MikoÅ, aj Czajkowski

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: https://exaly.com/author-pdf/56565/publications.pdf

Version: 2024-02-01

78 papers 3,028 citations

32 h-index 51 g-index

81 all docs

81 docs citations

81 times ranked 3028 citing authors

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
1	The Baltic Sea as a time machine for the future coastal ocean. Science Advances, 2018, 4, eaar8195.	4.7	339
2	Economic valuation of air pollution mortality: A 9-country contingent valuation survey of value of a life year (VOLY). Ecological Indicators, 2011, 11, 902-910.	2.6	123
3	Social Norms, Morals and Self-interest as Determinants of Pro-environment Behaviours: The Case of Household Recycling. Environmental and Resource Economics, 2017, 66, 647-670.	1.5	118
4	We want to sort! Assessing households' preferences for sorting waste. Resources and Energy Economics, 2014, 36, 290-306.	1.1	95
5	Choice experiment assessment of public preferences for forest structural attributes. Ecological Economics, 2015, 119, 8-23.	2.9	91
6	The value of familiarity: Effects of knowledge and objective signals on willingness to pay for a public good. Journal of Environmental Economics and Management, 2014, 68, 376-389.	2.1	86
7	Willingness to pay for unfamiliar public goods: Preserving cold-water coral in Norway. Ecological Economics, 2015, 112, 53-67.	2.9	77
8	Spatial Heterogeneity of Willingness to Pay for Forest Management. Environmental and Resource Economics, 2017, 68, 705-727.	1.5	75
9	Benefits of meeting nutrient reduction targets for the Baltic Sea – a contingent valuation study in the nine coastal states. Journal of Environmental Economics and Policy, 2014, 3, 278-305.	1.5	73
10	Environmental attitudes and place identity as determinants of preferences for ecosystem services. Ecological Economics, 2020, 174, 106600.	2.9	69
11	Valuing changes in forest biodiversity. Ecological Economics, 2009, 68, 2910-2917.	2.9	68
12	Simulation error in maximum likelihood estimation of discrete choice models. Journal of Choice Modelling, 2019, 31, 73-85.	1.2	67
13	Is the Income Elasticity of the Willingness to Pay for Pollution Control Constant?. Environmental and Resource Economics, 2017, 68, 663-682.	1.5	63
14	The Effects of Experience on Preferences: Theory and Empirics for Environmental Public Goods. American Journal of Agricultural Economics, 2015, 97, 333-351.	2.4	62
15	The Role of Stated Preference Valuation Methods in Understanding Choices and Informing Policy. Review of Environmental Economics and Policy, 2019, 13, 248-266.	3.1	61
16	Learning and Fatigue Effects Revisited: Investigating the Effects of Accounting for Unobservable Preference and Scale Heterogeneity. Land Economics, 2014, 90, 324-351.	0.5	58
17	An investigation using the choice experiment method into options for reducing illegal bushmeat hunting in western Serengeti. Conservation Letters, 2013, 6, 37-45.	2.8	57
18	Disentangling the effects of policy and payment consequentiality and risk attitudes on stated preferences. Journal of Environmental Economics and Management, 2019, 93, 63-84.	2.1	57

