## Melanie F Myers

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/5671912/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

430874 330143 1,621 66 18 37 citations h-index g-index papers 66 66 66 2415 docs citations times ranked citing authors all docs

#	Article	lF	Citations
1	Experiences of adolescents and their parents after receiving adolescents' genomic screening results. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2022, 31, 608-619.	1.6	6
2	Do research participants share genomic screening results with family members?. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2022, 31, 447-458.	1.6	12
3	Reanalysis of eMERGE phase III sequence variants in 10,500 participants and infrastructure to support the automated return of knowledge updates. Genetics in Medicine, 2022, 24, 454-462.	2.4	6
4	A report of the AGCPD task force to evaluate associations between select admissions requirements, demographics, and performance on ABGC certification examination. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2022, 31, 302-315.	1.6	8
5	The reckoning: The return of genomic results to 1444 participants across the eMERGE3 Network. Genetics in Medicine, 2022, 24, 1130-1138.	2.4	12
6	Impact of returning unsolicited genomic results to nongenetic health care providers in the eMERGE III Network. Genetics in Medicine, 2022, 24, 1297-1305.	2.4	3
7	Developing video education materials for the return of genomic test results to parents and adolescents. PEC Innovation, 2022, 1, 100051.	0.8	3
8	Returning negative results from <scp>largeâ€scale</scp> genomic screening: Experiences from the <scp>eMERGE III</scp> network. American Journal of Medical Genetics, Part A, 2021, 185, 508-516.	1.2	5
9	Comprehension and personal value of negative nonâ€diagnostic genetic panel testing. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2021, 30, 418-427.	1.6	13
10	Mitochondrial replacement therapy: Genetic counselors' experiences, knowledge, and opinions. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2021, 30, 828-837.	1.6	2
11	The Underdeveloped "Gift†Ethics in Implementing Precision Medicine Research. American Journal of Bioethics, 2021, 21, 67-69.	0.9	3
12	Neptune: an environment for the delivery of genomic medicine. Genetics in Medicine, 2021, 23, 1838-1846.	2.4	3
13	Genetic counseling delivery, outcomes, training, and practice in response to COVIDâ€19: Introduction to the special issue. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2021, 30, 922-923.	1.6	1
14	Risk perception and communal coping in families affected by type 2 diabetes. Innovation in Aging, 2021, 5, 689-689.	0.1	0
15	Decisional conflict among adolescents and parents making decisions about genomic sequencing results. Clinical Genetics, 2020, 97, 312-320.	2.0	10
16	Adolescents' and Parents' Genomic Testing Decisions: Associations With Age, Race, and Sex. Journal of Adolescent Health, 2020, 66, 288-295.	2.5	19
17	Participant choices for return of genomic results in the eMERGE Network. Genetics in Medicine, 2020, 22, 1821-1829.	2.4	25
18	Understanding the Return of Genomic Sequencing Results Process: Content Review of Participant Summary Letters in the eMERGE Research Network. Journal of Personalized Medicine, 2020, 10, 38.	2.5	15

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Returning Results in the Genomic Era: Initial Experiences of the eMERGE Network. Journal of Personalized Medicine, 2020, 10, 30.	2.5	39
20	Ethical conflicts in translational genetic research: lessons learned from the eMERGE-III experience. Genetics in Medicine, 2020, 22, 1667-1672.	2.4	10
21	The gendered pay gap in genetic counseling. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2020, 29, 182-191.	1.6	1
22	Adapting Clinical Systems to Enable Adolescents' Genomic Choices. ACI Open, 2020, 04, e126-e131.	0.5	2
23	Physicians' perspectives on receiving unsolicited genomic results. Genetics in Medicine, 2019, 21, 311-318.	2.4	43
24	Harmonizing Clinical Sequencing and Interpretation for the eMERGE III Network. American Journal of Human Genetics, 2019, 105, 588-605.	6.2	99
25	A Bayesian hierarchical logistic regression model of multiple informant family health histories. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2019, 19, 56.	3.1	3
26	Activating Communal Coping Related to Diabetes Risk in Mexican-Heritage Families. Family and Community Health, 2019, 42, 245-253.	1.1	3
27	Enrichment sampling for a multi-site patient survey using electronic health records and census data. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association: JAMIA, 2019, 26, 219-227.	4.4	4
28	Giving adolescents a voice: the types of genetic information adolescents choose to learn and why. Genetics in Medicine, 2019, 21, 965-971.	2.4	26
29	Racial differences in family health history knowledge of type 2 diabetes: exploring the role of interpersonal mechanisms. Translational Behavioral Medicine, 2018, 8, 540-549.	2.4	10
30	Investigation of the Use of a Family Health History Application in Genetic Counseling. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2018, 27, 392-405.	1.6	4
31	Parents' attitudes toward consent and data sharing in biobanks: A multisite experimental survey. AJOB Empirical Bioethics, 2018, 9, 128-142.	1.6	25
32	Ethical Considerations Related to Return of Results from Genomic Medicine Projects: The eMERGE Network (Phase III) Experience. Journal of Personalized Medicine, 2018, 8, 2.	2.5	44
33	Adolescent and Parental Attitudes About Return of Genomic Research Results: Focus Group Findings Regarding Decisional Preferences. Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics, 2018, 13, 371-382.	1.3	36
34	Put the Family Back in Family Health History: A Multiple-Informant Approach. American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 2017, 52, 640-644.	3.0	13
35	Pediatric Epilepsy Surgery. Journal of Child Neurology, 2017, 32, 467-474.	1.4	8
36	Public Attitudes toward Consent and Data Sharing in Biobank Research: A Large Multi-site Experimental Survey in the US. American Journal of Human Genetics, 2017, 100, 414-427.	6.2	172

