Psychological Association. Psicologia, 2013, 27, 107-143.	0.3	1
52	8 ou 80! Adaptação e validação da Escala Breve de Intensidade Afectiva. Laboratâ^šâ‰¥rio De Psicologia, 2013, 7, .	0.2	0
53	Experienced Category Variability Modulates the Impact of Context on Evaluative Judgments. Experimental Psychology, 2020, 67, 5-13.	0.7	0
54	Feeling better in the presence of others: It may depend on whether you are a man or a woman. Analise Psicologica, 2021, 39, 213-228.	0.2	0