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
19	Reduction of Baltic Sea Nutrient Inputs and Allocation of Abatement Costs Within the Baltic Sea Catchment. Ambio, 2014, 43, 11-25.	2.8	56
20	Environmental Valuation with Discrete Choice Experiments. SpringerBriefs in Economics, 2021, , .	0.1	55
21	Addressing empirical challenges related to the incentive compatibility of stated preferences methods. Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization, 2017, 142, 47-63.	1.0	53
22	Using Labels to Investigate Scope Effects in Stated Preference Methods. Environmental and Resource Economics, 2009, 44, 521-535.	1.5	51
23	Valuing the commons: An international study on the recreational benefits of the Baltic Sea. Journal of Environmental Management, 2015, 156, 209-217.	3.8	51
24	The effect of risk perception on public preferences and willingness to pay for reductions in the health risks posed by toxic cyanobacterial blooms. Science of the Total Environment, 2012, 426, 32-44.	3.9	50
25	A new baseline model for estimating willingness to pay from discrete choice models. Journal of Environmental Economics and Management, 2019, 95, 57-61.	2.1	44
26	Public preferences regarding use and condition of the Baltic Seaâ€"An international comparison informing marine policy. Marine Policy, 2013, 42, 20-30.	1.5	43
27	The economic recreational value of a white stork nesting colony: AÂcase of â€~stork village' in Poland. Tourism Management, 2014, 40, 352-360.	5.8	43
28	Hydro-economic modelling of cost-effective transboundary water quality management in the Baltic Sea. Water Resources and Economics, 2014, 5, 1-23.	0.9	43
29	Public acceptability of climate change mitigation policies: a discrete choice experiment. Climate Policy, 2017, 17, S111-S130.	2.6	43
30	Study on benefit transfer in an international setting. How to improve welfare estimates in the case of the countries' income heterogeneity?. Ecological Economics, 2010, 69, 2409-2416.	2.9	41
31	Controlling for the Effects of Information in a Public Goods Discrete Choice Model. Environmental and Resource Economics, 2016, 63, 523-544.	1.5	41
32	Social norm nudging and preferences for household recycling. Resources and Energy Economics, 2019, 58, 101110.	1.1	38
33	Economic values of species management options in human–wildlife conflicts: Hen Harriers in Scotland. Ecological Economics, 2010, 70, 107-113.	2.9	34
34	Understanding the distribution of economic benefits from improving coastal and marine ecosystems. Science of the Total Environment, 2017, 584-585, 29-40.	3.9	33
35	Re-examining empirical evidence on stated preferences: importance of incentive compatibility. Journal of Environmental Economics and Policy, 2017, 6, 374-403.	1.5	33
36	Personality and economic choices. Journal of Environmental Economics and Management, 2019, 94, 82-100.	2.1	33

#	Article	lF	CITATIONS
37	Providing preference-based support for forest ecosystem service management. Forest Policy and Economics, 2014, 39, 1-12.	1.5	32
38	Gain and loss of money in a choice experiment. The impact of financial loss aversion and risk preferences on willingness to pay to avoid renewable energy externalities. Energy Economics, 2017, 65, 326-334.	5.6	32
39	Marine trade-offs: Comparing the benefits of off-shore wind farms and marine protected areas. Energy Economics, 2016, 55, 127-134.	5.6	28
40	Choosing a Functional Form for an International Benefit Transfer: Evidence from a Nine-country Valuation Experiment. Ecological Economics, 2017, 134, 104-113.	2.9	27
41	How much do switching costs and local network effects contribute to consumer lock-in in mobile telephony?. Telecommunications Policy, 2016, 40, 855-869.	2.6	26
42	Electric, plug-in hybrid, hybrid, or conventional? Polish consumers' preferences for electric vehicles. Energy Efficiency, 2018, 11, 2181-2201.	1.3	25
43	What is the causal impact of information and knowledge in stated preference studies?. Resources and Energy Economics, 2018, 54, 69-89.	1.1	25
44	The Individual Travel Cost Method with Consumer-Specific Values of Travel Time Savings. Environmental and Resource Economics, 2019, 74, 961-984.	1.5	25
45	Stated Preferences for Conservation Policies Under Uncertainty: Insights on the Effect of Individuals' Risk Attitudes in the Environmental Domain. Environmental and Resource Economics, 2019, 73, 627-659.	1.5	24
46	The discrete choice experiment approach to environmental contingent valuation. , 2014, , .		24
47	Preference and WTP stability for public forest management. Forest Policy and Economics, 2016, 71, 11-22.	1.5	23
48	Sad or Happy? The Effects of Emotions on Stated Preferences for Environmental Goods. Environmental and Resource Economics, 2017, 68, 821-846.	1.5	23
49	Farmers' preferences for nutrient and climate-related agri-environmental schemes: A cross-country comparison. Ambio, 2019, 48, 1290-1303.	2.8	23
50	Network effects and preference heterogeneity in the case of mobile telecommunications markets. Telecommunications Policy, 2012, 36, 197-211.	2.6	21
51	Trophy hunters' willingness to pay for wildlife conservation and community benefits. Conservation Biology, 2015, 29, 1111-1121.	2.4	21
52	Is forest landscape restoration socially desirable? A discrete choice experiment applied to the Scandinavian transboundary FulufjA#et National Park Area. Restoration Ecology, 2018, 26, 370-380.	1.4	21
53	Valuing the benefits of improved marine environmental quality under multiple stressors. Science of the Total Environment, 2016, 551-552, 367-375.	3.9	19
54	Use and Non-Use Values in an Applied Bioeconomic Model of Fisheries and Habitat Connections. Marine Resource Economics, 2017, 32, 351-369.	1.1	19