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
37	A qualitative study of adolescents' understanding of biobanks and their attitudes toward participation, reâ€contact, and data sharing. American Journal of Medical Genetics, Part A, 2017, 173, 930-937.	1.2	15
38	Pediatric Whole Exome Sequencing: an Assessment of Parents' Perceived and Actual Understanding Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2017, 26, 792-805.	1.6	19
39	Prior opioid exposure influences parents' sharing of their children's <i>CYP2D6</i> research results. Pharmacogenomics, 2017, 18, 1199-1213.	1.3	3
40	Parental Perception of Self-Empowerment in Pediatric Pharmacogenetic Testing: The Reactions of Parents to the Communication of Actual and Hypothetical CYP2D6 Test Results. Health Communication, 2017, 32, 1104-1111.	3.1	4
41	When Participants in Genomic Research Grow Up: Contact and Consent atÂthe Age of Majority. Journal of Pediatrics, 2016, 168, 226-231.e1.	1.8	17
42	Conducting a large, multi-site survey about patients' views on broad consent: challenges and solutions. BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2016, 16, 162.	3.1	9
43	Developing a Model of Advanced Training to Promote Career Advancement for Certified Genetic Counselors: An Investigation of Expanded Skills, Advanced Training Paths, and Professional Opportunities. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2016, 25, 625-634.	1.6	12
44	Talking About Type 2 Diabetes. The Diabetes Educator, 2015, 41, 716-728.	2.5	15
45	Variable approaches to genetic counseling for microarray regions of homozygosity associated with parental relatedness. American Journal of Medical Genetics, Part A, 2014, 164, 87-98.	1.2	8
46	Medical management adherence as an outcome of genetic counseling in a pediatric setting. Genetics in Medicine, 2014, 16, 157-163.	2.4	27
47	Return of Genomic Results to Research Participants: The Floor, the Ceiling, and the Choices In Between. American Journal of Human Genetics, 2014, 94, 818-826.	6.2	342
48	Mothers' Perceptions of Family Health History and an Online, Parent-Generated Family Health History Tool. Clinical Pediatrics, 2013, 52, 74-81.	0.8	11
49	Variability in laboratory reporting practices for regions of homozygosity indicating parental relatedness as identified by SNP microarray testing. Genetics in Medicine, 2012, 14, 971-976.	2.4	21
50	Direct-to-Consumer Genetic Testing: Introduction to the Special Issue. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2012, 21, 357-360.	1.6	8
51	Health care providers and direct-to-consumer access and advertising of genetic testing in the United States. Genome Medicine, 2011, 3, 81.	8.2	13
52	Media Coverage of Direct-to-Consumer Genetic Testing. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2011, 20, 486-494.	1.6	27
53	Perceived Utility of Parent-Generated Family Health History as a Health Promotion Tool in Pediatric Practice. Clinical Pediatrics, 2011, 50, 720-728.	0.8	15
54	Primary Care Providers' Responses to Patientâ€Generated Family History. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2010, 19, 84-96.	1.6	28

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Familial risk for chronic disease and intent to share family history with a health care provider among urban Appalachian women, southwestern Ohio, 2007. Preventing Chronic Disease, 2010, 7, A07.	3.4	4
56	A Family History Demonstration Project Among Women in an Urban Appalachian Community. Progress in Community Health Partnerships: Research, Education, and Action, 2009, 3, 155-163.	0.3	18
57	Views on Abortion: A Comparison of Female Genetic Counselors and Women from the General Population. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2009, 18, 28-41.	1.6	6
58	Decisions to Seek Healthcare Based on Family Health History Among Urban Appalachian Women. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2009, 18, 534-550.	1.6	10
59	Genetic Counselors' Religiosity & Spirituality: Are Genetic Counselors Different from the General Population?. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2009, 18, 551-566.	1.6	5
60	Employability of Genetic Counselors with a PhD in Genetic Counseling. Journal of Genetic Counseling, 2008, 17, 209-219.	1.6	4
61	Genetic Literacy of Undergraduate Non–Science Majors and the Impact of Introductory Biology and Genetics Courses. BioScience, 2008, 58, 654-660.	4.9	39
62	Development and Evaluation of a Genetics Literacy Assessment Instrument for Undergraduates. Genetics, 2008, 178, 15-22.	2.9	153
63	Risk factors for infection during a dengue-1 outbreak in Maui, Hawaii, 2001. Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene, 2006, 100, 559-566.	1.8	18
64	Genetic testing for susceptibility to breast and ovarian cancer: Evaluating the impact of a direct-to-consumer marketing campaign on physicians' knowledge and practices. Genetics in Medicine, 2006, 8, 361-370.	2.4	67
65	Genetic Laboratory Practices Related to Testing of the GIB2 (Connexin-26) Gene in the United States in 1999 and 2000. Genetic Testing and Molecular Biomarkers, 2003, 7, 49-56.	1.7	3
66	Genetic services for common complex disorders: Surveys of health maintenance organizations and academic genetic centers. Genetics in Medicine, 1999, 1, 272-285.	2.4	12