

Birte Moeller

List of Publications by Year in descending order

Source: <https://exaly.com/author-pdf/564334/publications.pdf>

Version: 2024-02-01

38
papers

860
citations

516710

16
h-index

526287

27
g-index

39
all docs

39
docs citations

39
times ranked

246
citing authors

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
1	Binding and Retrieval in Action Control (BRAC). <i>Trends in Cognitive Sciences</i> , 2020, 24, 375-387.	7.8	194
2	Attention meets binding: Only attended distractors are used for the retrieval of event files. <i>Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics</i> , 2014, 76, 959-978.	1.3	63
3	Retrieval of event files can be conceptually mediated. <i>Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics</i> , 2013, 75, 700-709.	1.3	41
4	Dissociation of binding and learning processes. <i>Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics</i> , 2017, 79, 2590-2605.	1.3	40
5	Integrating the Irrelevant Sound. <i>Experimental Psychology</i> , 2012, 59, 258-264.	0.7	36
6	A common mechanism behind distractor-response and response-effect binding?. <i>Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics</i> , 2016, 78, 1074-1086.	1.3	35
7	From simple to complex actions: Response-response bindings as a new approach to action sequences.. <i>Journal of Experimental Psychology: General</i> , 2019, 148, 174-183.	2.1	33
8	The horserace between distractors and targets: Retrieval-based probe responding depends on distractor-target asynchrony. <i>Journal of Cognitive Psychology</i> , 2012, 24, 582-590.	0.9	32
9	The structure of distractor-response bindings: Conditions for configural and elemental integration.. <i>Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance</i> , 2016, 42, 464-479.	0.9	32
10	Remember the touch: tactile distractors retrieve previous responses to targets. <i>Experimental Brain Research</i> , 2011, 214, 121-130.	1.5	29
11	Dissecting stimulus-response binding effects: Grouping by color separately impacts integration and retrieval processes. <i>Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics</i> , 2018, 80, 1474-1488.	1.3	27
12	May I have your attention please: Binding of attended but response-irrelevant features. <i>Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics</i> , 2018, 80, 1143-1156.	1.3	25
13	Overlearned responses hinder S-R binding.. <i>Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance</i> , 2017, 43, 1-5.	0.9	23
14	Long-term response-stimulus associations can influence distractor-response bindings. <i>Advances in Cognitive Psychology</i> , 2014, 10, 68-80.	0.5	22
15	Lost time: Bindings do not represent temporal order information. <i>Psychonomic Bulletin and Review</i> , 2019, 26, 325-331.	2.8	22
16	What a car does to your perception: Distance evaluations differ from within and outside of a car. <i>Psychonomic Bulletin and Review</i> , 2016, 23, 781-788.	2.8	19
17	Selective binding of stimulus, response, and effect features. <i>Psychonomic Bulletin and Review</i> , 2019, 26, 1627-1632.	2.8	18
18	Five shades of grey: Generalization in distractor-based retrieval of S-R episodes. <i>Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics</i> , 2016, 78, 2307-2312.	1.3	17

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
19	Auditory distractor processing in sequential selection tasks. <i>Psychological Research</i> , 2014, 78, 411-422.	1.7	14
20	Response-response bindings do not decay for 6 seconds after integration: A case for bindings'™ relevance in hierarchical action control. <i>Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance</i> , 2021, 47, 508-517.	0.9	12
21	On the durability of bindings between responses and response-irrelevant stimuli. <i>Acta Psychologica</i> , 2015, 161, 73-78.	1.5	11
22	From hands to feet: Abstract response representations in distractor-response bindings. <i>Acta Psychologica</i> , 2015, 159, 69-75.	1.5	11
23	Response-response binding across effector-set switches. <i>Psychonomic Bulletin and Review</i> , 2019, 26, 1974-1979.	2.8	10
24	Binding and Retrieval of Response Durations: Subtle Evidence for Episodic Processing of Continuous Movement Features. <i>Journal of Cognition</i> , 2022, 5, .	1.4	10
25	Illuminating the prefrontal neural correlates of action sequence disassembling in response-response binding. <i>Scientific Reports</i> , 2021, 11, 22856.	3.3	9
26	Goal-Based Binding of Irrelevant Stimulus Features for Action Slips. <i>Experimental Psychology</i> , 2021, 68, 206-213.	0.7	9
27	Stress disrupts distractor-based retrieval of SR episodes. <i>Biological Psychology</i> , 2013, 93, 58-64.	2.2	8
28	Distractor-response bindings in dual task scenarios. <i>Visual Cognition</i> , 2015, 23, 516-531.	1.6	8
29	Designers beware: Response retrieval effects influence drivers'™ response times to local danger warnings. <i>Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour</i> , 2014, 24, 117-132.	3.7	7
30	How the mind shapes action: Offline contexts modulate involuntary episodic retrieval. <i>Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics</i> , 2017, 79, 2449-2459.	1.3	6
31	Binding abstract concepts. <i>Psychological Research</i> , 2019, 83, 878-884.	1.7	6
32	Binding processes in the control of nonroutine action sequences. <i>Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance</i> , 2019, 45, 1135-1145.	0.9	6
33	Irrelevant Stimuli and Action Control: Analyzing the Influence of Ignored Stimuli via the Distractor-Response Binding Paradigm. <i>Journal of Visualized Experiments</i> , 2014, , .	0.3	4
34	All together now: Simultaneous feature integration and feature retrieval in action control. <i>Psychonomic Bulletin and Review</i> , 2022, 29, 512-520.	2.8	3
35	Separating after-effects of target and distractor processing in the tactile sensory modality. <i>Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics</i> , 2019, 81, 809-822.	1.3	2
36	Remote binding counts: measuring distractor-response binding effects online. <i>Psychological Research</i> , 2021, 85, 2249-2255.	1.7	2

#	ARTICLE	IF	CITATIONS
37	The Role of Congruency for Distractor-Response Binding: A Caveat. <i>Advances in Cognitive Psychology</i> , 2019, 15, 127-132.	0.5	2
38	Pooling it all together – the role of distractor pool size on stimulus-response binding. <i>Journal of Cognitive Psychology</i> , 0, , 1-12.	0.9	0