#	Article	IF	CITATIONS
55	Using Geographically Weighted Choice Models to Account for the Spatial Heterogeneity of Preferences. Journal of Agricultural Economics, 2018, 69, 606-626.	1.6	17
56	Are bilateral conservation policies for the BiaÅ,owieŽ a forest unattainable? Analysis of stated preferences of Polish and Belarusian public. Journal of Forest Economics, 2017, 27, 70-79.	0.1	16
57	Including cost income ratio into utility function as a way of dealing with †exploding†implicit prices in mixed logit models. Journal of Forest Economics, 2012, 18, 370-380.	0.1	14
58	Unraveling local preferences and willingness to pay for different management scenarios: A choice experiment to biosphere reserve management. Land Use Policy, 2019, 88, 104200.	2.5	14
59	Municipal wastewater treatment in Poland – efficiency, costs and returns to scale. Water Science and Technology, 2012, 66, 394-401.	1.2	12
60	An economic valuation of access to cultural institutions: museums, theatres, and cinemas. Journal of Cultural Economics, 2020, 44, 563-587.	1.3	12
61	Designing a socially efficient cultural policy: the case of municipal theaters in Warsaw. International Journal of Cultural Policy, 2019, 25, 445-457.	0.8	11
62	Drivers of farmers' willingness to adopt extensive farming practices in a globally important bird area. Land Use Policy, 2021, 107, 104223.	2.5	11
63	Toward the Baltic Sea Socioeconomic Action Plan. Ambio, 2019, 48, 1377-1388.	2.8	9
64	Choosing the Future: Economic Preferences for Higher Education Using Discrete Choice Experiment Method. Research in Higher Education, 2020, 61, 510-539.	1.0	8
65	Energy Demand Management and Social Norms. Energies, 2020, 13, 3779.	1.6	8
66	Increasing the cost-effectiveness of nutrient reduction targets using different spatial scales. Science of the Total Environment, 2021, 790, 147824.	3.9	7
67	Patients' Preferences and Willingness to Pay for Solid Forms of Oral Medicationsâ€"Results of the Discrete Choice Experiment in Polish Outpatients. Pharmaceutics, 2020, 12, 236.	2.0	6
68	The relative performance of <i>exâ€ante</i> and <i>exâ€post</i> measures to mitigate hypothetical and strategic bias in a stated preference study. Journal of Agricultural Economics, 2022, 73, 845-873.	1.6	5
69	Predicting uptake of a malignant catarrhal fever vaccine by pastoralists in northern Tanzania: Opportunities for improving livelihoods and ecosystem health. Ecological Economics, 2021, 190, 107189.	2.9	4
70	Econometric Modelling: Basics. SpringerBriefs in Economics, 2021, , 61-81.	0.1	3
71	Valuing externalities of outdoor advertising in an urban setting – the case of Warsaw. Journal of Urban Economics, 2022, 130, 103452.	2.4	3
72	Receiver benefits and strategic use of call externalities in mobile telephony markets. Information Economics and Policy, 2018, 44, 16-27.	1.7	1

#	Article	lF	CITATIONS
73	Developing the Questionnaire. SpringerBriefs in Economics, 2021, , 7-36.	0.1	1
74	Validity and Reliability. SpringerBriefs in Economics, 2021, , 111-123.	0.1	1
75	Editorial: Special Edition of the Central European Economic Journal to Mark the 70th Birthday of Prof. Tomasz Żylicz. Central European Economic Journal, 2021, 8, 176-179.	0.4	O
76	Collecting the Data. SpringerBriefs in Economics, 2021, , 51-59.	0.1	0
77	Econometric Modelling: Extensions. SpringerBriefs in Economics, 2021, , 83-101.	0.1	O
78	Calculating Marginal and Non-marginal Welfare Measures. SpringerBriefs in Economics, 2021, , 103-110.	0.1	0